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Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) are compounds naturally produced by microorganisms, with many industrial applications, either as bioplastics or as precursors for production of chemicals. Until now, industrial PHA production was conducted with pure strains of bacteria fed with well-defined feedstocks, making the overall process non-economically feasible. The last decades research on PHA was devoted on producing them in open enrichment cultures using wastewater as substrate, and making the process continuous, decreasing the production costs. Laboratory research with well-defined VFA-based substrates enables high accumulation of PHA, up to 90wt% of the total biomass. After demonstrating the potential for PHA production via enrichment cultures, research was devoted on applying this research. Several wastestreams and operational conditions were used to test PHA production on pilot scale and maybe on short notice on industrial scale. Until now, it was shown that also when using fermented industrial wastewater (e.g. paper mill, food) a high cellular PHA-content could be achieved. The object of this thesis was to tackle problems associated with PHA production when operating the process using wastewater and to make it feasible to apply the strategy universally.

In the **first chapter** general information about PHA (process- and material- based) are given. In the **second chapter** leachate from the source separated organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) was evaluated as a substrate for polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) production. Initially, biomass enrichment was conducted directly on leachate in a feast-famine regime. Maximization of the cellular PHA content of the enriched biomass yielded to a low PHA content (29 wt%), suggesting that the selection for PHA-producers was unsuccessful. When the substrate for the enrichment was switched to a synthetic volatile fatty acid (VFA) mixture -resembling the VFA carbon composition of the leachate- the PHA-producers gained the competitive advantage and dominated. Subsequent accumulation with leachate in nutrient excess conditions resulted in a maximum PHA content of 78wt%. Based on the experimental results, enriching a PHA-producing community in a “clean” VFA stream, and then accumulating PHA from a stream that does not allow for enrichment but does enable a high cellular PHA content, enables a high cellular PHA content, contributing to the economic feasibility of the process. The estimated overall PHA yield on substrate can be increased four-fold, in comparison to direct use of the complex matrix for both enrichment and accumulation.

The success of enriching PHA-producers in a feast-famine regime strongly depends on the substrate utilized. A distinction can be made between substrates that select for PHA-producers (e.g. volatile fatty acids) and substrates that select for growing organisms (e.g. methanol). In the **third chapter** the feasibility of using such a mixed substrate for PHA-production was evaluated. A sedimentation step was introduced in the cycle after acetate depletion and the supernatant
containing methanol was discharged. This process configuration resulted in an increased maximum PHA storage capacity of the biomass from 48wt% to 70wt%. A model based on the experimental results indicated that the length of the pre-settling period and the supernatant volume that is discharged play a significant role for the elimination of the side population. The difference of the kinetic properties of the two different populations determines the success of the proposed strategy.

Double-limitation systems have shown to induce polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) production in chemostat studies limited in e.g. carbon and phosphate. In the fourth chapter the impact of double substrate limitation on the enrichment of a PHA producing community was studied in a sequencing batch process. Enrichments at different C/P concentration ratios in the influent were established and the effect on the PHA production capacity and the enrichment community structure was investigated. Experimental results demonstrated that when a double limitation is imposed at a C/P ratio in the influent in a range of 150 (C-mol/mol), the P-content of the biomass and the specific substrate uptake rates decreased. Nonetheless, the PHA storage capacity remained high (with a maximum of 84 wt%). At a C/P ratio of 300, competition in the microbial community is based on phosphate uptake, and the PHA production capacity is lost. Biomass specific substrate uptake rates are a linear function of the cellular P-content, offering advantages for scaling-up the PHA production process due to lower oxygen requirements.

In the fifth chapter, PHA accumulating microbial enrichment cultures were established in an anaerobic/aerobic sequencing batch reactor (SBR) with glucose as sole substrate. The effect of different solid retention times (SRT; 2 and 4 days) on PHA accumulation were investigated. The experimental results revealed that at both SRT conditions, glucose was first stored anaerobically as glycogen with energy generation from lactate fermentation. Subsequently lactate and glycogen were fermented to acetate and propionate in the anaerobic phase. At 2 d SRT operation, during the aerobic phase the fermentation products where rapidly sequestered by aerobic PHA accumulating microorganisms. When (limiting) nutrients were applied under aerobic conditions PHA formation occurred under anaerobic conditions. At a longer SRT of 4 days the fermentation products where already sequestered in the anaerobic phase into PHA by glycogen accumulating organisms (GAO). In all systems the glucose uptake rate was very fast (~2.7 C-mol/C-mol/h), making it the primary competition factor. Under the conditions tested direct conversion of glucose to PHA was not possible.

In the sixth chapter some recommendations and questions that remain unanswered are addressed. As suggested, process could be improved by using a continuous system which would include a settling tank for the removal of carbon that is slowly consumed and leads to growth of
“inert” biomass. The possibility of operating the process at lower oxygen concentrations, or completely anoxically, is also discussed.
SAMENVATTING
Polyhydroxyalkanoaten (PHA) zijn verbindingen die natuurlijk geproduceerd worden door micro-organismen, met veel industriële applicaties, zoals bio plastic of als precursor voor de productie van chemicaliën. Tot nu toe was industriële PHA-productie uitgevoerd met pure culture stammen van bacteriën, gevoed met goed gedefinieerde toever, wat het algehele proces economisch onhaalbaar maakt. De afgelopen decennia was het onderzoek naar PHA toegespitst op de productie in open ophopingsculturen met gebruik van afvalwater als substraat, en het continu maken van het proces, om de productiekosten te reduceren. Laboratoriumonderzoek met goed-gedefinieerde, op vluchtige vetzuren gebaseerde substraten maakt hoge accumulatie van PHA mogelijk, tot 90 % w/w van de totale biomassa. Na het demonstreren van de potentie voor PHA productie via ophopingsculturen, werd het onderzoek gewijd aan het toepassen van deze bevindingen. Verschillende afvalstromen en operationele omstandigheden zijn gebruikt om PHA productie te testen op pilot schaal en misschien kan dat op korte termijn op industriële schaal getest worden. Tot nu toe was aangetoond dat ook wanneer gefermenteerd industrieel afvalwater wordt gebruikt (bijv. van papierfabriek, eten), een hoge cellulaire PHA-fractie bereikt kan worden.

Het doel van dit proefschrift is om problemen te tackelen die geassocieerd zijn met PHA productie wanneer het proces wordt uitgevoerd met afvalwater, het uitvoerbaar te maken en de strategie universeel toe te passen.

In het eerste hoofdstuk wordt de algemene informatie over PHA (proces en materiaal gebaseerd) gegeven.

In het tweede hoofdstuk is percolaat, dat gescheiden is van de organische fractie van vast huishoudelijk afval, geëvalueerd als substraat voor PHA productie. Aanvankelijk werd de verrijking van biomassa direct uitgevoerd op percolaat in een ‘feast-famine’ regime, waar periodes van hoge beschikbaarheid van voeding (feast) worden afgewisseld met periodes van afwezigheid ervan (famine). Maximalisering van de cellulaire PHA fractie in de verrijkte biomassa resulteerde in een lage PHA fractie (29% w/w), wat suggereerde dat de selectie voor PHA producenten niet succesvol was. Toen het substraat voor de verrijking werd gewisseld naar een mix van synthetisch vluchtig vetzuren (VFA), lijkend op de VFA koolstof samenstelling van het percolaat, kregen de PHA producenten een competitief voordeel en namen ze de overhand. Vervolgens resulteerde de ophoping, met percolaat en gedurende een overmaat van voedingstoffen, in een maximale PHA content van 78% w/w. Gebaseerd op de resultaten zorgt verrijking van een PHA-producerende culture in een “schone” VFA stroom, met ophoping van PHA uit een stroom die niet bijdraagt aan de verrijking, voor een hoge cellulaire PHA concentratie en draagt het daardoor bij aan de economische haalbaarheid van het proces. De geschatte overall PHA opbrengst op substraat kan
Samenvatting

vervrievoudigd worden, in vergelijking tot direct gebruik van de complexe matrix voor zowel verrijking als ophoping.

Het succes van de verrijking van PHA-producenten in een ‘feast-famine’ regime is zeer afhankelijk van het gebruikte substraat. Er kan onderscheid worden gemaakt tussen substraten die selecteren voor PHA-producenten (zoals vluchtige vetzuren) en substraten die selecteren voor het groeien van organismen (zoals methanol). In het derde hoofdstuk werd de haalbaarheid van het gebruik van een combinatie van substraten in PHA productie onderzocht.

Na de acetaat-depletie werd een sedimentatie stap geïntroduceerd en werd het supernatant, met daarin methanol, afgevoerd. Deze opzet van het proces zorgde voor een stijging in maximale PHA opslagcapaciteit van de biomassa van 48% w/w naar 70% w/w. Een model, gebaseerd op de experimentele data, liet zien dat de lengte van de periode voor de sedimentatie en het volume van het supernatant een significante rol spelen in eliminatie van de nevenpopulatie. Het verschil in kinetische eigenschappen tussen de twee verschillende populaties bepaalt het succes van de voorgestelde strategie. Van dubbel-limitatie systemen is bekend dat ze PHA-productie induceren in chemostaatstudies die limiteren in bijvoorbeeld koolstof en fosfaat. In het vierde hoofdstuk werd de impact van dubbele limitatie op de verrijking van een PHA-producerende culture bestudeerd in een discontinu batchproces. Verrijkingen werden opgezet met verschillende C/P concentratie ratio’s in de invoer en zowel het effect op de PHA-productie capaciteit, als de structuur van de ophopingsculture werden onderzocht. De experimentele resultaten lieten zien dat een dubbele limitatie, met een C/P ratio in de invoer van 150(C-mol/mol), zorgde voor een verlaging zowel van de P-fractie in de biomassa als de opnamesnelheid van het substraat. Desalniettemin bleef de PHA opslagcapaciteit hoog, met een maximum van 84% w/w. Bij een C/P ratio van 300 is de competitie in de microbiële culture gebaseerd op de opname van fosfaat en raakt de PHA productiecapaciteit verloren. De snelheid van biomassa specifieke substraatopname is lineair aan de cellulaire P-fractie, wat voordelen biedt voor het opschalen van het PHA productieproces door de lagere zuurstofbehoeftes.

In het vijfde hoofdstuk werden PHA ophopende microbiële ophopingscultures opgezet in een anaerobe/aerobe discontinue batchreactor (SBR), met glucose als enige substraat. Het effect van verschillende biomassa retentietijden (SRT; 2 en 4 dagen) op PHA ophoping werd onderzocht. De resultaten toonden aan dat, onder beide SRT condities, glucose eerst anaeroob werd opgeslagen als glycogeen met energieproductie door lactaatfermentatie. Vervolgens werden lactaat en glycogeen gefermenteerd tot acetaat en propionaat in de anaerobe fase. Bij een SRT van 2 dagen werden de fermentatieproducten tijdens de aerobe fase snel opgeslagen door de aerobe PHA ophopende micro-organismen. Wanneer (gelimiteerde) voedingstoffen werden
toegevoegd onder aerobe omstandigheden, vond de vorming van PHA plaats onder anaerobe condities. Bij een langere SRT van 4 dagen, werden de fermentatieproducten al vastgelegd in PHA tijdens de anaerobe fase door glycogeen accumulerende organismen (GAO). De glucose opnamesnelheid was zeer hoog (-2.7 C-mol/C-mol/h) in alle systemen, wat het de belangrijkste competitiefactor maakt. Onder de geteste omstandigheden was de directe omzetting van glucose naar PHA niet mogelijk.

In het zesde hoofdstuk worden een aantal aanbevelingen en onbeantwoorde vragen behandeld. Zoals gesuggereerd kan het proces worden verbeterd door gebruik van een continu systeem, met een bezinkingstank voor het verwijderen van langzaam geconsumerde koolstof die zorgt voor de groei van “inerte” biomassa. De mogelijkheid om het proces te laten plaatsvinden onder lage zuurstofconcentraties, of helemaal zonder zuurstof, wordt ook besproken.
Chapter 1. General Introduction
1.1. Wastewater systems

Modern human evolution and more specifically, formation of societies and industrialization can be directly related to the amount of generated waste (Figure 1.1). Since people started forming societies waste disposal became a significant concern, due to the health risks generated from poor sanitation. Decentralized wastewater system (e.g. privy vaults, cesspools, dry sewage collection) were predominantly used in urban and rural areas during the ancient times (ancient Greece and Rome) (Angelakis et al. 2005). However, industrialization led to the wastewater treatment system upgrade. In the 19th century the sewage system was introduced to urban areas, due to population growth, construction of public water supplies, and public health concerns. The current wastewater treatment facilities depend on the country (developed versus developing), the society needs and the local legislations. Briefly, with regard to developed countries, it is generally conducted in three basic steps. In the primary treatment step, the soluble pollutants are being separated from the insoluble ones via physical separation (mainly sedimentation). The separated liquid phase is directed to the secondary treatment –the so-called biological wastewater treatment- where the soluble pollutants are removed by microorganisms (activated sludge process). After this step the effluent is usually clean and can be directly discharged to the natural ecosystem. However, depending the country’s legislations there might be a need of tertiary treatment where disinfection occurs before discharge to the natural environment (sea, rivers, aquifers) (Metcalf et al. 1991).

Traditionally, the main focus on the wastewater treatment is the removal of constituents that would decrease the dissolved oxygen (DO) level in the water where the treated effluent is discharged. This decrease results from the metabolism of aquatic microorganisms that consume pollutants at the expense of oxygen, such as organic compounds, nitrogen, and phosphorus. In this step, these soluble pollutants are transformed to an innocuous form, such as carbon dioxide or nitrogen gas, and in biomass, which can be separated by sedimentation.
With regard to the biological wastewater treatment, the organic carbon is transformed to biomass and carbon dioxide (Grady et al. 1980). Additionally, nutrient removal is conducted biologically in zones, under different environmental conditions (aerobic-anaerobic-anoxic, depending the desired result) for nitrogen and/or phosphorus removal. This zone separation can be accomplished either in space (separate tanks – continuous stirred tank reactor -CSTR) or in time (sequencing batch reactors – SBR). Another important process of the biological wastewater treatment is the anaerobic digestion, where the insoluble organic matter is converted to carbon dioxide and methane. At this step, also excess biomass that has been generated from the previous processes is degraded.

However, the increased amount of waste that needs to be treated has generated multiple problems, since they require large amount of space and water. Additionally, the exhaustion of natural resources has generated a new perception on the wastewater treatment; the resource recovery one. The current approach on treating wastewater is focused on saving space, and recovering mainly water, but also nitrogen, phosphorus and even more complicated compounds, such as cellulose and alginate. The process has become more compact (i.e. with granular sludge technology), more efficient and more sustainable (i.e. generation of valuable side-products, such as biogas, bioethanol, bioplastics and with regard to nutrient removal the anaerobic ammonium oxidation-Anammox process).
1.2. Microbial competition in biological wastewater treatment

In nature there is continuous battle for resources between the inhabitants from macro till micro-scale. The common needs that are shared between the microorganisms (either in terms of energy or elements) lead to a continuous competition in ecosystems. Microorganisms use different mechanisms to outcompete each other. The mechanisms used have a big spectrum, from kinetic characteristics, motility, production of toxic substances, or other characteristics (e.g. settling ability). When designing a biological wastewater treatment plant, the efficiency of a process depends mainly on the type of microorganisms that will prevail.

Competition is what defines the biomass distribution in the enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) process. Feeding carbon anaerobically, requires energy for the uptake of the substrate. Under these conditions only microorganisms that have the ability to produce somehow energy (from hydrolysis of an internally stored polymer, such as poly-P or glycogen) can outcompete other types of biomass (Fuhs et al. 1975, Marais v et al. 1983). However, the winner of this competition can be either poly-phosphate accumulating organisms (PAOs) or glycogen-accumulating organisms (GAOs). The first group of microorganisms (PAOs) are the ones that perform the desirable biological phosphorus removal, while when GAOs dominate, the P removal efficiency of the process is poor. Research has shown that the winner of this competition strongly depends on the pH, temperature and carbon over phosphorus ratio in the influent (Lopez-Vazquez et al. 2009b).

Another representative example of microbial competition in wastewater systems is the feast-famine regime or intermittent substrate feeding. The general bacterial metabolism comprises of the uptake of the substrate and then the intracellular metabolism of the imported substrate. The main advantage for the substrate to be directed towards polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) storage instead of growth is the much higher uptake rate when carbon is flowing towards PHA production. Additionally, since this operation takes place under substrate limitation, the cells need to equilibrate their growth all over the cycle. By using solely PHA for growth, the growth rate is constant even during the famine (lack of external substrate) period (Kleerebezem et al. 2007a).

1.3. PHA: an important polymer

PHA are polyesters produced by bacteria for energy and carbon storage. Depending the initial carbon source fed to the bacteria and exact pathway followed different monomers are formed resulting in a material with a wide range of properties comparable to petrochemical plastics. Depending the number of carbon atoms of the monomer they are divided into short-chain length (scl) PHA (3-5 carbon atoms) and medium-chain length (mcl) PHA (6-14 carbon atoms) (Lee
1996) (Figure 1.2). Depending their monomeric structure they display a variety of properties; they are thermoplastics, biodegradable, piezoelectric, they can be either brittle or elastic, they have functional groups, they display a big spectrum of molecular weights starting from 20,000 D, they are non-linear optically active, hydrophobic and gas is not permeable (Chen 2009b).

For the aforementioned reasons, these “natural” polymers are of great interest for either replacing existing plastics, or for serving as precursors for the formation of chemicals (Chen 2009b).

The bacterial metabolism for the formation of PHA is extensively studied, since it was shown to play a significant role for several (wastewater treatment) processes (Van Loosdrecht et al. 1997). Four different pathways have been found till now where PHA is produced, but due to the emphasis on open system, focus will be given on the polyphosphate accumulating organisms/glycogen accumulating organisms (PAOs/GAOs) metabolism and the feast-famine regime. The entire metabolism of PHA is related to acetyl-CoA, since it is the driver for 3-hydroxyalkanoyl-CoA production. In principle, in open systems, what initiates the storage is the need of the cells to maintain stable growth conditions. For reason of simplicity acetate will be assumed the model substrate for both cases.

Looking at the first process, the PAO/GAO system, in the environment there is cycling of electron acceptor, oxygen. During this operation, acetate is fed anaerobically (lack of electron acceptor). For the transport of acetate there is need for energy (ATP) which is provided either by the hydrolysis of intracellular stored polyphosphate (if PAOs are dominating the system) or glycogen (in the case of GAOs). Thus, acetate is transported intracellularly and activated to acetyl-coA. Two units of acetyl-coA are condensed to acetoacetyl-CoA and further reduced hydroxybutyryl-CoA which is incorporated to the polymeric chain of PHB. When the cells enter
the aerobic phase, PHA is used for growth and to replenish the glycogen and/or polyphosphate pools (Figure 1.3).

Figure 1.3: Metabolism of PHA in the PAO/GAO system (Acevedo et al. 2012).

The second case of PHA metabolism in open system is the feast-famine regime. This process is also known as intermittent carbon feeding. Substrate (acetate in the figure) is provided to the cells at the beginning of the cycle (feast phase). After the depletion of the substrate, in the lack of the external carbon source, only the microorganisms that have the ability to store carbon intracellularly have the ability to survive. On one hand the stable growth rate provided by the degradation of PHA and on the other hand the shortcut on the metabolism of acetate which leads to faster uptake rates, gives raise to dominance of PHA producers solely under these conditions. In this operation the energy needed for acetate/propionate transport and acetyl-coA activation is provided by oxidative phosphorylation (Figure 1.4) (Beun et al. 2000a, Dias et al. 2008).
Combining the literature with the already existing technology a resource recovery opportunity is represented in the form of waste conversion to bioplastics. The process with open cultures is conducted in two steps: the enrichment reactor and PHA production reactor (Figure 1.5). In the first reactor the selection of the desirable microbial community is conducted, and then this biomass together with substrate is fed to a separate reactor, the PHA production reactor, where the operating conditions direct all the substrate towards PHA formation. For high PHA productivities, the key-feature for the success of the process is the enrichment on PHA-producing biomass, keeping unwanted biomass away of the process.
As described before, due to the incorporation of acetyl-coA (or propionyl-coA) in the PHA metabolism within the cells, the most suitable substrate can be represented in the form of volatile fatty acids (VFA). A vast amount of lab-scale studies has evaluated the valorization of synthetic substrates VFA and has proved that the process is successful when the appropriate conditions are used. Johnson et al. (2009a), Jiang et al. (2011b), Marang et al. (2013b) enriched biomass under different synthetic carbon streams (acetate, propionate and butyrate) with the capacity of storing up to 90%wt PHA competing the productivity shown from pure (GMO) cultures (Steinbüchel et al. 1998).

A big part of the research was also devoted in the PHA production process with real wastewater. Bengtsson et al. (2008a), Beccari et al. (2009a), Albuquerque et al. (2010a), Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2010a, (Jiang et al. (2012b) and Tamis et al. 2014a used industrial and sewage wastewater as the substrate of the process and showed the great potential of converting waste to bioplastics. However, they also pointed out the main disadvantages of the process that hinder upscaling. When using real wastewater the possibility of contaminating the enrichment with other non-storing biomass was the main bottleneck that drops the PHA capacities of the biomass.

1.4. Outline of this thesis

This thesis was funded by the NWO program “Sustainable biofuel production from organic waste via polyhydroxyalkanoates” (Project No. 700.10.702) and was focused on the storage competition under SBR operation with different influent streams.

The Chapter 2 deals with an enrichment on real wastewater, leachate. The complexity of the stream and the many possible inhibitors caused a limited storage activity from the enriched biomass. However, an alternative strategy is proposed when dealing with such a complex waste stream: enriching the biomass on a rather clean stream (diluted wastewater/industrial wastewater) and utilize the largest fraction of it for the PHA production step.

Some substrates, e.g. VFAs, are known to promote PHA production while others support only growth, e.g. alcohols. When utilizing a mixture of substrates (e.g. VFAs that lead to PHA and alcohols the are directed to growth), even though the enriched biomass will be reciprocally (based on the influent) distributed to two independent populations, the carbon fraction that goes for PHA storage will always be the first to be depleted. This faster kinetic behavior of PHA-producing biomass can be exploited by using a sedimentation step after the VFA fraction is depleted, and the supernatant along with the rest of the carbon can be discharged, leading to increased fraction of PHA producers within the biomass. Chapter 3 deals with this strategy and suggests that the percentage of the working volume that is discharged, together with a fast PHA production rate are directly linked to increased PHA productivities.
As mentioned before, PHA production under SBR operation is a two-step process; initially there is a selection of the biomass in the enrichment reactor and then PHA production occurs in the so-called accumulation step. A bottleneck for the process upscale is the need of utilizing two different substrates for the process, a carbon-limited one for the enrichment step, since the carbon uptake rate is the pressure imposed to the system, while for the accumulation step a nutrient-limited influent is required, for growth restriction. To simplify the process, a double-limited substrate would be the perfect candidate for the entire process. A system can be characterized as double-limited when at the effluent two nutrients are completely exhausted. In this type of systems there is a niche that defines which compound will be “more” limited creating the respective selective pressure. In Chapter 4, the boundaries of such a double-limited (carbon and phosphorus) system are investigated and the many advantages of such a system are discussed. However, apart from using VFAs, glucose was also tested for PHA production. Glucose as a carbon source is a strong ATP and electron donor with varied metabolic pathways. For this study, an anaerobic/aerobic SBR enrichment was set up to investigate what determines and favors storage (of PHA) in different SRTs (2 and 4 d) and different feeding patterns of nutrients (anaerobic/aerobic period). What was shown in Chapter 5 is that glucose cannot be directly stored, due to ATP and electron excess. Nonetheless, if the process occurs in two steps, first glucose to lactate and glycogen and then further conversion of lactate and glycogen, depending the operating conditions (at 4 d SRT) the glucose products can be converted to PHA.

In Chapter 6 the main findings and conclusions are summarized. Additionally, some recommendations and questions that remain unanswered are addressed. As suggested, process could be improved by using a continuous system which would include a settling tank between feast and famine, for the selective removal of biomass that cannot store PHA. The possibility of operating the process at lower oxygen concentrations, or completely anoxically, is also discussed.
Chapter 2. PHA PRODUCTION FROM THE ORGANIC FRACTION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (OFMSW): OVERCOMING THE INHIBITORY MATRIX

1 Published as:
2.1 Introduction

The elevated daily life demands along with industrialization have led to an enormous increase of organic waste. The most common treatments for this waste are sanitary landfilling, incineration and composting. Lately, the resource recovery approach is mainly implemented, coupling waste management with production of energy or chemicals. Leaching is usually adopted for the treatment of organic waste since it efficiently converts the waste into volatile fatty acids (VFA) which can be subsequently used for biogas production (Chugh et al. 1999, Doğan et al. 2009). More specifically, Attero (Wijster, the Netherlands), a company that treats 40% of the total OFMSW of the Netherlands, uses amongst other techniques, a leaching-bed process for the management of that organic fraction. The aqueous stream that is produced from this leaching-bed process is used for biogas production in a UASB reactor (Figure 2.1). However, energy (in terms of biogas) is a low value product, whereas -from a sustainability point of view- production of chemicals would be more propitious. An example of a valuable product from organic waste is represented in the form of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) (Reis et al. 2003a).

![Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of the leaching process of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW).](image)

The last decade, a variety of waste streams has been utilized for PHA production either by pure strains or by microbial enrichment cultures. The first option is linked with high productivities and yields since the microorganism is engineered or specialized on converting the carbon to PHA, resulting in biomass that is able to store up to 90wt% PHA (Kim 2000, Du et al. 2002, Koller et al. 2008, Cavalheiro et al. 2012, Passanha et al. 2013). The second option is carried out under axenic conditions with less defined substrates leading to reduced cost. These undefined...
enrichment cultures have been proven to store equally high amounts of PHA (Johnson et al. 2009a). The latter approach is based on the natural selection of the microorganisms by applying the appropriate selective pressure to the community. The PHA production is conducted in two steps: the enrichment of the microorganisms on the substrate (e.g. wastewater) and then PHA maximization within the enriched biomass with the same carbon source under nutrient-limiting conditions. When industrial wastewater was tested, such as papermill (Bengtsson et al. 2008b, Jiang et al. 2012a) or candy-bar wastewater (Tamis et al. 2014a), the enriched biomass was able of storing 50-80wt% of PHA. However, in the case of more complex waste streams, like olive mill effluent and municipal wastewater, the storage response of the enrichments was significantly decreased. Beccari et al. 2009b worked with olive mill effluent and selected for an enrichment that could accumulate up to 35wt% PHA. Morgan-Sagas tume et al. 2010b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014b, and Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2015 utilized municipal wastewater, and in their case the maximum PHA capacity of the enriched community was 20-45wt%. Hence, the increased complexity of the matrix complicates the process.

In the present work, the feasibility of PHA production from leachate via the feast-famine regime was assessed. Long-term experiments on raw, pretreated and diluted leachate were conducted for the evaluation of the different enrichments. The inhibitive effect of the leachate on PHA production was investigated and a strategy to overcome this inhibition is proposed. The overall productivity of the process was estimated from the obtained experimental data.

2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1. Culture medium: leachate and synthetic medium

The experiments on leachate were performed with the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW), after leaching (Attero, Venlo, the Netherlands). In principle, the organic fraction of solid waste was hydrolyzed in acidification tunnels, with water recirculation (Figure 2.1). When the leachate used was pretreated, extra phosphate was supplied for the enrichment to prevent nutrient-limiting conditions.

In the cases of synthetic substrate, the carbon medium consisted of a mixture of sodium acetate, propionate and butyrate with concentrations equal to 60, 14 and 5.5 Cmmol/L respectively (mimicking the carbon concentration of the leachate). In these runs, nutrient medium was also dosed to the enrichment with a composition of 9.8 mM NH₄Cl, 3.7 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.8 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 2 mL/L trace elements solution according to Vishniac et al. 1975, and 0.2mg/L allylthiourea (to prevent nitrification).

2.2.2. SBR for culture selection
A double-jacket glass bioreactor with a working volume of 2L (Applikon, the Netherlands) was used for the enrichment of the biomass. Activated sludge from the municipal wastewater treatment plant Kralingseveer in Rotterdam (the Netherlands) was used to inoculate the SBR. The basic setup and operation of the reactor was the same as described by Johnson et al. 2009a. The reactor was operated in a cyclic way (sequential batch reactor, SBR) under fully aerobic conditions. The different phases of one cycle consisted of: i) feeding phase (10min), ii) reaction phase (700min), and iii) a mixed effluent phase (10min). The different feeding operations used are reported in Table 2.1. The sludge retention time (SRT) and the hydraulic retention time (HRT) were equal to 1 d and the cycle length (CL) was set to 12 h.

Table 2.1: Feeding profile of the long-term enrichments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Batch of leachate</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Raw leachate I (RL-I)</td>
<td>1L of leachate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Pretreated leachate I (PL-II)</td>
<td>1L of leachate supplemented with P (PL-II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>Synthetic medium – Carbon composition similar to pretreated leachate II (PL-III)</td>
<td>Synthetic medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>90% Synthetic medium – 10% PL-III</td>
<td>0.9L synthetic medium – 0.1L PL-III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIc</td>
<td>75% Synthetic medium – 25% PL-III</td>
<td>0.75L synthetic medium – 0.25L PL-III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The air flowrate in the reactor was set to 1.8LN/min using a mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument, USA). The temperature in the reactor was controlled at 30±1°C using a thermostat bath (Lauda, Germany), the pH was maintained at 7.0±0.1 by the addition of 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH, and the stirring speed was set at 750 rpm. Biocontrollers (BIOSTAT B plus, Sartorius, the Netherlands) were used for the pumps, stirrer, and pH control.

The enrichment was considered stable, when the length of the feast phase and the concentration of total suspended solids (TSS) at the end of the cycle were constant for three consecutive days with a standard deviation of 5%. When steady-state was reached, cycle experiments were conducted to characterize each enrichment. In addition, biomass from the SBR was collected for accumulation experiments and molecular analysis.

2.2.3. PHA production
To evaluate the PHA storage capacity of each enrichment, fed-batch accumulation experiments were performed in a separate double-jacket glass bioreactor (Applikon, the Netherlands). The reactor, with a working volume of 2 L, was operated at the same aeration rate, pH, and temperature as in the SBR operation. At the beginning of each experiment the reactor was filled with effluent biomass from the enrichment reactor and carbon- and nutrient-free medium to 2 L. Two different feeding strategies were used, a continuous supply of the carbon substrate, and a feeding-on-demand (FOD) supply, based on the pH of the reactor. In the FOD experiments the production of PHA was initiated by a pulse of substrate (mixture acetate-propionate, 3.29 and 0.22 Cmol/L for enrichment II and mixture of acetate-propionate-butyrate, 3, 0.7 and 0.3 Cmol/L for enrichment III (resembling the leachate fed to each enrichment) and the rest of the carbon was supplied via the acid pump. For the continuous feeding, leachate (PL-III) or synthetic medium with the same VFA composition of leachate, was dosed to the enrichment with a flowrate of 2.3 mL/min. In all the accumulation experiments, when the oxygen concentration reached a stable value, indicating saturation of PHA in biomass, the experiment was stopped.

2.2.4. Analytical methods
For both characterization of the enriched community and PHA production, the profile of the experiments was monitored online via the DO, temperature, pH, acid and base dosage and off-gas CO$_2$ and O$_2$, and offline through substrate, ammonium, TSS and PHA measurements. The general properties, such as VFA concentration, chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonium, phosphate, TSS, volatile suspended solids (VSS), pH and conductivity were measured. The leachate was filtered with a 0.45µm pore size filter (PVDF membrane, Millipore, Ireland) to remove all solids. COD, NH$_4^+$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ were determined with Hach Lange™ kits (014 or 114, 302, 348). VFA concentrations were measured via high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), equipped with a BioRad Aminex HPX-87H column (Waters 2489 UV/RI detector) with a mobile phase (1.5 mM H$_3$PO$_4$) flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and a temperature of 60 °C. TSS and VSS analysis was done as previously described by Jiang et al. (2012). The PHA content of the biomass, expressed as the weight percentage PHA of VSS, was calculated using pure poly-2-hydroxybutyric-co-3-hydroxyvaleric acid (PHV content of 12 wt%) (Sigma-Aldrich, 403121) as standard, and benzoic acid as internal standard. Washed and freeze dried biomass was hydrolyzed and esterified in a mixture of hydrochloric acid, 1-propanol and dichloroethane for 2 h at 100 °C. The propylesters formed were extracted with water and analyzed via gas chromatography (GC). The active biomass concentration was determined by subtraction of PHA from VSS.
2.2.5. Biological Oxygen Monitoring (BOM)

BOM was used for the determination of the activity of PHA-producing biomass under different salinity, ammonium, VFA (in terms of acetate) and leachate concentration (Table 2.2). An acetate-fed enrichment culture dominated of *P. acidivorans* (Johnson et al. 2009a) was used as the inoculum. The choice of the biomass was based on the fact that these particular bacteria are the ones selected under the operational conditions used, while their PHA production capability is the maximum reported for mixed cultures so far. The biomass was collected from a separate SBR reactor and was incubated in carbon-free nutrient medium, at the same operational conditions as the SBR operation.

Table 2.2: Experimental conditions of the biological oxygen monitor (BOM) tests. The biomass used for the tests was an acetate-grown *P. acidivorans* dominated community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested compound</th>
<th>mM (or else indicated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NaCl</strong></td>
<td>0 36 71 105 143 214.5 286 572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH₄Cl</strong></td>
<td>0 36 71 105 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH₄)₂SO₄</td>
<td>0 36 71 105 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAc (Cmmol/L)</strong></td>
<td>12.5 25 40 50 75 100 120 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leachate (vol%)</strong></td>
<td>0 12.5 25 37.5 50 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the cases of salt and ammonium concentration effect on the respiration of the biomass, the tested compound was manually added to the broth. For the VFA tests, pulses of acetate in a range of concentrations were injected. In the experiments with leachate, VFA-free leachate replaced part of the synthetic broth after settling and supernatant removal. In all the experiments the pH was set at 7±0.1.

The setup of the respirometric tests consisted of an airtight chamber, with working volume of 40 mL. The temperature of the chamber was kept at 30±1 °C. A DO probe (Jenway, UK) was placed inside the measuring chamber with a stopper including an injection shaft for the addition of substrate. The oxygen profile was continuously measured with an oximeter (Jenway, UK) and the data were recorded via BOM software. 40 mL of fully aerated inoculum were transferred in the measuring chamber, and a pulse of 0.5 mL of substrate (acetate) was injected to the biomass. At this point the oxygen depletion followed a linear decrease until it reached zero. At the end of each run the broth was collected for active biomass analysis.

---

2 The leachate utilized was VFA-free pretreated leachate
All the experiments were run in triplicates, including a control experiment for the estimation of the specific oxygen uptake rate (SOUR) of the tested biomass under normal SBR conditions. All the results were normalized over the control SOUR.

2.2.6. Data analysis

The data were corrected for the sampling effect, addition of acid and base and inorganic carbon dissolution, as described in Johnson et al. 2009a.

For the calculation of the specific conversion rates of the compounds, the equation proposed by Marang et al. 2014a was adapted:

\[
q_i = \frac{\sum_i^n (C_i(t_{n+1}) - C_i(t_n))/((C_x(t_{n+1}) + C_x(t_n)))}{t_n - t_1}
\]

The yields of PHA over VFA (\(Y_{\text{PHA/VFA}}\)), or total COD (\(Y_{\text{PHA/COD}}\)) and total biomass over substrate (\(Y_{X/S}\)) were estimated as the fraction of the formed product over the carbon consumed.

For the BOM tests, the oxygen consumption rate (OUR) was equal to the slope of the linear decrease in the DO concentration after the injection of substrate. The SOUR was calculated by dividing the oxygen consumption rate (\(q_{O2}\)) over the active biomass (X). The oxygen solubility was corrected according to the average temperature and the conductivity of the liquid phase according to Weiss 1970.

\[
\text{SOUR} = \frac{\text{OUR}}{X}
\]

2.2.7. Microbial diversity analysis

For the analysis of the microbial composition of each enrichment, biomass samples were collected from the SBR and fed-batch reactor. The genomic DNA extraction was conducted with the Ultra Clean Soil DNA extraction kit (MoBio Laboratories, California) and subsequently used as template DNA for PCR-DGGE. The extracted DNA was used as a template DNA for PCR. 16s rRNA gene fragments of the community constituents were obtained by a “touchdown” PCR program with primers 341F with a GC clamp and 907R for DGGE analysis (Schäfer et al. 2001b, Table 4). The 16S rRNA gene amplicons were loaded onto 8% polyacrylamide gels with a denaturing gradient from 20% to 70% DNA denaturants (100% denaturants is a mixture of 5.6M urea and 32% formamide Schäfer et al. 2001b). The DNA was visualized by UV illumination after
staining with SYBR® Safe, and photographed with a digital camera. Individual bands were excised from the gel with a sterile razor blade and incubated overnight in 50µL water at 4°C. Re-amplification was performed using the same primer pair (Table 2.3) and the PCR products were sequenced by a commercial company (Macrogen, South Korea). The sequences have been stored in GenBank under accession numbers: KU168567-KU168585.

Table 2.3: Oligonucleotide probes for FISH analysis and primers for q-PCR analysis used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUB338 I</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>gctgacctccgtaggagt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Amann et al. 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUB338 II</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>gcagccacccctaggagt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Daims et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUB338 III</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>gctgccaccctagggtg</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Daims et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCB823</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>cctccccacgtccagt</td>
<td>P. acidivorans</td>
<td>Johnson et al. 2009a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341F-GC</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Cctacgggccccgcag3</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518F</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>ccagcagccgcggtaat</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Muyzer et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907R</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>ccgtaatcmtttgtt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCB823R</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>cctccccacgtccagt</td>
<td>P. acidivorans</td>
<td>Jiang et al. 2011c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to PCR-DGGE, FISH was performed to validate the results. A detailed description of the procedure can be found in Johnson et al. 2009a. The probes used were commercially synthesized and 5' labeled with either FLUOS or the sulfoindocyanine dye Cy5 (Thermo Hybaid interactive, Ulm, Germany, Table 4). The general probe mixture EUB338I-III was used to visualize all bacteria in the sample, and the specific probe UCB823 to indicate the presence of P. acidivorans.

2.3. Results

2.3.1. Leachate characteristics

The properties of the different leachate batches received and tested for PHA production are shown in Table 2.4. The soluble COD concentration had an average value of 9.4 g/L and the variations were related to the hydrolysis process of the organic waste (e.g. retention time, nature of the organic fraction, season). The ammonium concentration exceeded 1 gN/L, whereas the conductivity was higher than 15 mS/cm for all the tested batches.

Table 2.4: Leachate properties over the different batches used.

| Contains GC-clamp (5'-cgcccgccgcgccccgcgcccgtcccgcccgcccccgc-3') at the 5' end of the primer |
Pretreatment for phosphate removal from the leachate was conducted in 150L batches by aeration for 5 h. Due to CO₂ stripping the pH of the leachate increased to around 9 and the phosphate was precipitated. The loss of organic material (in terms of COD) was negligible (sCOD loss <5%).

### 2.3.2. SBR enrichment

A bioreactor was inoculated with activated sludge and operated in SBR mode with raw leachate from an OFMSW hydrolysis process. Within a week of operation a feast-famine regime developed in the reactor (Figure 2.2a). In such a typical SBR cycle initially the VFA fraction of the substrate was taken up for PHA storage and/or growth with the maximum respiration activity (feast phase). After VFA depletion, in the absence of external substrate, PHA was degraded and used for energy generation and growth during the famine phase. The transition of the feast to the famine phase can be readily identified from the DO profile, which shows a rapid decrease in the respiration rate upon VFA depletion. The time point where respiration is high and DO is relatively low is defined as the length of the feast phase, and is an important process indicator directly related to the substrate uptake rate in the system. The feast phase length of the enrichment that was established during period I (when raw leachate was directly dosed to the enrichment) was 2.2h. A negligible fraction of non-VFA soluble COD in the leachate was degraded during the famine phase (<5%). The observed yield of PHA over VFA was equal to 0.3gCOD/gCOD (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RLI</th>
<th>PLII</th>
<th>PLIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.1⁴</td>
<td>10.4¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3⁵</td>
<td>9.5²</td>
<td>11.9³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA</td>
<td>gCOD/L</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>gN/L</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (valerate, caproic, etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>gN/L</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-PO₄⁻</td>
<td>mgP/L</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>mS/cm</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴The value refers to total sample  
⁵The value refers to filtered sample
Figure 2.2: SBR cycle profile of A) enrichment established on raw leachate (cycle 62), and B) enrichment established on synthetic VFA mixture imitating the composition of leachate (cycle 932). (○) VFA, (Δ) active biomass, (◊) PHA, (□) COD, and (●) DO.

When leachate was replaced by a synthetic VFA mixture (Figure 2.2b) with the same composition as leachate, the feast phase length was significantly reduced to 0.6h. In this case, during the feast phase biomass growth was also present, but the observed yield of PHA over VFA was increased to 0.47gCOD/gCOD.
Table 2.5: Observed kinetic and stoichiometric parameters from the different enrichments. Period I represents the enrichment on raw leachate (cycle 62), II on pretreated leachate (cycle 278), IIIa on synthetic VFA (cycle 392), IIIb on synthetic VFA (90%) and leachate (10%) mixture (Cycle 467), and IIIc on synthetic VFA (75%) and leachate (25%) mixture (cycle 535). The column with the cycle number refers to the cycle of operation that the biomass was collected for the experiment. The control experiment refers to an acetate-grown P. acidovorans dominated community, enriched under the same operational conditions as in the experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>$q_{VFA}^{max}$</th>
<th>$q_{COD}^{max}$</th>
<th>$q_{PHA}^{max}$</th>
<th>$PHA_{fast}^{max}$</th>
<th>$PHA_{meat,FOD}^{max}$</th>
<th>$PHA_{meat,gal}^{max}$</th>
<th>$PHA_{meat,FOD}^{max}$</th>
<th>$Y_{PHA/COD}$</th>
<th>$Y_{PHA/VFA}$</th>
<th>$Y_{X/COD}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIc</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>52.95</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-3$^9$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.1$^4$</td>
<td>64$^4$</td>
<td>89$^4$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^6$ Fed-batch experiment with synthetic VFA fed on demand – based on the pH
$^7$ Fed-batch experiment with continuous supply of VFA
$^8$ Fed-batch experiment with continuous supply of leachate
The length of the feast phase over the total experimental period is shown in Figure 2.3. During period I, when raw leachate was directly fed to the reactor, the feast phase was between 2 and 3 h. When the system was fed with pretreated leachate supplemented with phosphate (period II) the length of the feast phase dramatically increased, fluctuating between 4-5 h. In period III, when the leachate was replaced by synthetic VFA, the feast phase length decreased, to less than 1 h. Variations in the feast phase length were due to different leachate batches and/or biofilm formation. The specific substrate uptake rate during period I and II was quite low (-0.21 and -0.06 $g_{COD}/g_{COD \cdot h}$ respectively, Table 2.5), but when the feeding mode was altered to synthetic VFA it clearly improved (around -1.5 $g_{COD}/g_{COD \cdot h}$ for the entire period III). Along with the rates, the increased storage yields when the substrate was switched to synthetic VFA confirmed the dominance of storage phenomena (Table 2.5).

![Figure 2.3: Length of the feast phase for the different periods of enrichment during the feast-famine SBR operation. Total cycle length equals to 12h. Period I represents the enrichment on raw leachate, II on pretreated leachate, IIIa on synthetic VFA, IIIb on synthetic VFA (90%) and pretreated leachate (10%) mixture, and IIIc on synthetic VFA(75%) and pretreated leachate (25%) mixture.](image)

2.3.3. Toxicity of the leachate

The inhibitory effect of leachate was evaluated with a series of respirometric batch tests with pretreated leachate and a range of leachate constituents with an acetate grown *P. Acidivorans* dominated enrichment. In the case of leachate (Figure 2.4), the results showed that even a small addition of leachate (12.5 vol%) caused a reduced SOUR (25% drop compared to the control). Increasing the fraction to 25 vol% reduced the respiration rate by 50%.
Conductivity (in terms of NaCl) at the same range as in leachate had an –almost- negligible impact (less than 15% decrease) on the respiration activity. For concentrations of NaCl more than 286mM (conductivity of 40mS/cm) the biological activity dropped by 40% (Appendix A: Biological Oxygen Monitor (BOM) tests). With regard to ammonium, the tests showed that an ammonium concentration more than 1gN/L decreased the activity of the *P. acidivorans* enrichment to half. Further increase of the concentration of the ammonium concentration did not cause any additional drop to the respiration rate of the biomass.

2.3.4. PHA productivity of the process
The maximum PHA accumulation capacity of the enrichments was evaluated with synthetic VFA substrate fed-on-demand (FOD) and continuously, while the overall process productivity was assessed under continuous feeding of raw leachate.

During the FOD experiments, the enrichment of period II showed a relatively low maximum PHA percentage equal to 29 wt% (Figure 2.5). The enrichment of period IIIa had an increased maximum PHA content equal to 77.6 wt%, which remained almost stable all over the enrichments of period III. Continuous feeding of synthetic VFA showed a slightly increased maximum PHA content of the enriched biomass of period IIIb and c, equal to 82 wt% and 87 wt% respectively (data not shown).
Biomass from period IIIb and c was continuously fed with leachate for the evaluation of the actual process productivity. The maximum PHA percentages reached from these enrichments remained at the same range as in the FOD experiments (75-78 wt%). However, in this set of experiments the increasing biomass concentration after 6-7h indicated the presence of growth (Figure 2.6).
2.3.5. Microbial population dynamics

DGGE analysis was used for the analysis of the diversity of the enrichments. During period I the culture consisted of *Brachymonas denitrificans* (Figure 2.7, band no.3) and *Corynebacterium* (Figure 7, band no.4). When the operation switched to pretreated leachate, the community included *Xanthobacter* (Figure 7, band no.9) and *Azorhizobium* (Figure 2.7, band no.10). At the time when synthetic VFA were fed, *Plasticiculumulans acidivorans* (Figure 2.7, band no.15) took over and remained dominant during the entire period III (Figure 2.7, bands no.19, 23). FISH image analysis (Figure 2.8) was used for the estimation of the fraction of *P. Acidivorans*. The images correspond to an overlap of hybridized and stained general Eubacteria and *P. acidivorans* at the end of the cycle of period IIIb and IIIc. In both cases the dominance of *P. acidivorans* was evident, with main difference the increased part of the side-population during period IIIc.
Figure 2.7: Bacterial specific DGGE analysis of the reactor biomass of the different periods of operation. Period I represents the SBR operation on raw leachate (cycle 62), period II the enrichment on pretreated leachate (cycle 278) and period III the enrichment on synthetic VFA (cycles 392, 467 and 535 for a, b, and c respectively).

Figure 2.8: Fluorescence microscopy images of a) enrichment on synthetic VFA (cycle 392), and b) on synthetic VFA mixture (90vol%) and leachate (10vol%) mixture (cycle 467), stained with Cy3-labelled probe for \textit{P. Acidivorans} (UCB823. red) and Cy5-labelled probe for \textit{Eubacteria} (EUB338. blue). The pink color indicates both CY3-labelled probe and CY5-labelled probe hybridized.

2.4. Discussion

2.4.1. Pretreatment of the leachate
General characteristics of the leachate (e.g. high VFA concentration) of OFMSW suggest that it could serve as a potential feedstock for PHA production. However, the high ammonium-nitrogen and conductivity values could be problematic for the general bacterial metabolism. Apart from that, the presence of N and P at non-growth limiting concentration should complicate the accumulation step of the PHA production process. Pretreatment of leachate for phosphate removal took place, to establish growth-limiting conditions within the matrix. Lab tests (data not shown), showed that CO$_2$ stripping led to a rapid pH increase (more than 9), and the precipitation of phosphate. Hence, the latter leachate batches (PL-I and PL-II) were aerated for approximately 5h resulting in 80-90% PO$_4$-removal after centrifugation. However, based on the long-term enrichment profile, it is likely that an essential growth compound should have served as the counter-ion for phosphate, leading to a troublesome environment with decreased substrate uptake rates (Period II, Table 2.5).

2.4.2. Effect of leachate on the long-term enrichments

The maximum PHA storage capacity of the enrichments established directly on leachate (period I and II) was relatively low and within the same range as found previously when complex wastewaters were utilized (olive mill effluent from Beccari et al. 2009b), municipal wastewater from (Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2010b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2015). VFA uptake, and thus PHA production from such an inhibitory feedstock is potentially hampered by a reduced activity, and consequently more carbon energy will be directed towards growth and/or maintenance. The experimental data confirm that the specific substrate uptake rates were significantly reduced when the biomass selection was conducted with leachate (period I and II) compared to an equivalent artificial VFA-mixture (period III). The low storage capacity can be attributed to the low maximum specific substrate uptake rate which limits the competitive advantage of PHA producers over fast-growers (Kleerebezem et al. 2007b). The extremely high substrate uptake rate of *P. Acidivorans* is essential for outcompeting other acetate consuming microorganisms (Johnson et al. 2009a, Jiang et al. 2011c). Apparently, no microorganism could be enriched capable of a similar competitive strategy in the leachate environment.

During period IIIa, the operational conditions used enabled enrichment of *P. acidivorans*. The much higher uptake rates, along with the high observed PHA on VFA yield confirmed the dominance of storage phenomena over growth during the feast phase. A small supplementation of leachate along with the synthetic VFA in the inflow did not seem to affect the enrichment’s properties. The maximum PHA percentages and the uptake and production rates showed only a small decline at their maximum values for a leachate fraction of up to 25 vol% in the feed.
The negative effect of the leachate on the microbial activity was also verified from the respirometric tests. The biological activity of acetate-grown *P. acidivorans* dropped almost two-fold when the leachate replaced the broth even at low proportions (e.g. 12.5%, Figure 2.4). Testing the different possible inhibitors, such as salt, ammonium or VFA concentration suggested that the main inhibition most probably was caused by the high ammonium content.

2.4.3. Accumulation capacity versus actual process productivity

The maximum PHA storage capacities of each enrichment community significantly increased when synthetic VFA were supplied to the enrichment. During period II the maximum PHA percentage reached was relatively low. On the contrary, when the system was successfully selected with synthetic substrate supplemented with leachate (period III), the production was enhanced. However, the most crucial question was whether the communities enriched on a synthetic VFA substrate could reach the same PHA percentages when leachate was supplied in the so-called accumulation step. As shown in the present study, in the experiments with the continuous feeding of leachate, PHA production was at the same level as when synthetic substrate was supplied. The small decline between the experiments with leachate and synthetic VFA can be mainly attributed to the growth effect, since in these two sets of experiments the active biomass concentration was continuously increasing, yielding to decreased maximum PHA percentages.

2.4.4. Upscaling of the process

Previous research on PHA production from complex or inhibitory waste streams, such as municipal wastewater (Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014b), waste activated sludge (Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014b) or olive mill effluent (Beccari et al. 2009b) showed the negative effect of the substrate on the selection of the PHA-producers. In such systems there might be a distribution of carbon for non-storing purposes, such as growth or maintenance (Beccari, et al. (2009b; Morgan-Sagastume, et al. (2010b;Arcos-Hernández et al. 2013,Beccari et al. 2009b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2010b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014b). In the present study it was demonstrated that long-term selection for PHA-producers on leachate was unsuccessful. Their low competitive advantage in such a system made growth dominate. Using only a small proportion of wastewater for the enrichment, while the rest of it was directed to the production step, improved the storage characteristics of the community.

The net PHA production on influent COD can be calculated from a COD balance over the enrichment and accumulation reactor (Figure 2.9, adapted from Bengtsson et al. 2008b). The
wastewater can be divided into two flows (α and β), while an extra VFA-stream (γ) can be used for the enrichment. The amount of PHA that is produced at the end of the process (Figure 2.9) is determined by the characteristics of the enrichment (\(Y_{x/COD}, Y_{PHA/COD}, PHA_{max}\)).

\[
\text{PHA}_\text{yield} = \frac{\text{PHA} [gCOD]}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma [gCOD]}
\]

Figure 2.9: COD balance of the PHA production process, as proposed by Bengtsson et al. 2008b. From the total wastewater (WW) COD one part (α) is supplied to the enrichment reactor, while the rest of it (β = α – WW) is directed to the accumulation step. The extra flow (γ) that is fed to the enrichment reactor expresses the amount of synthetic VFA (in terms of COD) that are supplied to the enrichment reactor.

Solving the set of equations for given yields (e.g. \(Y_{PHA/COD} = 0.6\text{gCOD/gCOD}\) and \(Y_{X/COD}=0.5\text{gCOD/gCOD}\), Appendix B: COD balance and equations of Figure 9), shows that the overall yield is almost linearly related to the maximum PHA capacity of the enrichment (Figure 2.10a) independent of the γ-flow. Still, the amount of extra VFA (γ-COD) that needs to be supplied for the enrichment of the biomass remains a critical and cost-effective parameter. As shown in Figure 2.10b, depending the enrichment’s conditions there is a certain demand for external COD. For example, when the enrichment is carried out in 100% synthetic VFA (r=0), the extra COD that needs to be supplied from a clean source is much higher in comparison to the enrichment on 50% VFA (r=1). However, this difference becomes insignificant when the enrichment is characterized by high accumulation capacities (e.g. for PHA_{max}>0.8gCOD/gCOD). The highest the accumulation capacity, the less biomass is required for the PHA production step, resulting in less carbon (α+γ) demand for the enrichment step. This leads to more carbon (β) being directed towards the PHA production step. The experimental results suggested that the
“clean” enrichments (period III) had a remarkably increased accumulation capacity. According to Figure 2.10b, in this range of $\text{PHA}_{\text{max}}$, the $\gamma$-COD requirements are much less, revealing the trade-off between the amount of synthetic VFA that is supplied and the maximum PHA capacity of the biomass.

Figure 2.10: a) Theoretical PHA productivity of the process as a function of the maximum PHA capacity and b) synthetic COD supply as a function of the maximum PHA capacity and the ratio of wastewater over synthetic used for the enrichment ($r=\alpha/\gamma$).

The abovementioned evaluation can be used for the estimation of the theoretical total PHA yield ($\text{PHA}_{\text{yield}}$) of each enrichment (Table 2.6). These results confirm that supplementation of extra carbon and dilution of leachate enhance the process. More specifically, during the entire period III (a, b & c), the total PHA productivity was increased at least four-fold in comparison to period II, as expected and explained above.

Table 2.6: COD balance of the PHA production process according to Figure 9. $\alpha$: fraction of the leachate that is used for the enrichment, $\beta$: fraction of the leachate that is used for the production (accumulation step), $\gamma$: extra VFA supplied for the enrichment, $r$: the ratio of leachate over synthetic VFA that are supplied to the enrichment ($\alpha/\gamma$), $X_{en}$: the amount of biomass that is formed during the enrichment step, PHA: the PHA that is produced during the accumulation step, $\text{PHA}_{\text{max}}$: the maximum accumulation capacity of the enriched biomass.
**COD-balance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total COD of leachate divided into the two steps: enrichment and accumulation</td>
<td>$\alpha + \beta = \text{leachate}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of leachate over synthetic stream for the enrichment</td>
<td>$r = \alpha / \gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass production from the enrichment</td>
<td>$X_{en} = (\alpha + \gamma) Y_{S/COD}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA production during the accumulation step</td>
<td>$\text{PHA} = \beta Y_{\text{PHA/COD}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum PHA storage capacity of the biomass</td>
<td>$\text{PHA}<em>{\text{max}} = \text{PHA} / (\text{PHA} + X</em>{en})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**

The yield of biomass over total biomass is assumed to be the same regardless the type of carbon source

No maintenance requirements

Thus, an alternative approach is proposed for PHA formation in inhibitory environments: supplementation of extra VFA for the enrichment step and then direct supply of the troublesome substrate in the accumulation step. A potential low-priced candidate for $\gamma$-flow could be food, or paper mill wastewater. Research previously by Jiang et al. 2012a and Tamis et al. 2014a has shown that in this environment the selective pressure provides a competitive advantage for PHA-producers to thrive.

2.5. **Appendices**

2.5.1. **Appendix A: Biological Oxygen Monitor (BOM) tests**

Table 2.7: Normalized specific oxygen uptake rate of an acetate-grown P.acidivorans dominated community under different NaCl concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaCl [mM]</th>
<th>Conductivity</th>
<th>Normalized SOUR [-]</th>
<th>[mS·cm⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214.5</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.8: Normalized specific oxygen uptake rate of an acetate-grown P. acidivorans dominated community under different ammonium concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NH₄⁺ [mM]</th>
<th>NH₄Cl normalized SOUR [\text{\textendash}}</th>
<th>(\text{NH₄})₂SO₄ normalized SOUR (conductivity [mS·cm⁻¹])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (9.85)</td>
<td>1 (9.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1 (12.90)</td>
<td>0.8 (12.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.6 (16.70)</td>
<td>0.56 (15.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.48 (25.90)</td>
<td>0.56 (17.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.52 (30.20)</td>
<td>0.48 (26.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.9: Normalized specific oxygen uptake rate of an acetate-grown P. acidivorans dominated community varying initial acetate concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAc [Cmmol/L]</th>
<th>Normalized SOUR [\text{\textendash}}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5.2. Appendix B: COD balance and equations of Figure 9

WW: the amount of COD of waste stream (gCOD)
r: the ratio of wastewater over VFA in the enrichment step (gCOD/gCOD)
Y_{PHA/COD}: yield of PHA over COD (gCOD/gCOD)
Y_{x/COD}: yield of biomass over COD (gCOD/gCOD)

\[
\alpha + \beta = \text{WW (gCOD) Eq. (B.1)}
\]

\[
\alpha / \gamma = r (\text{gCOD/gCOD}) \text{Eq. (B.2)}
\]

\[
X_{\text{en}} = (\alpha + \gamma) Y_{x/COD} (\text{gCOD) Eq. (B.3)}
\]

\[
\text{PHA} = \beta Y_{PHA/COD} (\text{gCOD) Eq. (B.4)}
\]

\[
\text{PHA}_{\text{max}} = \text{(PHA)/(PHA} + X_{\text{en}}) (\text{gCOD/gCOD, dimensionless) Eq. (B.5)}
\]

Assumptions:
• The yield of biomass over total COD is assumed the same regardless the type of carbon source.
• Everything is calculated on a COD-basis
• No maintenance

The system of equations was symbolically solved with MATLAB© software, with the “syms” function

$$
\alpha = \frac{WW Y_{PHA/COD}(1-PHA_{max})}{PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD} + Y_{PHA/COD} - PHA_{max} Y_{PHA/COD} + PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD}} \text{ (gCOD)} \quad \text{Eq. (B.6)}
$$

$$
\beta = \frac{WW PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD} \tau(1+r)}{PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD} + Y_{PHA/COD} - PHA_{max} Y_{PHA/COD} + PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD}} \text{ (gCOD)} \quad \text{Eq. (B.7)}
$$

$$
\gamma = \frac{WW Y_{PHA/COD}(1-PHA_{max})}{PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD} + Y_{PHA/COD} - PHA_{max} Y_{PHA/COD} + PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD}} \text{ (gCOD)} \quad \text{Eq. (B.8)}
$$

$$
PHA = \frac{WW PHA_{max} Y_{PHA/COD} X (1+r)}{PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD} + Y_{PHA/COD} - PHA_{max} Y_{PHA/COD} + PHA_{max} Y_{X/COD}} \text{ (gCOD)} \quad \text{Eq. (B.9)}
$$

where, WW: the amount of COD of wastestream (gCOD)
Y_{PHA/COD}: yield of PHA over COD (gCOD/gCOD)
Y_{x/COD}: yield of biomass over COD (gCOD/gCOD)
PHA_{max}: the maximum PHA storage capacity of the biomass (gCOD-PHA/gCOD-TSS)
r: the ratio of the flows $\alpha/\gamma$ (wastewater/VFA, gCOD/gCOD)
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3.1. Introduction

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) are a family of biodegradable polyesters that can be accumulated by numerous bacteria under nutrient limitation. Depending on the carbon chain length of the building blocks of the polyester, they are divided into short-chain length PHA (3-5 carbon atoms) and medium-chain length PHA (6-14 carbon atoms) (Lee et al. 1999). PHA are intracellularly stored and serve as energy, carbon and reducing power reserves (Anderson et al. 1990). Their structure and monomeric composition mainly depend on the substrate properties (Lee et al. 1995). PHA have similar properties to petrochemical plastics, while their biodegradable nature and production from renewable substrates make them excellent candidates for chemical and material industry (Poirier et al. 1995).

The current commercial process for PHA production comprises sterilized fermenters operated batch-wise, with pure cultures of (genetically modified) microorganisms and sugars or agricultural feedstock as substrate (Chen 2009a). As a consequence, the PHA production costs are up to 5 times higher than conventional plastic production. Due to these high costs along with the shift towards implementation of low-value feedstocks in the process, research has been lately focused on mixed culture biotechnology. This concept is based on the selective enrichment of microorganisms with superior PHA producing capacities, and thus is conducted in open environment. This approach reduces the energy requirements and offers the possibility to employ low grade substrates such as wastewater. The most widely utilized and studied approach for the selection of PHA-producing biomass, due to its high PHA yield, is the feast-famine regime (Reis et al. 2003b, Dias et al. 2006). However, even when PHA is produced via mixed cultures, the high costs of the downstream processing due to the polymer fragility remain the main bottleneck. Economic analysis from showed that high PHA percentages in the biomass yield to higher purification efficiencies (cost-wise) (Van Wegen et al. 1998).

Lab-scale enrichments of PHA accumulating microbial communities on different defined substrates showed that when volatile fatty acids (VFA) were used as the substrate, the biomass could accumulate up to 90wt% PHA (Johnson et al. 2009a, Jiang et al. 2011a, Jiang et al. 2011d). Nonetheless, when using real wastewater the experimental results indicated that PHA production is primarily associated with the VFA fraction of the wastewater (Coats et al. 2007, Bengtsson et al. 2008a, Beccari, et al. (2009), Albuquerque et al. 2010b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2010b, Jiang et al. 2012a, Tamis et al. 2014a, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014a, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2015, Korkakaki et al. 2016a). This correlation is based on the fact that the VFA carbon fraction serves as a PHA precursor and efficiently enriches for a PHA accumulating community. Other (non-VFA) carbon compounds seem to select for a non-PHA-producing microbial community that
solely grows. So, apart from applying the appropriate conditions for enrichment, and thus selecting the microorganism with the highest PHA storage capacity, a key factor for the process productivity is the inflow (waste stream) that is used and what part of this stream can serve as a PHA-precursor.

Marang, et al. (2014) showed in a laboratory reactor that if an equally (in C-mol basis) acetate-methanol substrate is used for enrichment of a PHA producing community, acetate is taken up rapidly and stored in the form of PHA, whereas methanol is consumed for growth at a slower rate, resulting in biomass that consisted of approximately 40% of PHA-producers (*P. acidivorans*) and 60% of methanol-growers (Marang et al. 2014b). In these conditions, when acetate was fully consumed more than 70% of methanol was still present in the broth. Removing the liquid fraction (including the slower degraded carbon) immediately after the feast phase, would decrease the non-PHA storing fraction of biomass, favoring the PHA-producing community. In practice this can be accomplished by adding a sedimentation and supernatant discharge step after the feast phase. The objective of this research was to demonstrate the improved enrichment of PHA-producers with the implementation of a sedimentation step after acetate depletion in process fed with an acetate-methanol mixture. A mathematical model was established in order to evaluate the sensitivity of several design parameters on the biomass distribution between PHA-producers and methanol-growers.

3.2. Materials & Methods

3.2.1. Sequential batch reactor (SBR) for culture selection

A double-jacket glass bioreactor with a working volume of 2 L (Applikon, the Netherlands) was used for the enrichment of the biomass. The basic setup and operation of the reactor was the same as described by Johnson et al. 2009a. The reactor was operated in a cyclic way (SBR). The different phases of one SBR cycle are shown in Figure 3.1, and the different operational conditions tested (SBR I, II and III) are reported in Table 3.1. The supernatant removed was replaced with tap water to keep the working volume
stable during the entire cycle. When settling was implemented (SBR II and III) the effluent volume was reduced to 0.8 L in order to maintain a comparable solid retention time (SRT) of 1 d.

![Figure 3.1: Schematic representation of the different phases that comprised one SBR cycle](image)

Table 3.1: Operational conditions for the three different SBR systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SBR</th>
<th>Settling</th>
<th>SRT</th>
<th>Supernatant volume</th>
<th>Effluent volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The air flowrate supplied to the reactor was set to 0.4 LN/min using a mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument, USA). The total gas flow rate through the reactor was increased to 1.4 LN/min by partial recirculation of the off-gas. The temperature in the reactor was controlled at 30±1°C using a thermostat bath (Lauda, Germany), the pH was set at 7.0±0.1 by the addition of 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH, and the stirring speed was set at 750rpm. Biocontrollers (ADI 1030, Applikon, the Netherlands & BIOSTAT B plus, Sartorius, the Netherlands) were used for control of the pumps, stirrer, and pH control.

100 mL of aerobic activated sludge from the A stage of the municipal wastewater treatment plant Dokhaven in Rotterdam (the Netherlands) with sludge age equal to 8 h was used to inoculate the SBR. During each cycle 100 mL of carbon and nitrogen source, diluted with 800 mL tap water were dosed into the reactor. The carbon source was a mixture of sodium acetate and methanol (13.5 and 27 mM respectively). The nutrient medium contained 6.75 mM NH₄Cl, 2.49 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.55 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.72 mM KCl, 1.5 mL/L trace elements solution according to Vishniac et al. 1975, and 5 mg/L allylthiourea (to prevent nitrification).
The enrichment culture was considered stable, when the length of the feast phase and the concentration of total suspended solids (TSS) at the end of the cycle were constant for five consecutive days. When steady-state was reached, experiments were conducted to characterize an operational cycle. In addition, biomass from the SBR was collected for accumulation experiments and molecular analysis.

3.2.2. PHA production

To evaluate the PHA storage capacity of each enrichment (in the form of the specific biopolymer polyhydroxybutyrate, PHB), accumulation experiments were conducted in a separate double-jacket glass bioreactor (Applikon, the Netherlands). The reactor, with a working volume of 2 L, was operated at the same aeration rate, pH, and temperature as the enrichment reactor. At the beginning of each experiment the reactor was filled with 0.8 - 1 L of effluent biomass from the enrichment reactor and supplemented with carbon- and ammonium-free medium to 2 L. The production of PHA was initiated by a pulse of substrate (acetate and methanol), and the rest of the carbon was fed-on-demand via the pH-control in the form of acetic acid and methanol (1.5 M each). When the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration reached saturation, indicating no significant biological activity, the experiment was stopped. A more detailed description of the procedure can be found elsewhere (Marang et al. 2014b).

3.2.3. Analytical methods

For both characterization of the enriched community and PHA production, the profile of the experiments was monitored online via the DO, temperature, pH, acid and base dosage and off-gas CO$_2$ and O$_2$, and offline through substrate, ammonium, TSS and PHA measurements.

Filtered (0.45 µm pore size, PVDF membrane, Millipore, Ireland) samples were collected for the measurement of the soluble compounds (acetate, methanol and ammonium). Acetate was measured via high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and methanol via gas chromatography (GC). HPLC was equipped with a BioRad Aminex HPX-87H column (Waters 2489 UV/RI detector) with a mobile phase (1.5mM H$_3$PO$_4$) flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and a temperature of 60 °C. GC was equipped with flame ionization detector (FID) and an HP-INNOWax column.

TSS and PHA concentrations were analyzed as described in Johnson et al. 2009a and Marang et al. 2014b. The PHA content of the biomass, expressed as the weight percentage PHA of TSS, was determined using pure polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB, Sigma-Aldrich, CAS 26063-00-3) as standard, and benzoic acid as internal standard. The active biomass concentration was
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determined by the subtraction of PHB from the TSS, assuming that no other solid product is formed. Since the carbon substrate consisted solely of acetate and methanol the only expected product was PHB (Johnson et al. 2009b, Marang et al. 2014b).

3.2.4. Microbial population analysis

For microbial community structure analysis of the enrichment culture, biomass samples were collected from the SBR and fed-batch reactors. The genomic DNA was extracted using the Ultra Clean Soil DNA extraction kit (MoBio Laboratories, California) and subsequently used as template DNA for q-PCR.

The relative abundance of *Plasticicuimulans acidivorans* in the total enrichment culture ($f_{\text{Pa}}$) was analyzed by q-PCR. The extracted genomic DNA was amplified using two primer pairs: 518F/907R and 518F/UCB823R (Table 3.2). The first was used to quantify the total amount of bacteria in the samples, and the latter to quantify *P. acidivorans*. A detailed procedure can be found elsewhere (Marang et al. 2014b).

Table 3.2: Oligonucleotide probes for FISH analysis and primers for q-PCR analysis used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUB338 I</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>gctgccccctggaggtgt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Amann et al. 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUB338 II</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>gcagccaccctggaggtgt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Daims et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUB338 III</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>gctgccaccctggaggtgt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Daims et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCB823</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>cctccccaccgotcagtt</td>
<td><em>P. acidivorans</em></td>
<td>Johnson et al. 2009a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341F-GC</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>cctagggagacgagcag*</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518F</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>cctagggagacgagcag*</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Muyzer et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907R</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>ccgtaatctttgatttt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCB823R</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>cctccccaccctggaggtgt</td>
<td><em>P. acidivorans</em></td>
<td>Jiang et al. 2011c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Contains GC-clamp (5’-ggecgeggecgeggeggeggeggeggeggeggeggeggeg-3’) at the 5’ end of the primer

FISH was performed to validate the q-PCR results. The probes used in this study are listed in Table 3.2. They were commercially synthesized and 5’ labeled with either FLUOS or the sulfoindocyanine dye Cy5 (Thermo Hybrid interactive, Ulm, Germany). The general probe mixture EUB338I-III was used to visualize all bacteria in the sample, and the specific probe UCB823 to indicate the presence of *P. acidivorans*.

3.2.5. Data analysis
The data were corrected for the sampling effect, addition of acid and base and inorganic carbon dissolution, as described in Johnson et al. 2009a. For the calculation of the total conversion rates, the equation proposed by Marang et al. 2014b was adapted:

Equation 3.1: total conversion rates

\[ R_i = \frac{\sum_i (C_i(t_{n+1}) - C_i(t_n))/(C_x(t_{n+1}) + C_x(t_n))}{t_n - t_1} \]

where \( C_i \) concentration of compound i (C·mmol/L) and \( C_x \) concentration of total biomass (C·mmol/L).

The yields of PHB over acetate (\( Y_{\text{PHB/HAc}} \)) and total biomass over substrate (\( Y_{\text{X/S}} \)), were estimated by dividing of the formed product over the carbon consumed.

The settling efficiency of the solids (\( F_{\text{set}} \)) was calculated as the difference between the total TSS before settling (\( \text{TSS}_{\text{total}} \)) and the TSS in the supernatant (\( \text{TSS}_{\text{supernatant}} \)) according to the equation:

Equation 3.2: Settling efficiency of the solids

\[ F_{\text{set}}(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{\text{TSS}_{\text{supernatant}}}{\text{TSS}_{\text{total}}}\right) \times 100 \]

The same equation was used for the settling efficiency of PHA (\( F_{\text{PHA set}}^{\text{PHA}} \)), replacing the TSS value with the amount of PHA in the supernatant and total samples respectively.

3.2.6. Kinetic model

The model constructed in Matlab® consisted of three different parts: the microbial metabolism, the mass balances and the SBR operational conditions.

The conversions of acetate and methanol were assumed to be the carbon and energy source for two independent microbial groups, PHA-producers (\textit{P. acidivorans}) and methanol-growers as reported previously by Marang et al. 2014b. Acetate was assumed to be initially converted to PHB and then to biomass as described previously (Johnson et al. 2009b, Marang et al. 2013a and Tamis et al. 2014b). Methanol was directly converted to biomass according to Monod kinetics.

The biomass specific conversion rates (\( q \)-rates) were obtained from total conversion rate (\( R_i \)) and the estimated fraction of each population. The reaction stoichiometry for PHB production from acetate was derived from the measurements and the biomass yield on PHB was obtained from Van Aalst-Van Leeuwen et al. 1994. For methanol, due to lack of experimental data, the stoichiometric growth yield from Bussineau et al. 1986 was used (Table 3.3). The operational conditions including the variables tested are reported in Table 3.4.
Table 3.3: Observed and estimated parameters for the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{Y}_{PHB/HAc}$</td>
<td>[C-mol C-mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{Y}_{X/HAc,feast}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{Y}_{MetOH/X}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>Bussineau et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{Y}_{PHB/X, famine}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>Van Aalst-Van Leeuwen et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{MetOH,\text{max}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r=q_{HAc}/q_{MetOH}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$MW_x$</td>
<td>[g C-mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>Beun et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$MW_{PHB}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>Beun et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{PHB,\text{max}}$</td>
<td>[C-mol C-mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Marang et al. 2014b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (PHB inhibition term)</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{HAc}$</td>
<td>[C-mmol L$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{MetOH}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_N$</td>
<td>[mmol L$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{ATP}$</td>
<td>[mol (C-mol/L)$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Beun et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{PHB}$</td>
<td>[(C-mol C-mol)$^{-1/3}$ h$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>this study, according to the model of Tamis et al. 2014b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4: Operational conditions of the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_r$</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{eff}$</td>
<td>[L]</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{dis}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-2 (variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle length (CL)</td>
<td>[h]</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{pre}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{post}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL-$t_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAc</td>
<td>[C-mmol/L]</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetOH</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N</td>
<td>[C-mol mol$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the SBR operational cycle, the system was divided in five different phases, operated in a cyclic way, until steady-state was reached (approximately 50 cycles) as depicted in...
Figure 3.1:

i) start of the cycle i: the concentrations of biomass and PHA are determined by the effluent discharge volume of the previous cycle \((i-1)\), while acetate, methanol and ammonium are added in the system,

\[
C_{\text{start}}^i = \frac{(V_{\text{reactor}} - V_{\text{effluent}})}{V_{\text{reactor}}} C_{\text{end}}^{i-1}
\]

As initial condition, the assumption of equal amounts of the different parts of biomass \((C_{X,\text{PHA}} = C_{X,\text{MetOH}} = 5\text{mmol/L each})\) was made.

ii) pre-settling period: reactions for the time length of the pre-settling phase \((t_{\text{pre}})\) according to the kinetics of each compound,

iii) settling, supernatant removal and resuspension of the settled solids with water until final volume equal to 2L. The solids that remain in the system (biomass and PHA, \(C_{(s),\text{set}}\)) are a function of the settling efficiency \((F_{\text{set}})\), while the concentrations of the soluble compounds (acetate, methanol, ammonium, \(C_{(l)}\)) depend on the supernatant volume that is discharged \((V_{\text{dis}})\)

\[
C_{(s),\text{set}}^i = F_{\text{set}} C_{(s),\text{pre}}^i
\]

\[
C_{(l),\text{set}}^i = \frac{(V_{\text{reactor}} - V_{\text{dis}})}{V_{\text{reactor}}} C_{(l),\text{pre}}^i
\]

iv) post-settling period: the reactions continue according to the kinetics for the time length of the post-settling phase \((t_{\text{post}})\), and

v) effluent period: part of broth is removed from the system. The rest of the broth is used for the following cycle.

For the solution of the differential equations the built-in function of Matlab® ode15s was used (Appendix A).

The model was constructed for the evaluation of the impact of the volume discharged after settling. Since the different operations had completely different response with regard to the carbon consumption, calibration could not be applied.
3.3. Results and discussion

3.3.1. Settling to increase the fraction of PHA producing bacteria

A bioreactor was inoculated with activated sludge, fed with an acetate - methanol mixture and operated aerobically as a sequencing batch process resulting in a feast-famine regime within two weeks of operation. During the first operational period (SBR-I) no settling of biomass after the feast phase was imposed, and the operation was identical as described previously (Marang et al. 2014b). After the feeding phase the DO concentration decreased sharply indicating the depletion of the faster consumed carbon, acetate. At the point of acetate depletion PHB concentration reached its maximum value indicating the end of the feast phase at 108 min. After this time point the DO value slightly increased, but it kept decreasing until the complete consumption of the slower consumed substrate, methanol. In absence of external substrate, only PHB degradation occurred resulting in a much lower oxygen uptake rate and thus, higher DO values (Figure 3.2A).

In the second operational period (SBR-II), feeding and 1 h of reaction phase was followed by 20 min of settling, discharge of 1 L of supernatant volume and resuspension of the settled biomass until the working volume reached the 2 L. In these conditions, acetate was consumed before the beginning of the settling phase while 12% of the methanol fed was discharged with the supernatant (Figure 3.2B).
Figure 3.2: SBR cycle of the three different enrichments: A) SBR-I (without settling), B) SBR-II (settling after one hour of reaction and discharge of 1L of supernatant volume), C) SBR-III (settling after one hour of reaction and discharge of 1.5L of supernatant), and D) Fed-batch accumulation experiments of the enriched biomass of (◇) SBR-I, (△) SBR-II, and (□) SBR-III operation. (■)PHA, (■) Acetate, (■) Methanol, (■) Active biomass, (—) DO. Dashed lines represent the modeled results, with the same colors as the experimental data.

During the third period (SBR-III) the supernatant volume was further increased to 1.5 L, while the effluent volume was maintained as before equal to 0.8 L for an SRT of 1 d. In these conditions, after 1 h of reaction, acetate was not fully depleted, leading to a negligible amount of acetate removed (<5%) along with the discharge of the supernatant, while the percentage of removed methanol was more than 60% (Figure 3.2C).
Table 3.5: Overview of the observed kinetic, stoichiometric and operational characteristics for the three different systems under the normal SBR cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SBR</th>
<th>(-R_{\text{HAc}})</th>
<th>(-R_{\text{MetOH}})</th>
<th>(R_{\text{PHB}})</th>
<th>PHB</th>
<th>(Y_{\text{PHB/HAc}})</th>
<th>(Y_{X/(\text{HAc+MetOH})})</th>
<th>(F_{\text{set}})</th>
<th>(F_{\text{set}}^{\text{PHA}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The removal of methanol with the supernatant after settling can be directly related to an increase of the fraction of PHA-producers. Nonetheless, additional evidence for the positive effect of the settling in the biomass distribution can be provided from the PHB maximum storage capacity of the three different enrichments. Fed-batch experiments were conducted with mixed carbon substrate (acetate and methanol) without ammonium. The biomass used for the accumulation experiments was harvested at the end of each SBR operational cycle. Due to excess supply of ammonium in the SBR, growth-limiting conditions were not established from the beginning of the fed-batch experiments. For the accumulation experiments with biomass from SBR-I, II, and III, ammonium was depleted after 3, 5, and 2 hours respectively. According to the experimental results, indeed the supernatant removal led to an increased maximum PHB content, indicating that the side population (growing on methanol) was decreased (Figure 3.2D). Especially in the case of SBR-III this decrease was much more clear, with maximum PHB content equal to almost 70wt% (±1.8) as compared to 49%(±0.9) and 58%(±2.7) during SBR-I and SBR-II respectively.

3.3.2. Selective settling advantage for the PHA-producing biomass?

According to FISH image analysis (Figure 3.3), in the absence of settling (SBR-I) the biomass consisted of loose flocs, with both PHA-producing biomass (\textit{P. acidivorans}, pink cells) and general eubacteria (blue cells). In period II, with settling and removal of suspended cells, the flocs were more compact, probably due to selection by settling (note the SRT was kept similar). However, when the system shifted to a higher volume of discharge (\(\frac{3}{4}V_r\) – SBR-III), the flocs seemed to consist mainly of PHA-producers, whereas the non-storing bacteria (blue cells) were predominantly located in the outer layers of the floc, or were suspended in the bulk liquid (data not shown).

1 The rates are calculated over the total active biomass
2 The methanol uptake rates are calculated for the pre-settling period
PHB analysis in settled and supernatant samples showed that the PHB content of the settled biomass was significantly higher compared to the supernatant, suggesting improved settling of PHA-producers compared to methanol-degraders. Preferential settling of PHA-producers compared to methanol-degraders may contribute significantly to the selection of PHA-producers at the expense of methanol-degraders. PHB containing cells have been proposed to have a higher density and cell volume compared to empty cells, potentially increasing their settling velocity (Mas et al. 1985b, Pedrós-Alió et al. 1985). Nonetheless, the overall settling efficiency is also a function of the extent of aggregation of the different types of microorganisms in the system.

3.3.3. Mathematical evaluation of the influence of process parameters on PHB content of biomass

A mathematical model was developed for analysis of the impact of settling on the fraction PHA-producers in a system fed with mixed substrate. The parameters used in the model were obtained from the experimental data of the current work (SBR-II and III, similar to the parameters reported previously from Marang et al. 2014b). When settling was implemented (Figure 3.2B and C), the simulations fit adequately the experimental data, while this does not hold true for the system without settling. Acetate uptake rates in SBR-I are overestimated by the model, potentially due to an inadequately long acclimation period. The biomass in SBR-I was predicted to contain 39% of PHA-producers. After implementation of the settling step, the fraction of the PHA-producers increased to 48% (SBR-II), and 60% when 1.5L of supernatant volume was discharged (SBR-III). q-PCR analysis on each microbial population, showed a similar increase of the fraction of *P. acidivorans* from 40% in SBR-I to 63% when the operation switched to SBR-III (Appendix 3B: Appendix B: q-PCR data).
The model was used to investigate the impact of several operational variables such as the volume that is discharged after settling and the length of the pre-settling phase. To simplify interpretation of the model results it was assumed that the settling efficiency ($F_{set}$) of the biomass was equal to 100% (no solid removal with the supernatant). For fixed length of pre-settling phase (e.g. 1h, Figure 3.4), the fraction of PHA-producers increases with increased discharged volume. For the operation without settling ($V_{dis}=0$) the biomass distribution is determined by the two biomass yields on each carbon source (39% in the present study). When the discharged volume exceeds the half of the working volume, the proposed process modification (settling) has a significant impact on the side population removal because more methanol is removed with the supernatant (SBR-I, II, and III).

![Figure 3.4: Predicted biomass fraction of the PHA-producers as a function of the discharged volume under different lengths of pre-settling phase. The specific uptake rates used were calculated experimentally from the SBR operation with the settling step (SBR-II and –III), the settling efficiency was assumed to be equal to 100% (no solid removal with the supernatant) and the cycle length was equal to 12h. The shadowed area represents the non-applicable discharge volume.](image)

With regard to the length of the pre-settling phase, the modeled results suggested that there is a negative relation between $t_{pre}$ and the fraction of PHA-producers. Increasing the time leads to a higher amount of methanol converted to biomass, decreasing the percentage of PHA-producers within the biomass. This does not hold true if acetate has not been fully depleted (0.5 h in the figure), due to acetate discharge along with the supernatant. Consequently, the preferred length of
pre-settling phase is the one where acetate has just been depleted, while there is still a large amount of methanol present in the broth.

3.3.4. Survival of the fastest – exploiting the high biomass specific acetate uptake rates of PHA-producers

The success of selecting PHA-producers in a feast-famine regime is based on the extremely high biomass specific uptake rate of PHA-producers compared to microorganisms that use the substrate directly for growth (Kleerebezem et al. 2007a). This concept is confirmed in the present research as can be deduced from SBR-I where the specific acetate uptake rate is much faster than the methanol uptake rate.

The difference in actual substrate uptake rates between the PHA-producers and non-PHA-producers determines the efficiency of the settling step for elimination of the side population. In Figure 3.5 the effect of the settling and supernatant removal volume for different ratios of specific acetate uptake rate over specific methanol uptake rate \(\frac{q_{HAc}}{q_{MetOH}}\) is shown. In all the cases the specific acetate uptake rate was kept constant. For reasons of simplicity \(F_{set}^{\text{net}}\) was assumed to be equal to 100 wt%. In case \(\frac{q_{HAc}}{q_{MetOH}} = 2\), the fraction of the PHA-producing biomass is slightly decreasing at increasing discharged volumes (Figure 5). This is due to direct growth of the methanol degrading community resulting in increased methanol uptake rates, whereas acetate is first stored as PHA and the uptake rate is constant (Appendix C: Supplementary modeled data for the full cycle). The actual volumetric uptake rate of methanol is doubled during the cycle, leading to a fast depletion of methanol (0.95 h), while acetate depletion occurs a bit later (1.05h).

However, when \(\frac{q_{HAc}}{q_{MetOH}}\) increases, operation with settling dramatically increases the fraction PHA-producers in the system. More specifically, when the ratio between the two uptake rates is more than 5, the biomass distribution on PHA-producers follows an almost linear increase over the volume that is discharged. Nonetheless, if \(\frac{q_{HAc}}{q_{MetOH}}\) exceeds a level (in the presented study 10), there is no further advantage of the PHA-producers, but the success of the process depends solely on the operational parameters (such as discharged volume or time of reaction as discussed earlier).
Figure 3.5: Predicted biomass fractionation for PHA-producers as a function of the discharged volume for different ratios of specific acetate uptake rate over specific methanol uptake rate ($r=\frac{q_{\text{HAc}}}{q_{\text{MetOH}}}$). The specific acetate uptake rate used was calculated experimentally from the SBR operation with the settling step, the settling efficiency was assumed to be equal to 100% (no solid removal with the supernatant) and the cycle length was equal to 12h. The shadowed area represents the non-applicable discharge volume.

When the ratio between the two specific uptake rates is adequately high, the storing processes can outcompete growth. Research on PHA-production from wastewater has shown that slowly degradable organic carbon may contribute to a significant fraction of the wastewater COD and is degraded at a much lower rate in comparison to methanol ($\frac{q_{\text{VFA}}}{q_{\text{non-VFA-COD}}}>10$) (Bengtsson et al. 2008a, Jiang et al. 2012a, Tamis et al. 2014a). This suggests that settling after the feast phase may significantly enhance the productivity of the process for industrial wastewater, but one should realize that post-treatment of the supernatant is required before discharge to surface waters.

3.4. Appendices

3.4.1. Appendix A: Matlab® code

The following m-file was generated for the SBR simulation for $t_{\text{pre}}=1h$ under variable $V_{\text{dis}}$

clear all
clc
global K_ac u_ac_max m_atp K_n Y_phb_ac K_phb m_atp_phb Y_phb_atp...
Y_x_phb u_met_max K_met m_met_atp Y_met_atp Y_met_x...
Y_ac_atp Y_x_n alfa fPHB_max q_phb_max q_ac_max Y_x_ac X0

% PROCESS PARAMETERS----------------------------------------------------------
RT = 24; % Solid retention time (h)
CL = 12; % Cycle length (h)
V = 2.0; % Working volume reactor (l)
ac_feed = 13.5; % Acetate pulse (Cmmol/l)
met_feed = 13.5; % Methanol pulse (Cmmol/l)
CN_ratio = 9; % C:N ratio
N_feed = (ac_feed + met_feed) / CN_ratio; % Ammonium fed per cycle (mmol)
V_r=2;%working volume of the reactor [L]
Sol_rem=0; %Solid discharge of the biomass in the supernatant [-]
V_eff=1;%effluent volume[L]
V_dis=linspace(0,2,100);%Volume that is being discharged after reaction and settling[L]
%V_dis=0;
t_reac=1;%time of reaction, before the settling starts[h]
t_set=0; %time of settling[h]

% BIOMASS SPECIFIC PARAMETERS: KINETICS--------------------------------------
delta = 3.0; % Efficiency of the oxidative phosphorylation
K_n = 0.0001; % Assumed half-saturation constant ammonium (mmol/l)
% Plasmodiculum acidivorans (at 30 degree Celsius and 12 h cycle length)
q_ac_max = 2.6; % Max. specific substrate uptake rate (Cmol/Cmol/h)
u_ac_max = 0; % Max. specific growth rate on ext. substrate (Cmol/Cmol/h)
m_atp = 0.0; % Specific ATP requirement for maintenance (mol/Cmol/h)
alfa = 2; % Exponent of the PHB inhibition term (-)
K_phb = 0.18; % Rate constant for PHB degradation ((Cmol/Cmol)^(1/3)/h)- calculated from the degradation during the cycle
K_ac = 0.01; % Half-saturation constant substrate (Cmmol/l)
fPHB_max = 6; % Max. fraction of PHB (Cmol/Cmol)- from accumulation
m_atp_phb = 0.00; %ATP requirements on PHB

% MetOH biomass
u_met_max = 0.18; % Max. specific growth rate (Cmol/Cmol/h), calculated from the maximum uptake substrate rate multiplied by the yield
K_met = 0.01; % Half-saturation constant methanol (Cmmol/l)
m_met_atp=0.0; %ATP requirements on methanol
q_met_max=0.67;

% STOICHIOMETRIC YIELDS------------------------------------------------------
% Acetate
Y_x_ac = 0; % Van Aalst-Van Leeuwen
Y_x_n = 0.2;
Y_phb_ac = 0.59; % Experimental data/Average of SBRs
q_phb_max=q_ac_max*Y_phb_ac;
Y_ac_atp = 3;%Johnson
Y_x_phb = 0.65;%Van Aalst-Van Leeuwen
Y_phb_atp = 4.25;%Johnson
% MetOH
% balance already calculated at the Methanol_stoichiometry.xls file
Y_met_x=0.55;
Y_met_n=0.2;

%INITIAL CONDITIONS

% Initial amounts of Ac, NH4+  PHB, X_Pa, Met, Xmethoh, (Cmmol):
Y0=[0 0 0 5 0 5];
%Number of cycles
Ncycles=50;
start_cycle = zeros(Ncycles,6);
end_cycle = zeros(Ncycles,6);
A0=zeros(length(V_dis),6);
A1=zeros(length(V_dis),6);
A2=zeros(length(V_dis),6);
dy=zeros(6,1); % Initialization
for k=1:length(V_dis);
  for i = 1:Ncycles % 40 cycles = 480 h
    % Initialization of the cycle
    if i>1
      start_cycle(i,:) = (V_r-V_eff)/V_r*end_cycle(i-1,:);
    else % Except for the first cycle...
      start_cycle(i,:) = Y0;
    end
    % Add fresh carbon and ammonium
    start_cycle(i,1) = start_cycle(i,1) + ac_feed;
    start_cycle(i,2) = start_cycle(i,2)+ N_feed;
    start_cycle(i,5) = start_cycle(i,5)+  met_feed;
    % Run cycle
    start_cycle(i,:);
    % Initial conditions-end of the previous cycle+feed
    X0=Y0(4);% For PHA degradation - According to Tamis
    tspan=linspace(0,t_reac,100); % time: 0-1 hour
    options = odeset('AbsTol',1e-6, 'NonNegative',1:6);
    [t1,Y1]=ode15s(@kinetics_2,tspan,X0,options);
    A0=Y1(end,:);
%Settling - Discharge---------------------------------------------
Y0=Y1(end,:);
tspan=linspace(t_reac,t_reac+t_set,100); % time: 1-1.79 hour
Y2=ones(6,100);
for j=1:6
    Y2(j,:)=Y0(j)*Y2(j,:);
end
Y2=Y2';
%Dilution & Famine
% A1=Y2(end,:);
A1(k,1)=Y2(end,1)*(V_r-V_dis(k))/V_r;
A1(k,2)=Y2(end,2)*(V_r-V_dis(k))/V_r;
A1(k,3)=Y2(end,3)*(1-Sol_rem*V_dis(k)/V_r);
A1(k,4)=Y2(end,4)*(1-Sol_rem*V_dis(k)/V_r);
A1(k,5)=Y2(end,5)*(V_r-V_dis(k))/V_r;
A1(k,6)=Y2(end,6)*(1-Sol_rem*V_dis(k)/V_r);
Y0=A1(k,:);
X0=A1(k,4);
tspan=linspace(t_set+t_reac,CL,100); %time: 1.79-12 hour
options = odeset('AbsTol',10e-14, 'NonNegative',1:6);
[t3,Y3]   = ode15s(@kinetics_2,tspan,Y0,options);
end_cycle(i,:)=Y3(end,:);
A2(k,:)=end_cycle(end,:);

F_PHA=A2(:,4)./(A2(:,4)+A2(:,6));

%Final values at steady-state - After looping for N_Cycles-XLS-File------
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls',{'[L]'}  ,'Sheet1', 'A3');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls',V_dis', 1, 'a4');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls','before discharge', 'Sheet1', 'b1');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls','[Ac', 'N','PH','X_PH','Me','X_met', 'Sheet1', 'b2');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls','[Cmmol/L]', 'Sheet1', 'b3');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls',A1, 1, 'b4');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls','after discharge', 'Sheet1', 'b105');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls',A2, 1, 'b106');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls','total fractionation', 'Sheet1', 'i1');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls','[%]', 'Sheet1', 'i3');
xlswrite('160209_V_dis_t_set10_solrem0.xls',F_PHA.*100, 1, 'i4');
\texttt{Tot\_Ac=[Y1(:,1);Y2(:,1);Y3(:,1)];}
\texttt{Tot\_N=[Y1(:,2);Y2(:,2);Y3(:,2)];}
\texttt{Tot\_PHA=[Y1(:,3);Y2(:,3);Y3(:,3)];}
\texttt{Tot\_X\_ac=[Y1(:,4);Y2(:,4);Y3(:,4)];}
\texttt{Tot\_Met=[Y1(:,5);Y2(:,5);Y3(:,5)];}
\texttt{Tot\_X\_met=[Y1(:,6);Y2(:,6);Y3(:,6)];}
\texttt{Tot\_time=[t1;t2;t3];}
\texttt{Tot\_X\_tot=[Y1(:,6)+Y1(:,4);Y2(:,6)+Y2(:,4);Y3(:,6)+Y3(:,4)];}

\texttt{%Plotting-Modeled data-----------------------------------------------}
\texttt{figure(1)}
\texttt{plot(Tot\_time,Tot\_Ac,'-b');}
\texttt{xlabel('time[h]');}
\texttt{ylabel('Acetate concentration [Cmmol/l]');}
\texttt{figure(2)}
\texttt{plot(Tot\_time,Tot\_N);}
\texttt{xlabel('time[h]');}
\texttt{ylabel('Ammonium concentration [Cmmol/l]');}
\texttt{figure(3)}
\texttt{plot(Tot\_time,Tot\_PHA);}
\texttt{xlabel('time[h]');}
\texttt{ylabel('PHB concentration [Cmmol/l]');}
\texttt{figure(4)}
\texttt{plot(Tot\_time,Tot\_X\_ac,'-b');}
\texttt{xlabel('time[h]');}
\texttt{ylabel('Xacetate [Cmmol/l]');}
\texttt{figure(5)}
\texttt{plot(Tot\_time,Tot\_Met,'-b');}
\texttt{xlabel('time[h]');}
\texttt{ylabel('Methanol [Cmmol/l]');}
\texttt{figure(6)}
\texttt{plot(Tot\_time,Tot\_X\_met,'-b');}
\texttt{xlabel('time[h]');}
\texttt{ylabel('Xmethanol [Cmmol/l]');}

The kinetics were simulated according to the m-file “kinetics”

\texttt{function \; dy=kinetics(~,y)}
\texttt{global \; K\_ac \; m\_atp \; K\_n \; K\_phb \; m\_atp\_phb \; Y\_phb\_atp...}
\texttt{Y\_x\_phb \; u\_met\_max \; K\_met \; m\_met\_atp \; Y\_met\_atp \; Y\_met\_x ...}
Y_ac atp Y_x_n alfa fPHB_max Y_phb_ac q_ac_max X0

% Model kinetics

% PHA producing population
m_ac=m_atp/Y_ac_atp; % maintenance on acetate
q_ac=q_ac_max*y(1)/(K_ac+y(1)); % acetate uptake
q_p_phb=Y_phb_ac*(q_ac*(1-(y(3)/y(4)/fPHB_max)^alfa))-m_ac; % PHB production
q_c_phb=K_phb*(X0/y(4))^(1/3)*(y(3)/y(4))^2/3; % PHB degradation, according to Tamis, 2014
m_phb=m_atp_phb/Y_phb_atp; % maintenance on PHB
u_phb=Y_x_phb*(q_c_phb-m_phb); % Growth on PHB

% Methanol consuming population
u_met=u_met_max*y(2)*y(5)/(K_n+y(2))/(K_met+y(5)); % growth on methanol
m_met=m_met_atp/Y_met_atp; % maintenance on methanol
q_met=u_met/Y_met_x+m_met/Y_met_x; % methanol uptake

% Ammonium consumption
q_n=(u_met+u_phb)*Y_x_n; % growth on acetate, methanol & PHB

% Variables
% 1: Acetate
% 2: Ammonium
% 3: PHB
% 4: X_acetate
% 5: Methanol
% 6: X_methanol

% Balances

if y(1)>0.00001
    dy=zeros(6,1);
    dy(5) = - y(6)*q_met; % Methanol
    dy(6) = y(6)*u_met; % X_met
    dy(1) = - y(4)*q_ac; % Ac
    dy(3) = y(4)*q_p_phb; % PHB
    dy(4) = 0; % X_ac
    dy(2) = -y(2)*q_n; % N
else
    dy=zeros(6,1);
    dy(5) = - y(6)*q_met; % Methanol
    dy(6) = y(6)*u_met; % X_met
    dy(1) = 0; % Ac
    dy(3) = y(4)*q_c_phb; % PHB
    dy(4) = y(4)*u_phb; % X_ac
    dy(2) = -y(2)*q_n; % N
end

3.4.2. Appendix B: q-PCR data

Table 3.6: q-PCR analysis for the three different enrichments tested. 823 represents the RNA fragments of P. acidivorans and 907 of general Eubacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>823</th>
<th>SQ AvG</th>
<th>907</th>
<th>SQ AvG</th>
<th>Fraction of P. acidivorans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBR-I</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>9.83E-04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.65E-03</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBR-II</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.81E-04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>5.95E-03</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBR-III</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>7.77E-03</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.60E-03</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.3. Appendix C: Supplementary modeled data

Ratio between the two specific substrate rates (acetate/methanol) \( \frac{q_{HAc}}{q_{MetOH}} = 2 \).

Figure 3.6: One cycle of acetate-methanol at steady-state with ratio between the two specific substrate rates (acetate/methanol) \( \frac{q\text{HAc}}{q\text{MetOH}} = 2 \).
Chapter 4. **IMPACT OF PHOSPHATE LIMITATION ON POLYHYDROXYALKANOATE (PHA) PRODUCTION IN A FEAST-FAMINE PROCESS**\(^1\)

\(^1\) Accepted for publication in Water Research
4.1. Introduction

The 21st century can be defined as the “plastic” century. The majority of the economy is based on this material. The overuse of plastic has led to an annual demand of 245 million tons of plastic, of which a big part is floating in the oceans creating a major environmental problem (Andrady 2011). For the reduction of the plastic pollution, many measures have been taken, such as stricter legislations, recycling facilities, and replacement of the petrochemical plastics with biodegradable plastics. One of the most promising biodegradable plastics is polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) since it can be produced from renewable resources and has similar properties to petrochemical plastics (Chen 2009b). In the past decades research has focused on upscaling the PHA production process, either via metabolic engineering (e.g. pure cultures, genetically modified organisms – GMOs) or by mixed culture biotechnology. The latter approach provides important advantages due to its reduced energy demands, while open cultures offer the possibility of being a part of the general waste and wastewater treatment schemes (resource recovery).

Mixed culture biotechnology is based on the creation of selective cultivation conditions that favor growth of microorganisms with specific metabolic properties, such as superior PHA storage capacity (Kleerebezem et al. 2007a). Applying appropriate operational conditions, the most competitive microbial community can be selected and thrive in non-sterile conditions, since the environment itself provides the advantage, preventing intruders to survive (Beijerinck 1901). Different approaches for selecting microorganisms that have a high storage capacity under sequential operation include: i) alternating absence and presence of electron acceptor, i.e. polyphosphate accumulating organisms – glycogen accumulating organisms (PAO-GAO system), where PHA actually serves as an energy and electron storage (Mino et al. 1998), ii) intermittent carbon feeding (feast-famine regime) where PHA serves as the intracellular carbon source in the absence of external substrate (Reis et al. 2003a). Regardless the operation chosen for enrichment of PHA-producing biomass the overall process is conducted in two separate steps: first selection of the microbial community with a superior PHA producing capacity, followed by maximization of the cellular PHA content in a fed-batch process under nutrient limitation. The feast-famine regime has shown to have higher PHA productivities compared to the anaerobic-aerobic enrichment strategy (Reis et al. 2003a). However, the feast-famine regime is operated under fully aerobic conditions. The low solubility of oxygen generates mass transfer problems at high biomass concentrations, resulting in scaling-up limitations (Preusting et al. 1993).

The selection of the enrichment culture under the feast-famine regime is based on driving the competition for carbon uptake in favor of PHA accumulating bacteria. After successful enrichment of the community with PHA producing bacteria, the selected biomass is transferred
to the second step of the process, the production step, where nutrient (e.g. N or P) limitation is a prerequisite to prevent growth of a side population and achieve maximization of the cellular PHA content. Consequently, the ratio of carbon over a growth nutrient in the influent of the process is of great importance. When this ratio is high (nutrient-limited) a different competitive pressure is imposed to the system compared to a low ratio (Johnson et al. 2010). When the substrate is pulse-fed, carbon limitation will result in a feast-famine regime, selecting for organisms that rapidly take-up substrate and store it as PHA. In case of dual substrate limitation the system performance is hard to predict (Egli et al. 1993). Cavaillé et al. 2016 showed that a combined limitation of carbon and phosphate in a chemostat resulted in the enrichment of a microbial culture with a high PHA accumulation potential. This interesting option for double-limitation has not yet been explored for sequential batch type of operation for enriching PHA accumulating communities.

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of the combined carbon and phosphorus limitation for the enrichment of PHA-producing biomass in a sequencing batch process. Long-term enrichments were established on two different influent C/P ratios. Based on the experimental results, a model that predicts the substrate uptake rates was elaborated and used for the evaluation of the effect of P limitation.

4.2. Materials and methods
4.2.1. SBR culture enrichment

A double-jacket glass bioreactor with a working volume of 2L (Applikon, the Netherlands) was used for the enrichment of the biomass. The basic setup and operation of the reactor was the same as described by Johnson et al. 2009a. The reactor was operated in a cyclic way (sequential batch reactor, SBR).

The air flowrate supplied to the reactor was set to 0.4 L/min using a mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument, USA). The total gas flow rate through the reactor was increased to 1.4 L/min by partial recirculation of the off-gas. The temperature in the reactor was controlled at 30±1°C using a thermostat bath (Lauda, Germany), the pH was maintained at 7.0±0.1 by the addition of 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH, and the stirring speed was set at 750 rpm. Biocontrollers (ADI 1030, Applikon, the Netherlands & BIOSTAT B plus, Sartorius, the Netherlands) were used for control of the pumps, stirrer, and pH control.

Activated sludge from the municipal wastewater treatment plant Dokhaven in Rotterdam (the Netherlands) was used to inoculate the SBR. During each cycle 100 mL of fresh carbon and nitrogen source were dosed into the reactor. The carbon source consisted of sodium acetate (13.5
mM). The nutrient medium contained 6.75 mM NH₄Cl, varied KH₂PO₄ concentration (Table 4.1), 0.55 mM MgSO₄•7H₂O, 0.72 mM KCl, 1.5mL/L, 0.02 mM MOPS, trace elements solution according to Vishniac et al. 1975, and 5 mg/L allylthiourea (to prevent nitrification).

Table 4.1: Substrate characteristics for the tested enrichments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>KH₂PO₄ concentration</th>
<th>C/P in the medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson et al (2009)</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The enrichment culture was considered stable, when the length of the feast phase and the concentration of total suspended solids (TSS) at the end of the cycle were constant for at least five consecutive days. When steady-state was reached, experiments were conducted to characterize the operational cycle. In addition, biomass from the SBR was collected for accumulation experiments and molecular analysis.

4.2.2. Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) production

To evaluate the PHB storage capacity of each enrichment accumulation experiments were conducted in a separate double-jacket glass bioreactor (Applikon, the Netherlands). The reactor, with a working volume of 2 L, was operated at the same aeration rate, pH, and temperature as the enrichment reactor. At the beginning of each experiment the reactor was filled with effluent biomass from the enrichment reactor and carbon- and phosphorus-free medium to 2 L. The production of PHB was initiated by a pulse of substrate (1.5 M acetate), and the rest of the carbon was fed-on-demand via the pH-control in the form of acetic acid (1.5 M). When the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration reached saturation, indicating no significant biological activity, the experiment was stopped.

4.2.3. Analytical methods

For both characterization of the enriched community and PHB production, the profile of the experiments was monitored online via the DO, temperature, pH, acid and base dosage and off-
gas CO$_2$ and O$_2$, and offline through acetate, ammonium, o-phosphate, total phosphorus, TSS and PHB concentration measurements.

Samples were collected and filtered (0.45 µm pore size, PVDF membrane, Millipore, Ireland) to remove all solids for the measurement of the soluble compounds (acetate, total phosphorus and ammonium). Additionally, for the determination of the total phosphorus concentration in the biomass, TP was also measured in the total samples. Ammonium and phosphorus concentrations in the total and filtered samples were determined with Hach Lange™ kits (LCK 303 and LCK 348/349). Acetate was measured via high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), equipped with a BioRad Aminex HPX-87H column (Waters 2489 UV/RI detector) with a mobile phase (1.5mM H$_3$PO$_4$) flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and a temperature of 60°C.

The TSS and PHB concentrations were analyzed as described in Marang et al. 2014a and Johnson et al. 2009a respectively. The PHB content of the biomass, expressed as the weight percentage PHB of TSS, was calculated using pure polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB, Sigma-Aldrich, CAS 26063-00-3) as standard, and benzoic acid as internal standard. PHB was the only expected product since acetate served as the sole carbon source. The active biomass concentration was determined by the subtraction of PHB from the TSS, assuming that no other solid product was formed.

4.2.4. Molecular analysis

For the analysis of the microbial composition of each enrichment, biomass samples were collected from the SBR and fed-batch reactor. The genomic DNA extraction was conducted with the Ultra Clean Soil DNA extraction kit (MoBio Laboratories, California) and subsequently used as template DNA for PCR-DGGE. 16s rRNA gene fragments of the community constituents were obtained by a “touchdown” PCR program with primers 341F with a GC clamp and 907R for DGGE analysis (Schäfer et al. 2001b, Table 4.2). The 16S rRNA gene amplicons were loaded onto 8% polyacrylamide gels with a denaturing gradient from 20% to 70% DNA denaturants (100% denaturants is a mixture of 5.6M urea and 32% formamide (Schäfer et al. 2001b). The DNA was visualized by UV illumination after staining with SYBR® Safe, and photographed with a digital camera. Individual bands were excised from the gel with a sterile razor blade and incubated overnight in 50 µL water at 4°C. Re-amplification was performed using the same primer pair (Table 4.2) and the PCR products were sequenced by a commercial company (Macrogen, South Korea). The sequences have been stored in GenBank under accession numbers KY458789-KY458797.
Table 4.2: Primers for PCR analysis used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>341F-GC</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Ctcacggaggcgaggcgaggcag(^1)</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907R</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>cgtcaatcttcggtttttgagttt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.5. Data analysis

The data were corrected for the sampling effect, addition of acid and base and inorganic carbon dissolution, as described in Johnson et al. 2009a. For the calculation of the biomass specific conversion rates, refer to Korkakaki et al. 2016a.

The yields of PHB over acetate (\(Y_{PHB/HAc}\)) and total biomass over substrate (\(Y_{X/S}\)), were estimated by dividing of the formed product over the carbon consumed. The same concept was used for the estimation of the P/C content of the biomass were the amount of phosphorus measured in the total sample (subtracting the soluble phosphorus) was divided by the total amount of solids after subtraction of PHB.

4.2.6. Modeling the process

A computational model was developed for the investigation of the correlation between the biomass specific uptake rates and the cellular P content. The model was adapted from Korkakaki et al. 2016b, with modified mass balances, based on the cellular P-content instead of active biomass, to fit the experimental data (Table 4.3, balance tab). The process parameters, the kinetics and the stoichiometry of the (microbial) conversions are reported in Table 4.3 and

Table 4.4.

\(^1\) Contains GC-clamp (5'-cgcccgccgcgcccccgccgcccgcgcgcccgcccg-3') at the 5' end of the primer
Table 4.3: Model kinetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feast phase</td>
<td>Acetate uptake</td>
<td>( q_{Ac} = \frac{q_{\text{max}}}{K_{Ac} + [Ac]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetate uptake (with inhibition)</td>
<td>( q_{Ac} = \mu \frac{1}{Y_x} + q_{PHB} \frac{1}{Y_{PHB}} + m_{Ac} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>( \mu = \mu_{\text{max}} \frac{[Ac]}{K_{Ac} + [Ac]K_{NH_3} + [NH_3]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHB production</td>
<td>( q_{PHB} = Y_{PHB} \frac{q_{Ac} - \mu \frac{1}{Y_x} - m_{Ac}}{Ac} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(with inhibition)</td>
<td>( q_{PHB} = q_{Ac} Y_{PHB/AC} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{[PHB]}{[Ac]} \right)^a \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Famine phase</td>
<td>Growth (on the internal carbon)</td>
<td>( \mu_{PHB} = Y_{X_{AC}/PHB} (q_{PHB,deg} - m_{PHB}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHB degradation</td>
<td>( q_{PHB,deg} = k \left( \frac{X_{AC,0}}{X_{AC}} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{[PHB]}{[Ac]} \right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO_4^{3-}</td>
<td>Phosphate uptake</td>
<td>( q_p = \frac{q_{\text{max}}}{K_{AC} + [PO_4^{3-}]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH_3</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>( q_N = (\mu_{AC} + \mu_{PHB}) Y_{N/X} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>On acetate</td>
<td>( m_{Ac} = \frac{m_{ATP}}{Y_{ATP}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On PHB</td>
<td>( m_{PHB} = \frac{m_{ATP}}{Y_{ATP}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balances

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d[Ac]}{dt} &= -P_{cell}(t)q_{Ac} \\
\frac{d[PHB]}{dt} &= P_{cell}(t)q_{PHB,prod} - P_{cell}(t)q_{PHB,deg} \\
\frac{d[X_{AC}]}{dt} &= P_{cell}(t)(\mu_{AC} + \mu_{PHB}) \\
\frac{d[N]}{dt} &= NH_3(t)q_N \\
\frac{d[P]}{dt} &= -P_{cell}(t)q_P
\end{align*}
\]


2 The maximum uptake rate is expressed as (C-)mole compound/ mole P (cellular)/h.
Table 4.4: Model parameters & Stoichiometric yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{PHB/AC} )</td>
<td>[C-mole C-mol(^{-1})]</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{X/HC,feast} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{AC}^{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>[C-mol Ac (mol P h(^{-1}))]</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{P}^{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>[C-mol (C-mol P h(^{-1}))]</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu^{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>[C-mol Ac (mol P h(^{-1}))]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( MW_{x} )</td>
<td>[g C-\text{mol}(^{-1})]</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>Beun et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( MW_{PHB} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>Beun et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{PHB,max} )</td>
<td>[C-mol C-mol(^{-1})]</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Marang et al. 2014a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a ) (PHB inhibition term)</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_{Ac} )</td>
<td>[C-mmol/L]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_{p} )</td>
<td>[mmol/L]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_{N} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{ATP} )</td>
<td>[mol(C-mol/L)(^{-1})]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Beun et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_{PHB} )</td>
<td>[(C-mol C-mol)(^{1/3})h(^{-1})]</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One modeled cycle was divided in three phases that were consecutively executed in a loop: i) feeding phase, where a pulse of carbon and nutrients is fed to the system, ii) reaction phase, where the added substrate is consumed according to kinetics, and iii) effluent phase, where a part of the volume is discharged according to the operational conditions. The simulation was run until the steady-state was reached.

4.3. Results

4.3.1. SBR enrichments in the double-limitation regime

Two different enrichments cultures were set up for the evaluation of the double limitation effect on the PHA production capacity of the enriched biomass. After a start-up period of 20 days, acetate was rapidly depleted in the first enrichment (CP-I) after approximately 0.8 h, while PHB was produced (feast phase). Phosphate was consumed at a constant rate and depleted after
approximately 3 h. In the absence of external carbon, PHB was degraded and used for biomass growth (famine phase). PHB was completely exhausted after 10 h (Figure 4.1A). In the second system (CP-II), where the amount of dosed P was halved, the enrichment showed a completely different profile. The soluble phosphate was consumed within 5 min, while acetate was depleted at a much lower rate (after almost 6 h of reaction) and was used for biomass production as reflected both in the increase of the biomass concentration and ammonium uptake. Only a small fraction of acetate was stored as PHB. After the exhaustion of acetate, PHB was slowly degraded in the famine phase (Figure 4.1B).

Figure 4.1: Conversion in a cycle of the enrichment cultures: A) CP-I (C/P_{feed}=167 \text{ molC/molP}). B) CP-II (C/P_{feed}=334). SRT=HRT=1 d, cycle length=12 h. Acetate is represented with black color, soluble phosphate with green, PHA with blue, NH\textsubscript{3} with orange, active biomass with red. Solid lines represent modeled data, while dashed lines represent experimental data.

4.3.2. Maximal PHB storage capacity of the P-limited enrichment culture

To evaluate the maximum PHB storage capacity of the enriched biomass in both systems, fed-batch experiments were conducted in P-limiting conditions (Figure 4.2). In the case of the biomass enriched in CP-I, acetate was directly converted to PHB, while at the same time, a small part of carbon was directed towards biomass production. Despite that no phosphate was present in the medium, ammonium uptake continued for the entire experiment and the active biomass concentration doubled, from 0.45 to more than 1 g/L. The PHB content of the biomass reached 60% within the first hour of the experiment, and continued increasing to 84 wt% after 6 h of acetate feeding (Figure 4.2C). During this operation all the rates were constant until the complete saturation of the cells on PHB (Figure 4.2A).
Figure 4.2: Accumulation experiment of the enrichments: A) CP-I (C/P_{feed}=167 during the enrichment). B) CP-II (C/P_{feed}=334 during the enrichment). SRT=HRT=1 day, cycle length=12h. Acetate is represented with black color, soluble phosphate with green, PHA with blue, NH\textsubscript{3} with orange, active biomass with red, solid lines represent modeled data while dashed lines represent experimental data. C) Comparison of the maximum PHA percentages of the two enrichments. Closed squares represent the CP-I enrichment, and open squares the CP-II enrichment.

For the biomass enriched in CP-II, the accumulation experiment confirmed the low PHB storage potential of the biomass. After 6 h of dosage of acetate the maximum PHA capacity of the biomass reached 30 wt\%, whereas the biomass concentration increased continuously as reflected by ammonium uptake.

4.3.3. Kinetic and stoichiometric characteristics of the two enrichment cultures
The observed kinetic and stoichiometric parameters of the two enrichment cultures are shown in Table 4.5. All the data are calculated from the cycle measurements, except for the maximum PHA content, that was derived from the accumulation experiments.
Table 4.5: Observed yields and specific rates of the biomass enriched in System I, System II and control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Observed yields</th>
<th>Specific rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feast phase</td>
<td>Total cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y&lt;sub&gt;PHA/Ac&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Y&lt;sub&gt;CO2/Ac&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson et al. 2009</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For CP-I enrichment substrate storage and CO$_2$ production were the main metabolic activities in the feast phase, with yields on acetate equal to 0.63 and 0.31 C-mol/C-mol respectively. The specific substrate (acetate) uptake rate was 2.2 C-mol/C-mol/h. For the CP-II enrichment the yields of PHA and CO$_2$ over acetate were 0.16 and 0.24 C-mol/C-mol respectively. Under this operation, in the presence of acetate, growth played a significant role in the metabolism, with a yield of biomass over acetate ($Y_{X/Ac}$) equal to 0.46 C-mol/C-mol. In the CP-II enrichment culture the specific acetate uptake rate was only 0.54 C-mol/C-mol/h.

4.3.4. Modeling based evaluation
A computational model was developed that describes the volumetric acetate uptake rate as a first order reaction in the intracellular phosphate concentration, instead of the active biomass (protein) concentration. In the regular feast-famine cycle, due to the relatively stable P-concentration within the cells, the uptake rates were hardly affected, and followed the traditional Monod kinetics (Figure 4.2A). In the accumulation experiment the experimental data indicated a decrease in the biomass specific uptake rates with a decreasing P-content of the cells (Figure 4.2A). It was hypothesized that the stable P-concentration within the cells leads to a first order kinetic behavior in cellular phosphate concentration, despite the fact that a part of carbon was used for biomass formation.

4.3.5. Microbial community structure
Once each system reached a steady-state DGGE analysis was used for the analysis of the microbial community structure (Figure 4.3). The dominance of different microorganisms in the two different operations is clear from the DGGE bands. More specifically, during the operation of CP-I, _P. acidivorans_, a known PHA-producer dominated the system (Figure 4.3, bands 1-3). In System II, where the phosphate concentration of the influent got further decreased, the population was dominated by _Emticicia_, _Azorizobium_ and _Xanthobacter_ (Figure 4.3, bands 4-6).
4.4. Discussion

4.4.1. Double limitation during the feast-famine still creates a selective pressure for PHA production

According to the experimental results obtained from both the cycle profile and the accumulation experiment, the double limitation imposed in CP-I, did not seem to influence the general performance of the *P. acidivorans* dominated enrichment during cultivation. The main product of acetate conversion was PHB (and carbon dioxide). Up to that C/P-ratio the microorganisms did compete for acetate uptake, and P was only mildly limited, not affecting the process. The general characteristics of the enrichment, such as specific substrate uptake rate and storage yield were in the same range or slightly lower as obtained previously (Johnson et al. 2009a) when acetate was the only limiting compound. All these clearly demonstrate that up to a C/P ratio of 150 C-mol/mol in the feed, PHA production still provides a competitive advantage in terms of substrate-uptake rate that can be achieved in comparison to direct use of acetate for growth (Table 4.5).

When the system was operated at a C/P ratio of 300 C-mol/mol (CP-II), the selective pressure shifted to competition for phosphorus uptake. Phosphate was taken up extremely fast (within 5 min) but the acetate uptake rate was significantly lower compared to the one of CP-I. During the
cycle only a small fraction of acetate was directed towards PHB production, whereas the rest of it was used for biomass growth. Additionally, the significantly lower overall biomass yield on the substrate suggested that growth efficiency was reduced (Table 4.5).

In general, the experimental results reveal that as long as carbon is depleted before phosphorus, PHA production provides a competitive advantage over direct use of carbon for growth. When P is depleted before carbon, there is no competitive advantage related to PHA production and carbon will be used directly for growth. In this case the selective pressure is based on the P-uptake rate, favoring – most probably - P-storage.

### 4.4.2. Species competition under double-limitation

Double-limited systems have been previously reported (Egli 1991, Egli et al. 1993, Zinn et al. 2004, Johnson et al. 2010, Cavaillé et al. 2016). A system can be considered as multiple-limited when more than one non-interactive growth nutrients (that do not substitute each other (Bader 1978) are fully exhausted in the effluent. The boundaries of the double limitation regime depend on the biomass growth yield and the flexibility of the cell to adjust its yield on the respective nutrients. Egli 1991 conducted studies with double limitation of carbon and nitrogen under continuous operation with a pure culture. They showed that when a double limited system is operated at high ratio of C/N (towards single nitrogen limitation), the nitrogen is used for the essential functions (biomass formation), while the remaining carbon is stored (70 wt% PHA). Operating the system at low C/N ratios (towards the carbon limitation) nitrogen storage occurs within the cells (Egli 1991). Cavaillé et al. 2016 took a step further and investigated the impact of double-limitation of carbon and phosphate in an open continuous/chemostat culture. In the enrichment culture the same pattern as observed by Egli 1991, storage of PHA at high C/P ratios (up to 80 wt%), while polyphosphate was stored at low C/P ratios.

Johnson et al. 2010 investigated the double-limitation effect of carbon and nitrogen under SBR operation. PHB storage capacity seemed to be negatively affected when the limitation was shifted towards nitrogen limitation. In the present study the same approach was utilized with limiting compounds carbon and phosphorus. Similar to Johnson et al. 2010 increasing the C/P ratio (towards phosphate limitation), P is depleted before carbon, and thus genera with the competitive advantage on phosphate uptake rate are dominating. On the other hand, when the C/P ratio tends towards lower values, carbon is the first of the two limited compounds to be depleted with PHA storage as the dominant activity, and *P. acidivorans* thriving. Comparing these two operations, SBR versus chemostat, there is a completely different selective environment (when referring to open cultures). Under continuous operation, the fact that determines the winner of
the competition is the affinity, while in an SBR system the winner lies on the specific uptake rate of the “most” limited compound.

The key-point of this comparison is that depending on the C/P or C/N ratio in the influent one of these two approaches can be utilized for PHA production: chemostat when the ratio is high and SBR when the ratio is low. According to the chemostat studies when the C/(nutrient) ratio is high (nutrient-limited) the excess of carbon is directed to PHA, while in the SBR operation the nutrient limitation leads to a competition based on this specific nutrient, and thus selection of organisms that can more efficiently use this nutrient. More specifically, under SBR operation lower C/(nutrient) ratios would lead to successful enrichment of PHA producers.

Nonetheless, comparing these two operation SBR seems to be more efficient due to higher PHA capacities (84 versus 80% wt), while the process can be operated in a continuous mode by splitting the two stages (feast and famine) in space -with two separate tanks- than in time (Albuquerque et al. 2010a, Marang et al. 2015).

4.4.3. Specific conversion rates at low P-intracellular content

Double-limitation up to a certain ratio (C/P=150 C-mol/mol in this study) the specific substrate uptake rate was at the same range as the one obtained from carbon-limited systems Johnson et al. 2009a. However, when biomass system from this system was used in a phosphate limited accumulation experiment, biomass specific uptake rates decreased significantly and volumetric uptake rates remained constant (Figure 4.2A). Biomass growth was reflected in both the biomass increasing concentration and the ammonium uptake. Traditional substrate uptake kinetics are first order in the concentration of active biomass, which subsequently should lead to an exponential uptake of substrate (Figure 4.4 - dashed lines). However, as the data suggest, this does not apply for the enrichment of CP-I.
Figure 4.4: Accumulation experiment of CP-I. Acetate is represented by black squares, PHB by blue triangles and active biomass by red circles), Pcel-dependent model (solid lines) and typical Monod (active biomass dependent) model (dashed lines).

In the model used in the present study (Figure 4.1A & Figure 4.2A, represented as solid line) all the rates are therefore expressed as a function of the intracellular P-content. In the case of the cycle experiment, the dependence of the rates on the P concentration is minimal. This is an outcome of the much faster depletion of acetate in comparison to P, that leads to a rather stable cellular P-concentration. Nonetheless, in the case of the accumulation experiment, since there is no external P added to the system, while there is significant biomass growth in a period of 10 h, the correlation of the intracellular P concentration and the uptake rates is obvious.

4.4.4. Linking theory with practice
When the feast-famine regime is used for PHA production, the process consists of two-steps: enrichment of biomass and, ii) PHA production in the accumulation step. For the latter step, nutrient limitation is required to avoid growth of side-population and to force all the carbon towards PHA production (Johnson et al. 2009a, Jiang et al. 2012b). Most of literature so far is devoted to nitrogen-limitation during this accumulation step, but the majority of waste streams is not N-limited. Selective removal of (ammonium-) nitrogen is not feasible at low concentrations encountered in wastewater. Phosphate is an interesting candidate with regard to nutrient
limitation. It can be removed from an aqueous solution employing chemical precipitation and crystallization through dosage of Al, Fe or Mg salts. The precipitated mineral can be easily separated from the liquid by decanting (Yeoman et al. 1988, Georgantas et al. 2007). Thus, in a vast majority of waste streams that concept can be applied, leading to P-limited streams.

Typically, in the traditional carbon-limited enrichments the biomass that is used in the accumulation reactor is generated in the enrichment reactor, since during the production step growth is restricted. However, the double-limited enrichment (CP-I) was allowed to grow in the accumulation phase without negatively affecting the cellular PHA content. More specifically, in the accumulation experiment the biomass concentration was increased two-fold at PHA-contents exceeding 80 wt%. Additionally, no side population can develop during this step because phosphate remains limiting at all times. Therefore, from the process design point of view, a significantly smaller enrichment reactor is required, and the largest fraction of the waste can be supplied to the accumulation reactor.
An additional advantage of the double-limited enrichment is the linear pattern of the conversion rates. The kinetic model (and the experimental data) show that all the conversion rates depend linearly on the cellular P-content and not on the biomass concentration. Therefore, high biomass and PHA concentrations can be established without oxygen mass transfer limitations, provided that the cellular P-content is maintained low. Assuming that in an industrial bubble column reactor the maximum transfer rate of oxygen is 5 kg/m$^3$/d, in the enrichment CP-I (as shown in Figure 4.5), oxygen limitation will never occur, since the slope of the oxygen uptake never exceeds that maximum transfer rate (with a constant value of 1.2 kg/m$^3$/d). In theory, higher concentrations of biomass (up to 4 times more) can be utilized, leading to a respective four-fold increase of the PHA productivity (resulting in 480 kg PHA/m$^3$/d) at biomass amounts of at least 120 kg/m$^3$/d.
Chapter 5. Storage competition on glucose in short SRTs: an electron acceptor dynamics study
5.1. Introduction

Increasing population and welfare drives society to develop a more resource recovery oriented circular economy. For wastewater treatment plants this is reflected not only in development of water reuse technology but also in the recovery of nutrients and chemicals (Van Loosdrecht et al. 2014). A typical –traditional- example is the biogas production unit, where the non-degraded organics are transformed into biogas/energy, or the composting units, were waste is turned into fertilizers. However, from a circular economy point of view, recovery of organic compounds with a higher value should be recovered, such as biopolymers like polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) or extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) are a group of biodegradable polymers that are produced by numerous groups of bacteria (Chen 2009b). Their properties depend on the monomeric units with a close similarity to petrochemical-derived plastics, offering the opportunity of direct usage in the plastic industry. Additionally they can also serve as precursors for the chemical industry, for the production of antibiotics, vitamins, aromatics (Chen et al. 2005, Philip et al. 2007).

Previously it has been shown that microbial PHA production in open systems can be achieved with two different methods; the feast-famine regime, where the process is operated aerobically under intermittent substrate feeding (mainly carbon), or an anaerobic-aerobic cyclic operation. The selective pressure in such periodically fed systems is based on the biomass specific uptake rate, resulting in the selection of microorganisms that have high uptake rates, enabled by rapid storage of substrate as polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), instead of direct converting the substrate directly into biomass (Van Loosdrecht et al. 1997, Reis et al. 2003a, Kleerebezem et al. 2007a). In anaerobic/aerobic conditions (or alternatively with electron acceptor dynamics), a similar competition arise but for organisms that have an alternative energy supply in the absence of an electron acceptor. Research into PHA production has been mainly oriented towards using acidified wastewater, with acetate and other VFA as the main carbon substrate (Serafim et al. 2008, Johnson et al. 2009a, Albuquerque et al. 2010a, Jiang et al. 2011c, Marang et al. 2014a, Korkakaki et al. 2016a, Korkakaki et al. 2016b). If sugars -such as glucose- could be directly used for PHA production by enrichment cultures the need for pre-fermentation would be eliminated (Bengtsson et al. 2008a, Coats et al. 2011, Jiang et al. 2012b, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014a, Tamis et al. 2014a).

A limited number of studies has investigated glucose as a potential substrate for PHA production in enrichment cultures. It was shown that under fully aerobic operation glucose was mainly converted to glycogen. Glycogen storage was proposed to be favored due to its faster production rate compared to PHA storage (Dircks et al. 2001). Cech et al. 1990 introduced the so-called G-
bacteria that dominate enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) process when glucose is present in the influent. In their type of experiments, glucose and acetate were fed to the enrichments with a long SRT (>18 d) and observed that under their operation glucose was polymerized to glycogen, outcompeting polyphosphate accumulating organisms (PAOs). Carucci et al. 1999 conducted series of experiments to identify the EBPR ability of enrichments fed with different carbon substrates. They showed that when acetate and glucose were dosed to the enrichment, glucose was stored rapidly as glycogen and partly fermented to lactate by enrichment cultures at an SRT of 8-10 d. Approximately half of the carbon source was fermented to provide the energy for the other half of substrate to be used for storage and growth. Wang et al. 2002 used glucose as the sole substrate with a long SRT (8d) and derived the glucose metabolism in mixed cultures under anaerobic-aerobic cycling in an EBPR process. In their case the so-called “bio-P bacteria” (or else PAOs) dominated the enrichment, providing the energy required for the glucose uptake and PHA production by polyphosphate hydrolysis. What was suggested from their work is that in order to maintain the redox balance PHV was produced.

Theoretically, glucose could be converted to PHA directly by the same microorganism (Figure 5.1 – right part of the figure). According to this simplified model, the reactions that should take place are: i) glucose uptake, ii) glycolysis to pyruvate, iii) pyruvate conversion to acetyl- and propionyl-CoA and then iv) PHA formation (the exact polymer composition depends on the amounts of acetyl- and propionyl-coA). The main aim of this study was therefore to investigate the possibility of direct glucose conversion to PHA in an anaerobic/aerobic SBR. To maximize the observed yields the enrichment was operated at low SRTs (2 and 4 d). During the lowest SRT operation the effect of presence/absence of nutrients (nitrogen/phosphate) during the anaerobic phase was evaluated.
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Figure 5.1: The metabolic conversions in the various enrichments (A) and as originally assumed (B). Solid lines represent the enrichment operated at 2 d SRT (nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle), dashed lines represent the enrichment operated at 4 d SRT (nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle). On the right side of the figure is the original proposed glucose metabolism to PHV, the assumption on which this study was based.

5.2. Materials and methods

5.2.1. Culture medium

The carbon medium consisted of glucose with concentration equal to 30 Cmmol/L and the nutrient medium had a composition of 7.5 mM NH₄Cl, 0.6 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.62 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 2 mL/L trace elements solution according to Vishniac et al. 1975, and 0.2 mg/L allylthiourea (to prevent nitrification).

5.2.2. SBR for culture selection

A double-jacket glass bioreactor with a working volume of 2 L (Applikon, the Netherlands) was used for the enrichment of the biomass. Activated sludge from the municipal wastewater treatment plant Kralingseveer in Rotterdam (the Netherlands) was used to inoculate the SBR.
The basic setup and operation of the reactor was the same as described by Johnson et al. 2009a. The reactor was operated in a cyclic way (sequential batch reactor, SBR) under cycling anaerobic-aerobic conditions. The different phases of one cycle consisted of: i) feeding phase (10 min, anaerobically), ii) reaction phase (320 min, anaerobically), iii) reaction phase (360 min, aerobically), and iv) a mixed effluent phase (10 min, anaerobically). The sludge retention time (SRT) and the hydraulic retention time (HRT) were equal to 2 or 4 days (depending the operation) and the cycle length (CL) was set to 12 h. Three different operations were tested: i) SRT of 2 days with nutrient (ammonium) dosage at the start of the anaerobic (feeding) phase, ii) SRT of 2 days with nutrient dosed in the aerobic phase (separated from the carbon source), and iii) SRT of 4 days with nutrient (ammonium) dosage at the start of the anaerobic (feeding) phase. The N\textsubscript{2} and air flowrate in the reactor were set to 1.5 and 0.5 LN/min respectively using a mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument, USA). The temperature in the reactor was controlled at 30±1°C using a thermostat bath (Lauda, Germany), the pH was maintained at 7.0±0.1 by the addition of 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH, and the stirring speed was set at 750 rpm. Biocontrollers (BIOSTAT B plus, Sartorius, the Netherlands) were used for the pumps, stirrer, and pH control. The enrichment was considered stable, when the length of the feast phase and the concentration of total suspended solids (TSS) at the end of the cycle were constant for three consecutive days with a standard deviation of 5%. When steady-state was reached, cycle experiments were conducted to characterize each enrichment. In addition, biomass from the SBR was collected for accumulation experiments and molecular analysis.

5.2.3. Batch experiments
The metabolism of lactate for the three enrichments were investigated via batch experiments with lactate as the sole carbon source. The concentration of lactate at the beginning of the cycle was 40-60 C-mmol/L, while the nutrient medium was the same as in the normal cycle operation. The rest of the reactor operation was identical to the SBR operation.

5.2.4. Analytical methods
For both characterization of the enriched community and PHA production, the profile of the experiments was monitored online via the dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, acid and base dosage and off-gas CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}, and offline through substrate, ammonium, TSS and PHA measurements. The general properties, such as glucose and VFA concentrations, chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonium, phosphate, TSS, volatile suspended solids (VSS), pH and conductivity were
measured. The samples were filtered with a 0.45µm pore size filter (PVDF membrane, Millipore, Ireland) to remove all solids. COD, NH$_4^+$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ were determined with Hach Lange™ kits (014 or 114, 302, 348). Glucose and VFA concentrations were measured via high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), equipped with a BioRad Aminex HPX-87H column (Waters 2489 UV/RI detector) with a mobile phase (1.5mM H$_3$PO$_4$) flow rate of 0.6mL/min and a temperature of 60°C. TSS and VSS analysis was done as previously described by Jiang et al. (2012). The PHA content of the biomass was the sum of PHB and PHV (no other significant peaks were detected), expressed as the weight percentage PHA of VSS, was calculated using pure poly-2-hydroxybutyric-co-3-hydroxyvaleric acid (PHV content of 12 wt%) (Sigma-Aldrich, 403121) as standard, and benzoic acid as internal standard. Washed and freeze dried biomass was hydrolyzed and esterified in a mixture of hydrochloric acid, 1-propanol and dichloroethane for 2h at 100°C. The propyl-esters formed were extracted with water and analyzed via gas chromatography (GC). Glycogen was measured according to the method proposed by Smolders et al. 1994 with digestion time equal to 5h. The active biomass concentration was determined by subtraction of PHA and glycogen from VSS.

5.2.5. Molecular analysis
In order to analyze the microbial composition of the enrichment under each operational condition, biomass samples were taken from the SBR. The genomic DNA was extracted with the Ultra Clean soil DNA extraction kit (MoBio Laboratories, California). For gram-positive bacteria before the DNA extraction the biomass pellet was suspended in 280 µL of Bead Solution and transferred into a special sterile bead column with a red let. 20 µL of 50mg/mL lysozyme solution was added to the bead solution without mixing. After incubation for 30 min at 37 °C, 8 µl of 20% SDS and 8.5 µl of protein kinase K were added. The samples were incubated for 15 min at 56 °C and afterwards 50 µl of MD1 was added. The column with bead solution was immersed in liquid nitrogen for 3 min and was placed at two sterile steel beads and one scoop of lava stones for 3.2 min. Then the column was held in liquid nitrogen for 3 min and beaded again for 3.2 min. The previous 4 steps after immersion in liquid nitrogen were repeated once more. The resulting lysate was extracted using the Ultraclean Microbial DNA extraction kit (Mobio, USA).

The extracted genomic DNA was used as template DNA for PCR-DGGE. 16s rRNA gene fragments of the community constituents were obtained by a “touchdown” PCR program with primers 341F with a GC clamp and 907R for DGGE analysis (Schäfer et al. 2001a). The 16S rRNA gene amplicons were loaded onto 8% polyacrylamide gels with a denaturing gradient from 20% to 70% DNA denaturants (100% denaturants is a mixture of 5.6M urea and 32% formamide
Schäfer et al. 2001a. The DNA was visualized via UV illumination after it was staining with SYBR® Safe, and photographed by a digital camera. With a sterile razor blade, the individual bands were excised from the gel and then incubated overnight in 50 mL water at 4 °C. Re-amplification was performed using the same primer pair (Table 5.1) and the PCR products were sequenced by a commercial company (Macrogen, South Korea). The sequences have been stored in GenBank under accession numbers: KY458760-KY458783.

Table 5.1: Primers for PCR analysis used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>341F-GC</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Cctacgggagggcagcag(^{18})</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518F</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Ccagcagccgcggtaat</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Muyzer et al. 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907R</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Ccgtaattctttgagtt</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Schäfer et al. 2001b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.6. Thin section electron microscopy

Thin section electron microscopy was conducted with cells fixed at 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde for 1h (-4°C), 3 h in 1% (w/v) OSO\(_4\) and 0.5 M NaCl at ambient temperature, incubated overnight with 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate, dehydrated with ethanol and embedded in Epoxy resin. For staining, lead acetate (1%) was used (Welles et al. 2017).

5.2.7. Data analysis

The data were corrected for the sampling effect, addition of acid and base and inorganic carbon dissolution, as described in Johnson et al. 2009a. For the calculation of the specific conversion rates of the compounds, the equation proposed by Marang et al. 2014a was adapted. The yields of PHA over substrate (\(Y_{PHA/S}\)), and total biomass over substrate (\(Y_{X/S}\)) were estimated as the fraction of the formed product over the carbon consumed.

5.3. Results

5.3.1. Cycle profile of the enrichment

Three different SBR reactors were started with activated sludge as inoculum. Steady-state operation was established after approximately 20-50 cycles. Steady-state was assumed when the TSS was constant, the base/acid dosage time (indicative for the glucose uptake rate and VFA production/consumption), and the cyclic DO profile (indicative for the aerobic conversion processes) did not change for at least five consecutive days. The anaerobic phase seemed to

\(^{18}\) Contains GC-clamp (5’-gcgccccgcggccccgcggccccgccggtccccgccccccgccccgcgg-3’) at the 5’ end of the primer
consist of two (or three) distinct periods; one when glucose was present and one when lactate and polyglucose served as the carbon source. The third case was the VFA consumption phase, which -depending on the operation- could occur either anaerobically or aerobically. With regard to the aerobic part, a typical aerobic feast-famine regime was observed in all the cases for the uptake of the acetate and propionate that remained at the end of the anaerobic period. The cycle profile for the three different enrichment cultures is shown in Figure 5.2, and the total reactions for the anaerobic period is shown at Table 5.2.

More specifically, for the system with an SRT of 2 d, glucose was rapidly (~0.1 h) converted to lactate and polyglucose at a ratio of more than 1:2 (C-mol basis). After the complete depletion of glucose, lactate and polyglucose were fermented to acetate, propionate and small amounts of PHV and PHB. The produced compounds were not further consumed for the remaining one hour of the anaerobic period. During the aerobic phase acetate and propionate were rapidly converted to PHV and PHB, which was subsequently consumed for biomass growth.

In the second system operated at SRT of 2 days with ammonium limitation during the anaerobic phase glucose was converted in lactate and polyglucose at a ratio of 1:1.7 (C-mol: C-mol). The glucose uptake rate (Table 5.2) was decreased, while a significant amount of biomass was produced (~20% of the total carbon) under anaerobic (nutrient limited) conditions. After glucose depletion, lactate and polyglucose were converted to PHA, acetate and propionate, and biomass. In the presence of oxygen, the unconverted acetate and propionate were consumed, while PHA was also degraded for polyglucose and biomass production.

When the system was operated at a longer SRT (4 d) without nutrient limitation, the glucose depletion time was similar to the system at SRT=2 days (~0.1 h). As in the short SRT operation, glucose was taken up, converted to polyglucose and lactate with a ratio of 1.7:1; C-mol:C-mol. In this operation, lactate and polyglucose were subsequently anaerobically converted to predominantly biomass and PHA. During the aerobic phase, the unconverted acetate was depleted, while the PHA was consumed and used for biomass and polyglucose formation.

In none of the experiments phosphate release or excessive phosphate uptake was noted.
Figure 5.2: Cycle profile of the anaerobic-aerobic enrichments established on steady-state with operational conditions: I) SRT=2 d, feeding of the nutrient at the beginning of the anaerobic phase, II) SRT=2, feeding of the nutrients at the beginning of aerobic phase, and III) SRT=4d, feeding of nutrients at the beginning of the anaerobic phase. (-) Glucose, (o) Polyglucose, (▲), Active biomass, (■) PHA, (□) PHV, (△) PHB, (◇) NH4, (△) Propionate, (△) Acetate, (△) Lactate
5.3.2. Lactate as the carbon source for the enriched biomass

In all enrichment cultures glucose was partially fermented to lactate. To evaluate the conversions of lactate separate batch test were conducted with the enrichment cultures and lactate as the only carbon substrate (Figure 5.3). The enrichment culture at low SRT without ammonium limitation, converted lactate and leftover polyglucose primarily to biomass and acetate and propionate. When the aerobic period started the remaining lactate, acetate and propionate were rapidly converted to PHA, which was subsequently used for growth. From the observations it appeared that lactate uptake rates were reduced compared to the uptake in a regular anaerobic period. (Table 5.2).

The enrichment culture grown under anaerobic nutrient limitation converted lactate directly to PHV, with a small production of propionate and acetate. The lactate uptake rate was again lower than the rate observed during the regular SBR cycle. The carbon present when aeration started was rapidly converted to PHA which was further converted to polyglucose and biomass.

The enrichment culture selected under the SRT of 4 d converted lactate with the leftover polyglucose predominantly to PHV with similar rates as in the regular operation. In the aerobic period, the remaining acetate and lactate were used for PHB production. In absence of external carbon, both PHB and PHV were used as substrate for biomass and polyglucose production.
Figure 5.3: Lactate experiment for the three different enrichments: I) SRT=2 d, feeding of the nutrient at the beginning of the anaerobic phase, II) SRT=2, feeding of the nutrients at the beginning of aerobic phase, and III) SRT=4d, feeding of nutrients at the beginning of the anaerobic phase. (-) Glucose, (o) Polyglucose, (▲), Active biomass, (■) PHA, (□) PHV, (×) PHB, (◇) NH4, (△) Propionate, (△) Acetate, (△) Lactate.
Table 5.2 Stoichiometric and kinetic experimental values for the three enrichment cultures. Steady-state refers to the SBR cycle while Lactate batch to the batch experiment with lactate as the sole carbon substrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRT</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient dosing</th>
<th>Anaerobic period</th>
<th>Anaerobic</th>
<th>Aerobic</th>
<th>Anaerobic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steady state</td>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>Steady state</td>
<td>Lactate batch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu C-mol/C-mol/h</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac C-mol/C-mol</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y_{VFA/Lac} C-mol/C-mol</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Y_{PHA/Substrate}</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19 The uptake rate is calculated over the total initial biomass
5.3.3. Microbial community structure

The microbial diversity of each enrichment culture was evaluated by frequent microscopic observation and DGGE analysis. Additionally, samples are sent for next generation sequencing to identify undetected species. The SRT=2 days, enrichment culture seemed to consist of two main types of bacteria, PHA containing coccoid and tetra-shaped bacteria. The simple community structure allowed analysis by DGGE of the 16S-RNA and the dominant bands indicated *P. acidivorans* or *Thauera* (PHA containing bacteria; Table 5.3), while the tetrad-shaped community could not be identified. Electron microscopy on a slice of the tetra-shaped bacteria of this operation showed that –most probably- small amounts of PHA inclusions were stored (Figure 5.4D).

Nutrient limitation in the anaerobic period and SRT=2 days selected for two main cell types, both with a tetrad shape. The DGGE results excluded again the presence of the tetra-shaped bacteria. For the SRT= 4 days enrichment, only fermentative (rod-shaped) microorganisms were detected (*Propioniclava*) from DGGE, while the microscopic picture showed the existence of a –mainly- uniform tetrad community which again could not be identified (Figure 5.4).

Thin section of the tetrad bacterium from the enrichment culture of 2 d SRT and no nutrient limitation, indicated that the cell was able of storing small amounts of PHA (white granules in Figure 5.4D).
Figure 5.4: A1) Microscope image of a sample from the enrichment reactor at 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle, B1) Microscope image of a sample from the enrichment reactor at 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the aerobic phase, C1) Microscope image of a sample from the enrichment reactor at 4 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle, A2) Bacterial specific DGGE analysis of enrichment reactor at 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle, B2) Bacterial specific DGGE analysis of enrichment reactor at 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the aerobic period, C2) Bacterial specific DGGE analysis of enrichment reactor at 4 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle, D) electron microscopy image of a thin section of the tetrad-bacterium, enriched in 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle.
Table 5.3: Identification results of the bacterial specific DGGE analysis of the enrichment cultures. A) 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle, B) 2 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the aerobic phase, and C) 4 d SRT and nutrient dosage at the beginning of the cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Closest match</th>
<th>% Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Mollicutes bacterium</em></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>A</td>
<td><em>Plasticicumulans acidivorans</em></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Thanera linaloolentis</em></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sphingobacterium sp.</em></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Haliscomenobacter sp.</em></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Emticicia sp.</em></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Leadbetterella byssophila</em></td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td><em>Plasticicumulans acidivorans</em></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Tessaracoccus sp.</em></td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Zoogloea resiniphila</em></td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Propioniciclavus sp.</em></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Niabella terrae</em></td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bacillus sp.</em></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Flavivcola sp.</em></td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Hydrogenophaga electricum</em></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Propioniciclavus sp.</em></td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4. Discussion

5.4.1. Competition on glucose

The present study investigated the potential of PHA production from glucose in an anaerobic-aerobic SBR system. Glucose, an easy fermentable substrate, is involved in many possible metabolic pathways, creating dynamics of competition for different substrates and potential storage polymers in enrichment cultures. The abundance of sugars in a variety of waste streams (e.g. hydrolysates of lignocellulosic material) would make it a suitable source for bioplastic production. Additionally, no pre-fermentation step would be required making the process more
economic. In the present study glucose was fed to the anaerobic period of the system. The reactor was run under cyclic anaerobic and aerobic conditions. At the end of each cycle, a part of the reactor volume including biomass (depending on the operational SRT) was removed and a new cycle started.

When glucose was fed to the enrichment culture, organisms with a very high biomass specific uptake rate of glucose were selected. The specific uptake rate of glucose was fast, similar to ones obtained in enrichment cultures previously run under similar conditions (Jeon et al. 2000). Comparing this rate to pure strain fermentative cultures (e.g. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*; Berry et al. 1999) it is lower (almost halved). However, the uptake rates in the present study were calculated over the total biomass, while only a part of it should make the conversion. Thus, the real glucose specific uptake rate is expected to be higher. When the anaerobic growth was limited due to feeding of the nutrients in the aerobic period a lower specific glucose uptake rate was observed. Previous studies (Johnson et al. 2010) coincide with these results, confirming that nutrient limitation leads to decreased uptake rates.

The different operational conditions used did not seem to influence the metabolism of glucose, which always resulted first in polyglucose and lactate production. Figure 5.1B shows the observed (simplified) glucose metabolism. In order to allow a very fast uptake rate, storage of the substrate as a polymer seems to allow much higher rates then when substrate uptake is solely associated to growth. For glucose, polyglucose is the simplest storage compound to form. Glucose conversion to polyglucose requires energy (ATP), which is provided via glucose conversion to lactate, which is a redox neutral. Balancing ATP (Appendix) results in converting a C-mol of glucose into 1/3 C-mol lactate and 2/3 C-mol polyglucose (Dircks et al. 2001). This ratio was indeed observed experimentally for all the SBR conditions utilized. Small deviations derive most probably from simultaneous polyglucose degradation to lactate or PHA.

After conversion of glucose to lactate and polyglucose, a combined fermentation of lactate to acetate, propionate and biomass was observed in all the three operational conditions. Jeon et al. 2000 used an SBR fed with glucose with an SRT of 10 d. In their study the enrichment consisted of two microbial groups, one that accumulates glucose in the form of polyglucose and the other that can convert lactate to PHA. To identify whether in the present study the conversions of glucose to acetate and propionate is performed by one or more groups of bacteria a batch experiment with lactate as the sole carbon source was conducted. The rate of lactate conversion seemed to be decreased in absence of glucose, indicating a correlation between the two reactions. Apparently the enrichment culture did not have the ability to take up lactate at the same rate as in the steady state without glycogen, even though it is thermodynamically possible. Nonetheless, in
the presence of polyglucose (in the nutrient limited operation and the longer SRT operation) the kinetic performance was similar to the one of the SBR cycle (Table 5.2). DGGE analysis for the nutrient limited and longer SRT operation showed that in the latter two cases fermentative bacteria (*Propioniciclava*) were present and have been previously identified to ferment glucose to acetate and propionate (Sugawara et al. 2011).

### 5.4.2. Competition on VFA

The third (indirect) competition for substrate that was occurring in the present system was on acetate and propionate that were produced from lactate fermentation. There was a clear distinction here between the systems at SRTs of 2 or 4 days. At the short SRT (2 d) with the nutrients being dosed at the beginning of the cycle (anaerobically), anaerobic VFA uptake never occurred. Experimental data of the operation without nutrient limitation at 2 d SRT suggest that the overall growth rate of GAOs is too low to be maintained in the system at 2 day SRT (aerobic SRT equal to 1d). Lopez-Vazquez et al. 2009a showed that the minimum aerobic SRT for PAOs and GAOs is 1.25 and 1.8 d respectively; indicating that the aerobic SRT was too short to allow proliferation of GAOs. Acetate and propionate produced via lactate fermentation leached to the aerobic phase where it was taken up by microorganisms similar to *P. acidivorans* that have a very high specific substrate uptake rate and produce PHA from VFAs. When the system was operated at a longer SRT (4 days), the slower growing GAOs could be maintained in the system (modeled data of competition between GAOs and feast-famine bacteria are provided in the Appendix Figure 5.5).

However, an interesting observation of this study is that a GAO-like physiology was responsible for anaerobic acetate and propionate uptake (Figure 5.4) when the nutrients were dosed in the aerobic phase. Previous results on enrichments with VFA dosed in anaerobic-aerobic cycles (without nutrient limitation) (Thomas et al. 2003, Bengtsson et al. 2010, Lopez-Vazquez et al. 2009c, Oehmen et al. 2006) resulted in GAO dominance only with SRTs higher than 4 d, agreeing with the findings of the present study. However the reason why the GAOs dominated on the system of the 2d SRT with nutrient limitation still remains unclear.

### 5.4.3. Direct production of PHA from glucose

The main objective of this study was PHA production from glucose without the need of pre-fermentation. Looking at the energy and redox balance of such a reaction of the simplified metabolism of glucose to PHV the reaction can be divided into two steps as mentioned in the introduction section (in C-mol basis): i) Glycolysis (Glucose $\rightarrow$ Pyruvate...
+1/3ATP+1/3NADH), and ii) PHV production from Pyruvate (Pyruvate + 1/3NADH+1/6ATP→PHV)

Combining these two reactions the overall anaerobic glucose conversion reaction can be written:

\[
\text{Glucose} \rightarrow \text{PHV} + \frac{1}{6}\text{ATP}
\]

Despite the fact that the reaction is redox neutral, there is some ATP generated that needs to be directed somewhere. Anabolism (for biomass growth) could be one of the possible ATP sinks. For unidentified reasons, similar to previous results (Wang et al. 2002), who operated a similar system with a longer SRT (8 d), direct PHA storage was not observed. A fast glucose uptake rate (as shown here) can only be assured by a short pathway to polyglucose and not to the longer pathway to PHA. As showed previously by Dircks et al. 2001 polyglucose storage is much faster in comparison to PHA storage, leading to the tested system that is restricted to polyglucose and lactate formation.

5.5. Appendix

A: Glucose metabolism

Table 5.4: Stoichiometry of the reactions occurring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Stoichiometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyglucose production</td>
<td>1Glucose + ( \frac{1}{3} ) ATP \rightarrow 1\text{Polyglucose}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate formation from glucose</td>
<td>1Glucose → 1Pyruvate + ( \frac{1}{3} ) ATP + ( \frac{1}{3} ) NADH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate formation from polyglucose</td>
<td>1Polyglucose → 1Pyruvate + ( \frac{1}{2} ) ATP + ( \frac{1}{3} ) NADH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate production</td>
<td>1Pyruvate + ( \frac{1}{3} ) NADH ↔ 1Lactate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcCoA production</td>
<td>1Pyruvate → ( \frac{2}{3} ) AcCoA + ( \frac{1}{3} ) CO₂ + ( \frac{1}{3} ) NADH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrCoA production</td>
<td>1Pyruvate + 1ATP + ( \frac{2}{3} ) NADH → 1PrCoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate production</td>
<td>1AcCoA → 1Acetate + ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate production</td>
<td>1PrCoA → 1Propionate + ( \frac{2}{3} ) ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate fermentation to acetate and propionate</td>
<td>Lactate → ( \frac{2}{9} ) Acetate + ( \frac{2}{3} ) Propionate + ( \frac{1}{9} ) CO₂ + 0.62ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth on AcCoA</td>
<td>1.267AcCoA + 0.2NH₃ + 2.16ATP → 1X + 0.267CO₂ + 0.434NADH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Chapter 5**

---

**Growth on PrCoA**

\[ 1.06 \text{PrCoA} + 0.2\text{NH}_3 + 1.38\text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{X} + 0.06\text{CO}_2 + 0.373\text{NADH} \]

**PHB production**

\[ 1\text{AcCoA} + 0.25\text{NADH} \rightarrow \text{PHB} \]

**PHV production**

\[ 0.4\text{AcCoA} + 0.6\text{PrCoA} + 0.2\text{NADH} \rightarrow \text{PHV} \]

---

**B: Modeling the process**

The conversion of soluble compounds are assumed to follow Monod kinetics with maintenance contribution according the Herbert-Pirt relation (depending the relation, see table xxx), while for the solid compounds (polyglucose and PHA in the form of PHB and PHV), kinetics were based on the shrinking particle model, as described previously (Dircks et al. 2001 and Johnson et al. 2009b).

Table 5.5: Equations that define the model.

---

**Anaerobic period**

**Population I – fermentative/lactate producing bacteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose uptake</th>
<th>[ q_{glu}(t) = \frac{q_{max}^\text{glu}}{\text{Glu}(t) + K_{glu}} ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyglucose production</td>
<td>[ q_{gly}^{\text{prod}}(t) = Y_{gly} q_{glu}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyglucose (fermenters) degradation</td>
<td>[ q_{gly}^{\text{deg.ferm}}(t) = k_{\text{ferm}} \left( \frac{\text{Gly}<em>{\text{ferm}}(t)}{X</em>{\text{anaerobic}}(t)} \right)^{2/3} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate production</td>
<td>[ q_{lac}^{\text{prod}}(t) = Y_{lac} q_{glu}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate consumption</td>
<td>[ q_{lac}^{\text{deg}}(t) = \frac{q_{max}^\text{lac}}{\text{Lac}(t) + K_{lac}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate production</td>
<td>[ q_{ac}^{\text{prod}}(t) = Y_{ac} q_{lac}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate production</td>
<td>[ q_{pr}^{\text{prod}}(t) = Y_{pr} q_{lac}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth on polyglucose and lactate</td>
<td>[ \mu_{\text{anaerobic}}(t) = Y_{\text{gly}} q_{gly}^{\text{deg}}(t) + Y_{\text{lac}} q_{lac}^{\text{deg}}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population II – GAOs (anaerobic metabolism)**

| Acetate uptake | \[ q_{ac}^{\text{deg.GAOS}}(t) = \frac{q_{max}^\text{GAOS} \text{Ac}(t)}{\text{Ac}(t) + K_{VFA}} \] |
| Propionate uptake | \[ q_{pr}^{\text{deg.GAOS}}(t) = \frac{q_{max}^\text{GAOS} \text{Pr}(t)}{\text{Pr}(t) + K_{VFA}} \] |
| PHB production | \[ q_{PHB}^{\text{prod.GAOS}}(t) = \frac{Y_{PHBGAO} q_{ac}^{\text{deg.GAOS}}(t)}{\text{Ac}(t)} + \frac{Y_{PHBGAO} q_{pr}^{\text{deg.GAOS}}(t)}{\text{Pr}(t)} \] |

---

93
\[ q_{\text{PHV}}^{\text{prod,GAOs}}(t) = Y_{\text{PHV,GAOs}} q_{\text{Pr}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) \]

\[ + Y_{\text{PHV,GAOs}} q_{\text{Ac}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) \]

### Polyglucose (GAOs) degradation

\[ q_{\text{gly}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) = k \left( \frac{\text{Gly}_{\text{GAOs}}(t)}{X_{\text{GAOs}}(t)} \right)^{2/3} \]

---

### Aerobic period

**Population II – GAOs (aerobic metabolism)**

**PHA \rightarrow X + Gly**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHB degradation</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{PHB}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) = k^{\text{GAOs}} \left( \frac{\text{PHB}(t)}{X_{\text{GAOs}}(t)} \right)^{2/3} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHV degradation</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{PHV}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) = k^{\text{GAOs}} \left( \frac{\text{PHV}(t)}{X_{\text{GAOs}}(t)} \right)^{2/3} ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Biomass formation         | \[ \mu^{\text{GAOs}}(t) = Y_{x} \frac{\text{PHA}}{\text{PHA}_{\text{GAOs}}} q_{\text{PHB}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) \]
|                           | \[ + Y_{x} \frac{\text{PHA}}{\text{PHA}_{\text{GAOs}}} q_{\text{PHV}}^{\text{deg,GAOs}}(t) \] |

---

**Population III – PHA producers (feast-famine regime)**

**VFA \rightarrow PHA(feast) \rightarrow X (famine)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetate uptake</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{Ac}}^{\text{prod,FF}}(t) = \frac{q_{\text{Ac}}^{\text{max,FF}} \text{Ac}(t)}{\text{Ac}(t) + K_{\text{VFA}}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate uptake</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{Pr}}^{\text{prod,FF}}(t) = \frac{q_{\text{Pr}}^{\text{max,FF}} \text{Pr}(t)}{\text{Pr}(t) + K_{\text{VFA}}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHB production</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{PHB}}^{\text{prod,FF}}(t) = Y_{\text{PHB,FF}} q_{\text{Ac}}^{\text{prod,FF}}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHV production</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{PHV}}^{\text{prod,FF}}(t) = Y_{\text{PHV,FF}} q_{\text{Pr}}^{\text{prod,FF}}(t) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHB degradation</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{PHB}}^{\text{deg,FF}}(t) = k^{\text{FF}} \left( \frac{\text{PHA}(t)}{X_{\text{FF}}(t)} \right)^{2/3} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHV degradation</td>
<td>[ q_{\text{PHV}}^{\text{deg,FF}}(t) = k^{\text{FF}} \left( \frac{\text{PHV}(t)}{X_{\text{FF}}(t)} \right)^{2/3} ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Growth                    | \[ \mu^{\text{FF}}(t) = Y_{x} \frac{\text{PHA}}{\text{PHA}_{\text{FF}}} q_{\text{PHB}}^{\text{deg,FF}}(t) \]
|                           | \[ + Y_{x} \frac{\text{PHA}}{\text{PHA}_{\text{FF}}} q_{\text{PHV}}^{\text{deg,FF}}(t) \] |

**Ammonium uptake**

\[ q_{\text{NH3}}(t) = Y_{\text{NH3}} \left( \mu_{\text{ferm}}(t) + \mu^{\text{GAOs}}(t) + \mu^{\text{FF}}(t) \right) \]

---

For the feast-famine part of the process the inhibition effect due to PHA saturation was neglected.
Table 5.6: Stoichiometric and kinetic parameters used in the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stoichiometric constants</th>
<th>Kinetic constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I: Fermentative microorganisms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{GLY}}_{\text{GLU}}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{GLU}}^{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Cmol $\text{(C-mol)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{LAC}}_{\text{GLU}}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{LAC}}^{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Cmol $\text{(C-mol)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{PR}}_{\text{LAC}}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{LAC}}$</td>
<td>C-mmol $\text{(L)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{AC}}_{\text{LAC}}$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{GLU}}$</td>
<td>0.01 Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{X}}_{\text{GLY}}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{FER}}^{\text{GAO}}$</td>
<td>C-mmol $\text{(C-mol)}^{1/3} h^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{X}}_{\text{LAC}}$</td>
<td>0.1 Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II: GAOs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{PHB.GAC}}_{\text{AC}}$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{AC}}^{\text{max.GAO}}$</td>
<td>Cmol $\text{(C-mol)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{PHV.GAC}}_{\text{PR}}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{PR}}^{\text{max.GAO}}$</td>
<td>Cmol $\text{(C-mol)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{PHB.GAC}}_{\text{PR}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{VFA}}$</td>
<td>C-mmol $\text{(L)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{PHV.GAC}}_{\text{AC}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{GAO}}^{\text{GAO}}$</td>
<td>C-mmol $\text{(C-mol)}^{1/3} h^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{X}}_{\text{PHB.GAC}}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{VFA}}$</td>
<td>C-mmol $\text{(L)}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{X}}_{\text{PHV.GAC}}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{GLY}}_{\text{PHB}}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{\text{GLY}}_{\text{PHV}}$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III: Aerobic feast-famine microorganisms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{PHB,FF} )</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{PHV,FF} )</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{X_{PHB,FF}} )</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{X_{PHV,FF}} )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{max,FF} )</td>
<td>( C\text{mol} (C-\text{mol})^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{P,FF} )</td>
<td>( C\text{mol} (C-\text{mol} h)^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{FF} )</td>
<td>( (C-\text{mol} C-\text{mol})^{1/3} h^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.5: Modeled results for the enrichments: I) SRT=2 d, feeding of the nutrient at the beginning of the anaerobic phase, II) SRT=4d, feeding of nutrients at the beginning of the anaerobic phase. (-) Glucose, (○) Polyglucose, (▲), Active biomass, (■) PHA, (□) PHV, (◮) PHB, (◇) NH4, (♦) Propionate, (♦) Acetate, (♦) Lactate.
Chapter 6. **GENERAL CONCLUSIONS**

AND OUTLOOK
The plastic pollution is one of the most challenging problems of our century. On one hand the over-consumption of plastics and on the other hand their long lifespan has created one of the major environmental threats. Data from 2014 revealed that more than 250,000 tons of plastic are floating on the ocean, accumulating at the natural ecosystem (Eriksen et al. 2014). Considering the amount of waste that is generated, a win-win situation can be achieved; bioplastics (polyhydroxyalkanoates, PHA) production via waste. PHA can serve as a plastic, is fully biodegradable, with a short life span (3-4 weeks) in the environment (Sudesh et al. 2011).

The technology for PHA production with mixed cultures has already been developed up to pilot-scale (Tamis et al. 2014a, Morgan-Sagastume et al. 2014a) but still the waste streams that can be utilized for the process are mainly industrial with easy degradable organic carbon (such as food, or paper mill industry). The background for this thesis was to make the process applicable for more complex organic waste streams, such as municipal organic waste. Different approaches have been implemented for the investigation of the microbial principles and the potential of the process.

6.1 Conclusions

The main conclusions of the thesis are:

• Some waste streams, despite the presence of VFA cannot enable effective selection of PHA-producing bacteria (e.g. leachate form solid waste, Chapter 2). An interesting alternative proposed here is use of different substrate for the enrichment and the accumulation step of the PHA production process. For the selection step a high VFA-solution can be used. It was confirmed that such a process modification can increase the overall PHA production yield four-fold.

• Several carbon compounds do not select for PHA producing biomass (e.g. methanol). In such cases a phase (biomass-liquid) separation immediately at the end of the feast phase will give advantage to the PHA accumulating biomass. The effectiveness of this concept -to prevent accumulation of non-PHA storing biomass- depends strongly on the relative substrate uptake rates for the different populations.

• When operating an enrichment reactor under a double C&P limitation, up to certain C/P ratios in the feed, PHA producing bacteria can be effectively enriched from a natural inoculum. As long as the carbon is depleted before phosphorus, the selective pressure favors PHA production.

• Enriching biomass for PHA production under double substrate limitation (e.g. C & P) improves the process: i) the same influent can be directly used for both enrichment and
accumulation step, ii) growth of a side population is strongly reduced, since growth of this population gets more restricted than that of the PHA storing population, iii) the specific oxygen uptake rate is dependent on the P-content of biomass, allowing for higher biomass concentrations.

- Feeding glucose in an anaerobic-aerobic cyclic process at an SRT of 2 or 4 days selects for a population accumulating glycogen with lactate fermentation for energy generation. The glycogen and lactate are subsequently converted to acetate and propionate which can be used for PHA storage.

6.2 Process enhancements

As mentioned before, the PHA production process with enrichment cultures has been tested and developed up to pilot plant scale with several influent streams. For further process scale-up there are several aspects that need to be addressed to make the process sufficiently predictable.

- Salinity and PHA production

Several organic waste streams contain a high concentration of salts (either municipal at coastal areas, or industrial based on the process). The salt concentration and its effect on the metabolism of PHA producing microorganisms was briefly investigated in Chapter 2 with respiration tests. The salts seemed to decrease the respiration rates, and the consequent uptake/production rates, leading to –most probably- different selective pressure for the enrichment step. Further research is required to investigate the extent of the salinity on the competitive advantage of PHA storing microbial communities.

- Continuous operation with sedimentation

In chapter 3 we proposed the operation of a system with a sedimentation step to separate fast-carbon-consuming biomass (that is usually the PHA producing biomass) from slower-consuming biomass (non-storing biomass growing on substrates that are not converted into PHA). These process conditions selected for biomass with a significantly higher PHA storage capacity in comparison to the system operated without the sedimentation step. To make the process even more economically feasible a continuous system could be operated like this, where the feast and famine phase are implemented in separate reactors, while the intermittent sedimentation tank will select for the faster carbon-consuming biomass.
Chapter 3 suggested that potentially the PHA-producing biomass (along with the internal PHA inclusions) had increased density and thus advantages on settling. However, due to a fixed settling time in the reactor operation (in order to retain the entire biomass fraction in the system) and the coagulation of the cells of different species (growers and PHA-producers) there was not enough evidence. The difference in cell density is relatively small (Mas et al. 1985a), but theoretically it may increase the settling velocity. Thus, applying the appropriate settling time would potentially select a strong PHA accumulating biomass.

- Oxygen mass transfer

One of the limiting factors for upscaling PHA production with mixed cultures is the oxygen needs of the process, when it is operated at high biomass content. In Chapter 4 it was suggested that the conversion rates are dependent on factors associated to the cell metabolism and more specifically on the intracellular P-content. This might be a method to prevent oxygen limitation in dense cultures. It needs to be evaluated if limitation of other compounds can also be used (e.g. nitrogen limitation). Previous research has shown the same dependence profile between N-limitation and the rates, but since the limitation was severe, led to another selective pressure to the enrichment. Applying double carbon and nitrogen limitation to such an extent that would mainly provoke the cells to compete for the carbon but reduce the cells’ needs in nitrogen could...
also potentially lead to decreased rates, thus less oxygen requirements, leaving the margin of operating the process in higher solid concentrations.

Additionally, with regard to the oxygen transfer rate, an interesting—and low-cost—potential would be the replacement of oxygen with nitrate as the electron acceptor. Previous research (Beun et al. 2000b) has shown that PHA-producing enrichments can be established on such a system (anaerobic aerobic—to prevent nitrite accumulation). So indeed, exploring more thoroughly this option and confirming the success of the process in such a high solid concentration would decrease by far the process costs.

6.3. Future of PHA production

Even if the production price of PHA drops at that level that can compete with conventional plastics, the lack of market applications is of significant importance. The enrichment-culture-based PHA production processes are technical and economical competitive to current pure culture GMO based processes. On-going applications from GMO-derived PHA include packaging (bags, films, paper, and coatings), slow-release fertilizer, and precursors for chiral compounds. On one hand the health and safety regulations related to the product since it derives from wastewater and on the other the fluctuating composition and characteristics (due to the corresponding influent inconsistency) make this product special. Development of products that make use of unique material properties of PHA is required. To this extent creative collaborations with material scientists have to be developed, in order to create market-demand for enrichment-cultured-based PHA.

This study addressed some of the problems that restrict the process upscale. Adding this to the rest of the research that is running around non-axenic PHA production, the main hope is that PHA production units will be a part of the general wastewater treatment scheme (like the biogas units), leading to a more sustainable circular economy.
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