

## Overview of routes to open access

van Wezenbeek, Wilma; Tullney, Marco

### Publication date

2017

### Document Version

Final published version

### Citation (APA)

van Wezenbeek, W., & Tullney, M. (2017). *Overview of routes to open access*. Workshop CESAER, Bruxelles, Belgium. <https://zenodo.org/record/268647#.WS2Bhk1dAXI>

### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

### Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Overview: Routes to Open Access

**Marco Tullney and Wilma van  
Wezenbeek  
TFOS CESAER**

**Brussels February 2, 2017**



# Open Access

- free access to research results, especially scholarly publications
- comes in different flavors, from “free to read” to “free to use and build upon”
- mandated by funders, demanded by policy makers, wanted by (some) researchers

# Key Routes

- (national) open access strategies
- open access mandates
- open access incentives
- open access awareness
- open access publishing
- open access infrastructure

# Open Access Strategies

- implemented by several European countries
- setting open access quotas
- differ in preferred routes
  - gold open access (immediate open access to primary publication)
  - green open access (openly accessible secondary version)
- making open access the standard by around 2020

# Open Access Mandates

- mandate open access when possible
- research funders
  - Austrian Science Fund
  - Dutch Science Fund
  - Wellcome Trust
- academic employers
- national law?

# Open Access Incentives

- set e.g. by employer, funders, organizations
- reward systems
- internal funding
- expand selection criteria for academic positions
- evaluation of researchers and institutions
- reward best practices, e.g. open licenses

# Open Access Awareness

- increase commitment of researchers, institutions
- educating researchers about their rights
- reclaim academic autonomy
- informed decisions about publishing strategy
- sharing best practice examples
- provide information, support



# Open Access Publishing

- funding of commercial open access models (expensive)
- explore alternative publishing models
- increase transparency and accountability
- institutional funding, consortial funding of platforms
- flip established journals to open access

# Open Access Infrastructure

- for production, dissemination, and aggregation of open access publications
- often run by research libraries or institutes
- open access repositories (institutional or subject-based)
- journal platforms, monograph platforms and preprint platforms (see e.g. [ArXiv](#))
- aggregators like harvesters, search engines (see e.g. [BASE](#)), and meta-repositories (see e.g. [PubMed Central](#))

# Germany: DEAL

- project, all major academic organizations involved
- goal: national licenses with a strong open access clause
- with Elsevier, Springer, Wiley
- negotiations started with Elsevier
- ~600 institutions, varying end dates of existing contracts

# Germany: DEAL, so far

- ~60 institutions cancelled Elsevier subscriptions, more ready to join, Elsevier deactivated access
- Elsevier losing money each week
- mostly positive reactions so far
- calling editors-in-chief etc. to quit Elsevier journals
- open access has to be at the core of every new contract
- international support possible: ask universities, editors, authors to support the transition to open access, demand open access instead of subscription

# Example NL

- Letter secretary of state november 2013
- NL prefers gold route
- Implementation consists of:
  - Negotiating Open Access with publishers
  - Cooperating internationally
  - Intensifying Open Access advocacy
  - Monitoring whether target figures for Open Access publications will indeed be achieved

# Lessons learned NL

We believe that four important factors contributed to our success, namely:

- a unique negotiation model, united as consortium
- an influential delegation
- loyalty to principles and
- political involvement

# Moving on NL

- Open Access has still the full attention in the Netherlands.
- Negotiations with open access results for 7 out of 8 big deals. ([Info in E-Zine](#)).
- National Plan Open Science will be presented on 9 February, and National Platform Open Science will be launched, to be followed on [openscience.nl](https://openscience.nl) (link active as of 9 February 2017!).

# Author information

Marco Tullney, Technische Informationsbibliothek

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5111-2788>



Wilma van Wezenbeek, TU Delft

<http://tulibrarian.weblog.tudelft.nl>

Published under a CC BY 4.0 license.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

