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ABSTRACT

Evolutionary-based crash reproduction techniques aid developers in their debugging practices by generating a test case that reproduces a crash given its stack trace. In these techniques, the search process is typically guided by a single search objective called Crash Distance. Previous studies have shown that current approaches could only reproduce a limited number of crashes due to a lack of diversity in the population during the search. In this study, we address this issue by applying Multi-Objectivization using Helper-Objectives (MO-HO) on crash reproduction. In particular, we add two helper-objectives to the Crash Distance to improve the diversity of the generated test cases and consequently enhance the guidance of the search process. We assessed MO-HO against the single-objective crash reproduction. Our results show that MO-HO can reproduce two additional crashes that were not previously reproducible by the single-objective approach.
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1 INTRODUCTION

When a software application crashes, a report (or issue), including information gathered during the crash, is assigned to developers for debugging. One common practice to identify the root cause of a crash is to write a test case reproducing it [8]. This test case can later be adapted and integrated into the test suite to prevent future regressions. However, depending on the amount of information available in the report, writing this crash reproducing test case can be time-consuming and labor-intensive [7].

Consequently, various approaches in the literature try to automate crash reproduction. The earlier empirical study [7] shows that search-based crash reproduction outperforms other crash reproduction approaches in terms of crash reproduction ratio (percentage of crashes that could be reproduced) and efficiency (time taken to reproduce a given crash successfully). Search-based crash reproduction generates a test case that, when executed, is able to reproduce that crash by modeling the crash reproduction problem as an optimization problem. This approach reformulates crash reproduction as a single search objective (Crash Distance hereafter), which measures how far a generated test is from reproducing the crash, and applies a single-objective evolutionary algorithm (Single-Objective Search hereafter) to generate solutions (i.e., tests).

Although Single-Objective Search performs well compared to other crash reproduction approaches, a more extensive empirical study [6] revealed that it is not successful in reproducing complex crashes (i.e., large stack traces). Hence, further studies to enhance the guidance of the search process are required.

In this study, we investigate a new strategy to Multi-Objectivize crash reproduction based on Helper-Objectives (MO-HO) [3]. More specifically, we add two additional helper-objectives to Crash Distance (first objective): method call diversity (second objective) and test case length minimization (third objective). For the second objective, we re-use a distance function that measures the diversity of the methods called in the test cases. For the third objective, we count the number of statements in the generated test case. Since these three objectives conflict with Crash Distance, we expect an increase in the solutions’ diversity and, hence, an improvement in crash reproduction effectiveness (crash reproduction ratio) and efficiency. We utilize SPEA2 [9], which is a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm (MOEA), to solve this optimization problem.

We compare our approach against Single-Objective Search [7] from the perspectives of crash reproduction. Our results show that MO-HO can reproduce new crashes, which are not reproducible with Single-Objective Search.

2 MULTI-OBJECTIVIZATION WITH HELPER-OBJECTIVES (MO-HO)

As suggested by Jensen et al. [3], adding helper-objectives, which are in conflict with the primary one, to an existing single objective can help search algorithms escape from local optima. Therefore,
defining proper helper-objectives is crucial. In this study, we add two helper-objectives called method sequence diversity and test length minimization that aim to increase the diversity in the population (e.g., generated tests) and address the bloating effect. Then, we use SPEA2 MOEA to solve this optimization problem.

2.1 Method Sequence Diversity (MSD).
The first helper-objective seeks to maximize the diversity of the method-call sequences that compose the generated tests. To measure the value of this objective, we measure the diversity between two test cases by using a binary encoding scheme and calculating the distance between the corresponding encoded vectors using the Hamming distance [2]. For three or more test cases, the overall diversity corresponds to the average pairwise diversity of the existing test cases [4].

Let us assume that \( F = \{ f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \} \) is a set of public and protected methods in the target class, and \( T = \{ t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m \} \) is a set of generated test cases. To calculate the diversity of \( T \), we first need to encode each \( t_k \in T \) to a binary vector. We use the same encoding scheme proposed by Mondal et al. [4]: each test case \( t_k \in T \) corresponds to a binary vector \( v_k \) of length \( n \). Each element \( v_k[i] \) of the binary vector denotes whether the corresponding method \( f_i \in F \) is invoked by the test case \( t_k \). More formally, for each method \( f_i \in F \) and the corresponding entry \( v_k[i] = 1 \) if \( t_k \) calls \( f_i \); \( v_k[i] = 0 \) otherwise. Then, we calculate the diversity for each pair of test cases \( t_k \) and \( t_j \) as the Hamming distance between the corresponding binary vectors \( v_k \) and \( v_j \) [2].

2.2 Test Length Minimization
If we apply method sequence diversity to our search problem, we may end up with long test cases [1]. Let us assume that we have a set of short test cases with few method calls in our population (most of the elements in their binary vectors are 0). A lengthy test case \( t_k \) that calls all the methods of the target class will have a binary vector of ones. As a consequence, \( t_k \) will have a large Hamming distance from the existing test cases. To avoid the bloating effect [5], our second helper-objective is test length minimization, seeking to minimize the number of statements in a given test.

3 EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS
We used 30 randomly selected crashes from JCrashPack [6], a collection of crashes for crash reproduction benchmarking. We attempted to reproduce the selected crashes using both MO-HO and Single-Objective Search with the search budget of five minutes and the population size of 50. In SPEA2, we set the archive size to 50. For both algorithms, we use the same genetic operators with the same parameter values. More precisely, fittest individuals (tests) are selected for reproduction using the binary tournament selection. New tests are generated using the uniform mutation with mutation probability \( p_m = 1/n \) (where \( n \) is the length of the test case) and the single-point crossover with probability \( p_c = 0.8 \).

We examined if MO-HO can reproduce any additional crashes, which are not reproducible by Single-Objective Search. We observed that our approach could reproduce two new crashes: XWIKI-14227 and LANG-19b. Moreover, we observed that all of the crashes reproduced by Single-Objective Search could be reproduced by MO-HO as well.

4 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK
Generating crash reproducing test cases can ease the process of debugging for developers. A promising approach for automating this process is using evolutionary algorithms. This approach defines an optimization objective called Crash Distance and applies a single objective guided evolutionary algorithm (Single-Objective Search).

This strategy may end up generating test cases that are not diverse enough because of a low exploration during the search process.

In this initial study, we apply MO-HO to tackle the problem of the former technique. In MO-HO, we define two helper-objectives in addition to Crash Distance to alleviate the lack of exploration. Moreover, the introduced helper-objectives conflict with the main objective Crash Distance.

We assessed the application of MO-HO to SPEA2 (a commonly used MOEA) to solve the crash reproduction problem and compared its results against Single-Objective Search. Results indicate that MO-HO can reproduce two new crashes (6% of selected crashes) not reproduced by Single-Objective Search. Since our early results are encouraging, we seek to perform an empirical study (on more crashes) and characterize the contributing factors in MO-HO in a future study.
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