Approaches for automatic crash reproduction aim to generate test cases that reproduce crashes starting from the crash stack traces. These tests help developers during their debugging practices. One of the most promising techniques in this research field leverages search-based software testing techniques for generating crash reproducing test cases. In this paper, we introduce Botsing, an open-source search-based crash reproduction framework for Java. Botsing implements state-of-the-art and novel approaches for crash reproduction. The well-documented architecture of Botsing makes it an easy-to-extend framework, and can hence be used for implementing new approaches to improve crash reproduction. We have applied Botsing to a wide range of crashes collected from open source systems. Furthermore, we conducted a qualitative assessment of the crash-reproducing test cases with our industrial partners. In both cases, Botsing could reproduce a notable amount of the given stack traces.

Demo. video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k6XaQjHqe48
Botsing website: https://stamp-project.github.io/botsing/

CCS CONCEPTS
- Software and its engineering → Software testing and debugging; Search-based software engineering.

KEYWORDS
Search-based software testing, crash reproduction, Botsing

1 INTRODUCTION
Crashes are usually reported to developers through an issue tracker. For Java programs, most of the time, these reports contain a stack trace, which provides information such as the type of the exception causing the crash and the stack of method calls (the frames) through which the exception has occurred. A developer relies on this stack trace to understand the root cause of the crash, debug, and fix the software. Zeller describes how writing a test able to reproduce a reported crash is one of the helpful practices to ease debugging [19]. Similarly, Soltani et al. [16] indicate that crash reproducing tests help developers to fix bugs faster. Eventually, such tests can be adapted and added to the test suite to prevent future regressions. However, reproducing a crash using its reported stack trace is a laborious and time-intensive task [16]. Also, we observed that manually reproducing a crash requires an experienced developer who has the proper amount of knowledge about the software.

Many automated approaches for crash reproduction have been introduced in the literature to ease the debugging process [2, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18]. These approaches either use runtime data or the stack trace to perform crash reproduction. For the former, the accuracy depends on the amount of data considered. However, such data are not always available due to the overhead induced by the data collection, or privacy violation concerns. In contrast, the latter approaches solely rely on stack traces, collected from issue reports or execution logs.

Among the different stack-trace-based crash reproduction approaches, Rößler et al. [13] and Soltani et al. [16] rely on Search-Based Software Testing (SBST) to automatically generate a crash reproducing test. Soltani et al. [16] empirically showed that evolutionary approaches based on guided operators outperform other existing approaches and confirmed the usefulness of the generated tests for debugging purposes.

In this paper, we present Botsing: an open-source, extendable search-based crash reproduction framework. Botsing implements search-based crash reproduction approaches introduced in previous studies [13, 15, 16]. The tool takes as input a stack trace and software under test. Then, it starts a single-objective or multi-objective search process to generate a test reproducing the crash.

Botsing has been designed as an extendable framework for implementing new features and search algorithms for crash reproduction. For example, in our recent study on the impact of various seeding strategies on crash reproduction, we have implemented...
multiple seeding strategies in Botsing [4]. These seeding strategies leverage existing knowledge about the software under test to ease the test generation process. To evaluate these strategies, we used 124 real-world crashes from JCrashPack [14], a benchmark for Java crash reproduction tools. The results show that Botsing can reproduce 66 (out of 124) crashes without seeding. This number is improved to 70 by using model seeding.

From an industrial perspective, Botsing is used by our partners in the STAMP project. They confirmed the relevance of Botsing for debugging and fixing application crashes [1]. The feedback—as well as the crash reproducing test cases—from our partners using Botsing are openly available in the STAMP GitHub repository.\footnote{Available at http://stamp-project.eu/}

\section{Usage}

Botsing is available as an open-source tool at https://github.com/STAMP-project/botsing. A user can download the jar file and run the tool using the command line according to the existing documents [17]. For the example in Figure 2, we can call Botsing with the following command: java -jar botsing-reproduction.jar -project_cp <path> -crash_log LANG-9b.log -target_frame 5, where <path> is the directory with the jar files of Apache commons lang. At the end of the search process, Botsing generates the test case in Figure 3. In general, a developer needs to provide a log file containing the stack trace and the classpath to the jar file and all the dependencies of the software under test. To activate seeding strategies, she also needs to provide the compiled version of test cases (for test seeding) or the behavioral models generated by the model inference module.

\section{Botsing}

The goal of Botsing is finding a test case that reproduces a crash, according to the corresponding stack trace. In this section, we first present the general workflow, and, next, describe the seeding strategies implemented in Botsing.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{botsing.png}
\caption{Botsing architecture overview}
\end{figure}
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{lang-9b.png}
\caption{LANG-9b crash stack trace [10, 14]}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{lang-9b-reproducing.png}
\caption{LANG-9b crash reproducing test [14]}
\end{figure}
3.1 Test Case Generation

In the first step of the search process, BOTSING generates a random initial population of test cases such that: (i) each individual in this population is a test case containing a sequence of calls to methods of the target class; and (ii) the last method called in the sequence is the target method. After generating the random initial population, BOTSING starts an evolutionary search process to refine the test cases until one can reproduce the crash. At each iteration, BOTSING will select the best individuals in the population to build the next generation of test cases using crossover and mutation.

To select the best test case, BOTSING relies on a fitness function to compute a distance measuring how close the execution of a test case is to reproducing the crash. Three fitness functions are available in BOTSING. The default fitness function is the single objective fitness function [16]. This fitness function combines three conditions in one single measure (i.e., one objective): (i) if the generated test reaches the line of the target frame, (ii) if it throws the same type of exception, and (iii) if the occurred stack trace in the generated test is similar to the given one. As an alternative, BOTSING can use multi-objectivization approaches (to improve diversity), which splits the single-objective fitness function in three independent sub-objectives [15], or add two helper objectives (method-sequence diversity and test length minimization) [6]. The last fitness function, introduced by Rößler et al. [13], checks if the generated test covers each frame one by one, and, after covering all of the frames, checks the type of the thrown exception.

After selecting the fittest test cases, BOTSING uses the two standard evolutionary operations [9] to produce the next generation of test cases: single-pointed crossover and mutation. After the application of each operator, there is a risk that the test case no longer contains the target method. To prevent such test cases from being included in the next generation, we use an additional operator to repair the evolved chromosomes (if needed) [16]. BOTSING continues the search until it produces a test case able to reproduce the given stack trace or until it exceeds its given time budget (timeout).

3.2 Seeding

One of the challenges in search-based crash reproduction is reaching the state that throws the given exception [14]. In some cases, it is hardly feasible using only random test generation. Seeding addresses that problem by providing additional information to the search process, based on the knowledge of the system. BOTSING implements two seeding strategies: (i) the Test Seeding strategy introduced by Rojas et al. [12] and suitably adapted for crash reproduction, and (ii) a novel seeding strategy called Behavioral Model Seeding, introduced in our previous study [4].

Test Seeding. Instead of random generation, test seeding uses the existing test cases, manually-written by developers, and executes them to observe the usages of the different objects created during the execution. During this process, called carving, the objects are added to an object pool, used later during the test generation. We adapted EvoSuite’s implementation of test seeding to crash reproduction: i.e., we implemented an additional step to check that the carved objects of the target class indeed call the target method (i.e., the methods in the crash stack frames).

3.2.1 Behavioral Model Seeding. The second seeding strategy implemented in BOTSING is Behavioral Model Seeding [4]. This seeding strategy gets a set of transition systems, representing usages of a set of classes, as input. These models are generated by the Model Inference module, described hereafter. Each transition system models the potential method call sequences for a class. For instance, Figure 4 shows the transition system for Java LinkedLists. Each transition is a method call, and each path is an abstract behavior denoting a potential sequence of method calls. BOTSING relies on VibeS [8], a model-based testing tool, to select the most dissimilar paths (i.e., abstract behavior) in a model. Next, it concretizes the abstract behavior to a concrete object with method sequence, and adds it to the object pool. To mimic realistic usages of a class, the objects in the pool are later used during the search process to craft test cases.

Model Inference. Figure 5 shows the architecture of the Model Inference module. This module observes how specific classes are used in the source code and the manually-written test cases to learn a behavioral model. For source code, the module applies static analysis and collects all of the sequences of method calls for the classes under analysis. For existing test cases, it performs dynamic analysis and executes all the tests to collect sequences of methods (effectively) called on the different objects during test execution. After collecting the call sequences, BOTSING abstracts them in transition systems (one transition system per class) using YAMI [7], a 2-gram model inference tool. Practically, for one system, model inference is a one-time process: i.e., the generated models can be used for different executions of BOTSING.

3.3 Implementation

BOTSING relies on EvoSuite for code instrumentation, test case manipulation and execution. Concretely, we use evosuite-client as a dependency. During the implementation of BOTSING, we extend the existing classes in EvoSuite to adapt them to the crash
reproduction problem. For instance, BOTSING needs to instrument the classes appearing in the given stack trace. In contrast, EvoSuite is a unit testing tool and it instruments only one class. Hence, for implementing fitness functions for crash reproduction we need to extend the instrumentation of EvoSuite. To make some classes extendable in EvoSuite, we had to change the visibility of some classes in EvoSuite. Hence, we change the access level of some methods in some classes to make sure that we can extend those classes. These changes on EvoSuite are available in our fork from the main repository.\footnote{https://github.com/STAMP-project/ExRunner-bash}

BOTSING’s architecture is designed to be extendable. For this purpose, most of the classes related to different parts of the genetic algorithm (e.g., fitness functions, genetic algorithm, etc.) are designed as factory classes. We also reported the architecture and a contribution guide in the documentation\cite{17}.

4 EVALUATION
We use JCrashPack\cite{3, 14}, a crash benchmark for evaluating crash reproduction approaches, to assess BOTSING. This benchmark contains real-world crashes collected from seven well-known projects, namely Closure compiler, Apache commons-lang, Apache commons-math, Mockito, Joda-Time, XWiki, and ElasticSearch. Moreover, to ease benchmarking using JCrashPack, we developed a bash-based execution runner, openly available on GitHub.\footnote{https://github.com/STAMP-project/evosuite-ramp} This experiment runner runs different instances of a crash reproduction tool (here, BOTSING) in parallel processes and collects relevant information about the execution in a CSV file.

In our evaluation of the impact of test and model seeding for search-based crash reproduction\cite{4}, we ran BOTSING without seeding and with each implemented seeding strategy on JCrashPack. Due to the involved randomness in the search process, we repeat each execution 30 times. We observe that BOTSING can reproduce 66 (out of 124) crashes without any seeding strategy in a majority of the executions. The implemented seeding strategies help the crash reproduction process to reproduce four additional crashes in the majority of executions.

In total, we run 186,560 independent BOTSING runs, distributed among two clusters with 20 CPU-cores, 384 GB memory, and 482 GB hard drive. The results and replication package of this study are openly available on Zenodo\cite{5}. The relevance of BOTSING for crash reproduction has been confirmed by our industrial partners\cite{1}.

The BOTSING framework is developed with extensibility in mind. So, it can be used for implementing new features and genetic algorithms for the crash reproduction problem. We also provide an open-source evaluation infrastructure to ease the assessment process of the new approaches.

In our evaluation, BOTSING can reproduce 66 crashes out of 124 hard-to-reproduce crashes, partially or entirely, in the majority of executions. This number increases to 70 crashes when using Behavioral Model Seeding. Also, BOTSING has been used by our industrial partners. They managed to reproduce some of their crashes using BOTSING and argued that BOTSING is helpful for their debugging practices.
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