

**Mathematical models of the spread and consequences of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemics
Effects on health, society, industry, economics and technology**

Micheletti, Alessandra; Araújo, Adérito; Budko, Neil; Carpio, Ana; Ehrhardt, Matthias

DOI

[10.1186/s13362-021-00111-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13362-021-00111-w)

Publication date

2021

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Journal of Mathematics in Industry

Citation (APA)

Micheletti, A., Araújo, A., Budko, N., Carpio, A., & Ehrhardt, M. (2021). Mathematical models of the spread and consequences of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemics: Effects on health, society, industry, economics and technology. *Journal of Mathematics in Industry*, 11(1), [15]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13362-021-00111-w>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

EDITORIAL

Open Access



Mathematical models of the spread and consequences of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemics

Effects on health, society, industry, economics and technology

Alessandra Micheletti^{1*}, Adérito Araújo², Neil Budko³, Ana Carpio⁴ and Matthias Ehrhardt⁵

*Correspondence:

alessandra.micheletti@unimi.it

¹Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

1 Editorial

The SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic of coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) has changed the lives of everyone on the planet. As a new disease with a significant mortality rate and no known pharmaceutical intervention or curative treatment to date, COVID-19 has stimulated a huge worldwide academic research effort on every aspect of the spread of the virus and the effectiveness of containment measures, without neglecting the drawbacks that such measures have brought to the economy, society, and public health.

Within this framework, mathematicians and statisticians have contributed (and are actually still working on) models capable of capturing and focusing on the main components of epidemic spread and symptom severity, such as the dependence on patient age, the presence and role of asymptomatic infected individuals, the expected utilization of hospitals and intensive care units, the identification of the main sites and sources of infection (schools, restaurants, workplaces, etc.), the impact of lockdown measures.

In the highly heterogeneous scenario of COVID-19 epidemic spread, mathematical models adapted to national or more local situations are useful to provide policy makers with better insight into the consequences of different possible containment strategies, including the organization and optimization of vaccination campaigns.

For this reason, the European Consortium for Mathematics in Industry (ECMI) saw an urgency to provide policy makers with scientifically reliable studies on the many aspects of the problem, and this was our goal in producing this special issue of the *Journal of Mathematics in Industry*. This special issue is devoted to articles that propose data-driven mathematical and statistical models of the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and/or its foreseeable consequences for public health, society, industry, business, and technology.

Actually, editorial activities such as these are only a first step toward the creation of a “scientific policy” that can truly counter the emergence of new health, environmental, and social emergencies. Such a scientific policy should act in a more systemic way, not just in the presence of emergencies. It should be the result of more rigorous collaboration between scientists from different disciplines (mathematicians, epidemiologists, virologists,

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

environmental scientists, economists, social scientists, etc.) on the one hand, and between academia and science on the other: in contrast to the academic tendency to specialize and separate different fields of knowledge, policy makers should promote the “breaking of interdisciplinary silos” and realize an alliance between policy and science to more consciously address long-term policy strategic decisions.

The COVID-19 pandemic is just one example of the risks that humans face and that must be urgently addressed. The major challenge facing humanity in the coming years to prevent the rise of further emergencies is the environmental problem. As reported in the UNEP Frontiers 2016 Report [2], “climate change is a major factor for disease emergence. It influences the environmental conditions that can enable or disable the survival, reproduction, abundance, and distribution of pathogens, vectors, and hosts, as well as the means of disease transmission and the outbreak frequency. Growing evidence suggest that outbreaks of epidemic diseases may become more frequent as climate continues to change.”

Such problems can only be addressed with serious alignment of goals between scientists and policymakers, with the help and planning of good communication strategies, cf. [1].

Author details

¹Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy. ²University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal. ³Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands. ⁴Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. ⁵Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Accepted: 10 September 2021 Published online: 20 September 2021

References

1. Kano H, Hayashi TI. A framework for implementing evidence in policymaking: perspectives and phases of evidence evaluation in the science-policy interaction. *Environ Sci Policy*. 2021;116:86–95.
2. UNEP frontiers 2016 report: emerging issues of environmental concern. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme. ISBN:978-92-807-3553-6.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
