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Measurement of reaction kinetics of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\) using a microfluidic system†

Z. Liu,a K. S. Schaap,a L. Ballemans,a R. de Zanger,b E. de Blois,b M. Rohdea and E. Oehlke a***

Microfluidic synthesis techniques can offer improvement over batch syntheses which are currently used for radiopharmaceutical production. These improvements are, for example, better mixing of reactants, more efficient energy transfer, less radiolysis, faster reaction optimization, and overall improved reaction control. However, scale-up challenges hinder the routine clinical use, so the main advantage is currently the ability to optimize reactions rapidly and with low reactant consumption. Translating those results to clinical systems could be done based on calculations, if kinetic constants and diffusion coefficients were known. This study describes a microfluidic system with which it was possible to determine the kinetic association rate constants for the formation of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\) under conditions currently used for clinical production. The kinetic rate constants showed a temperature dependence that followed the Arrhenius equation, allowing the determination of Arrhenius parameters for a Lu-DOTA conjugate (A = 1.24 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{19} \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}, E_a = 109.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3} \text{J mol}^{-1}) for the first time. The required reaction time for the formation of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\) (99% yield) at 80 °C was 44 s in a microfluidic channel (100 μm). Simulations done with COMSOL Multiphysics® indicated that processing clinical amounts (3 mL reaction solution) in less than 12 min is possible in a micro- or milli-fluidic system, if the diameter of the reaction channel is increased to over 500 μm. These results show that a continuous, microfluidic system can become a viable alternative to the conventional, batch-wise radiolabelling technique.

Introduction

Recent years have seen increasing interest in the application of microfluidics for the synthesis of radiopharmaceuticals. This development started in the early 2000s with \(^{18}F\) or \(^{11}C\) containing compounds,1 but studies published in the last few years also showed the advantage of microfluidics over conventional methods for metal containing radiopharmaceuticals.2–7 The reason for the success of microfluidics is that downsizing reaction vessels to the micro-scale allows for better mixing of reactants, more efficient energy transfer, less radiolysis, faster reaction optimization, and overall improved reaction control.1–8 However, limited throughput of microfluidic devices and the difficult interface between batch and continuous-flow processes used for different radiopharmaceutical preparation steps still hinder the routine clinical use.9 Up to now, the clear advantage of microfluidic systems lies in the possibility of rapid reaction optimization with very low precursor consumption as shown by Mate et al.3

The remaining challenge of translating those optimization results to conventional (clinical) reaction vessels, but also to various microfluidic reactor designs without adding extensive additional experiments, could be addressed by determining kinetic constants and diffusion coefficients of the studied systems and calculating expected reaction times for the clinical applied reaction vessels. Additionally, the determined constants could be used to optimize the design of reaction vessels using computational fluid dynamics, as Haroun et al. showed recently for the microfluidic synthesis of \([^{11}\text{C}]\text{raclopride}\).10

Both diffusion coefficients and kinetic constants can be obtained with microfluidic systems. So called T-sensors or H-cells have been successfully applied to determine diffusion coefficients of small molecules and proteins.11–13 And Konermann et al. showed in the late 1990s that continuous-flow methods under laminar flow conditions (as are used in microfluidics) allow not only easy and efficient determination of chemical reaction kinetics but avoid the considerable sample consumption typical for conventional continuous-flow kinetic experiments.14–17 The most common application of these systems can be found in time-resolved mass spectro-
metry, an analytical method used for the study of chemical kinetics or biological dynamics.\(^1\) A typical laminar flow setup for continuous-flow experiments consists of two capillaries through which the reagents are pumped to a T-mixer, which is again connected to the capillary in which the reaction takes place. The reaction process is measured with various analytical methods (e.g. MS,\(^1\,19\) IR,\(^20\) NMR\(^21\)) at different lengths of the reaction capillary, equaling different time-points of the reaction.

In this paper, we present such a microfluidic setup for the determination of kinetic association rate constants of radio-pharmaceuticals. Considerations regarding the ability of our setup to determine these constants can be found in the ESL\(^\dagger\) The reaction yields are determined by an ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) system, which is not connected to the microfluidic system, a fact that currently limits the studied reactions to those which do not occur at room temperature. To show the working principle of our method, we investigated the kinetic properties of the reaction of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{LuCl}_3\) with \(1,4,7,10\)-tetraazacyclododecane-N,N′,N″,N‴-tetraacetic acid\([\text{DOTA}]^0\)-Tyr\(^3\) octreotide (DOTA-TATE) under conditions applied for the clinical preparation of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\),\(^22\,24\) and used the obtained results for scale-up considerations. Although the formation of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\) has been studied extensively before,\(^22\,25\) to the best of our knowledge no kinetic rate constants are known.

### Materials and methods

#### Chemicals and reagents

For the (radio)synthesis of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\), \([\text{DOTA}^0\text{-Tyr}^3]\) octreotide kits prepared at Erasmus MC (Rotterdam, The Netherlands) were used, which contained 0.303 mM \([\text{DOTA}^0\text{-Tyr}^3]\) octreotide, 0.64 M sodium ascorbate and 0.16 M genticis acid in 0.05 M HCl. The kits were stored at \(\sim 20^\circ\)C until use. The exact concentration of \([\text{DOTA}^0\text{-Tyr}^3]\) octreotide was determined by titration as described by Breeman et al.\(^26\) In short: based on an assumed concentration of DOTA-TATE, a calibration curve containing \(\geq 4\) ratios of metal ions versus DOTA-TATE in duplicate was prepared. Samples were analysed by UHPLC with a base-to-base separation of DOTA-TATE versus Lu-DOTA-TATE. At increasing amounts of Lu versus DOTA-TATE in the titration, the peak corresponding with DOTA-TATE decreased and the peak corresponding with Lu-DOTA-TATE increased.

\(^{177}\text{LuCl}_3\) (16 GBq g\(^{-1}\)) was produced in the Hoger Onderwijs Reactor (HOR, Delft, The Netherlands). After irradiation, it was dissolved in 0.05 M HCl to a final Lu concentration of appr. 0.13 mM or 0.26 mM. For non-radioactive experiments, \(\text{LuCl}_3\) solutions of the same concentrations were prepared by diluting a 1 g L\(^{-1}\) Lu standard for ICP (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) with 0.05 M HCl. All Lu solutions were analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES, Optima 4300DV, PerkinElmer USA) to determine the exact concentrations. The scale-up radiolabelling tests were done by spiking a non-radioactive \(\text{LuCl}_3\) solution with \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{LuCl}_3\) (0.1 MBq \(\mu\)L\(^{-1}\)) obtained from IDB Holland.

All chemicals applied in this study were of the highest grade, especially regarding metal purity, and were purchased at Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa Aesar if not differently indicated. Water was supplied from Milli-Q systems or Sigma-Aldrich.

#### Microfluidic set-up for kinetic experiments

The microfluidic set-up (Fig. 1) consisted of two 1 mL syringes (Inkjet-F, BD) that were filled with the reactant solutions and connected with capillary tubing (PEEK, 100 \(\mu\)m inner diameter (ID), 20 cm length) to a static mixing tee (IDEX, M-540). The static mixing tee contains a frit that enforces mixing of reactants. A third capillary with varying inner diameter (50–500 \(\mu\)m) and length (96–116 cm) was connected to the mixing tee. Part of this third capillary was heated (60–90 °C) and used as reaction channel. The distance between the mixing tee and the heated part of the capillary was 20 cm unless otherwise specified. The reaction capillary was heated by winding it around a self-manufactured aluminium heating block (see Fig. 1). The temperature of the heating block was checked using a precision thermometer (Greisinger GTH 175/PT, ±1 °C).

The two syringes were controlled with syringe pumps (1000 Aladdin single syringe pump) using flowrates between 1 and 160 \(\mu\)L min\(^{-1}\). The dispensing accuracy of the pumps has been specified by the supplier as ±1%, which was verified by weighing the amount of water pushed through the whole setup at different flowrates over time periods of 20 to 60 min.

#### Labelling procedures

All experiments were repeated at least 3 times. Before taking the samples, the microfluidic setup was flushed with the reactant solutions at the studied flowrates for at least twice the dead volume of the system. Samples of 15 \(\mu\)L (unless otherwise indicated) were taken at the end of the reaction capillary for analysis. All experiments besides the standard synthesis were done with non-radioactive \(\text{LuCl}_3\).

**Standard synthesis of \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}\).** The following labelling conditions, which are based on the conditions currently used for the clinical labelling at Erasmus MC,\(^22\,24\) were used as standard. The DOTA-TATE kit solution (0.303 ± 0.003 mM) and the \([^{177}\text{Lu}]\text{LuCl}_3\) solution (0.260 ± 0.003 mM, 0.1 MBq \(\mu\)L\(^{-1}\)) were mixed at a 1:1 ratio in a reactor (HOR, Delft, The Netherlands), then heated to 80 °C. The reactor (HOR, Delft, The Netherlands) was again connected to the capillary in which the reaction takes place. The reaction process is measured with various analytical methods (e.g. MS,\(^1\,19\) IR,\(^20\) NMR\(^21\)) at different lengths of the reaction capillary, equaling different time-points of the reaction.

![Fig. 1](image_url) Microfluidic setup. The left picture shows capillary tubing, mixing tee and the aluminium heating block. The right picture displays the whole setup. During operation the heating block was isolated from above with a Styropor® plate.
were pushed separately through two inlets into the reaction capillary (total flow rate 15–120 µL). The flow rate ratio was 2 : 1 (DOTA-TATE : Lu), giving a molar ratio of 2.33 : 1. The reaction capillary was heated to 80.1 ± 0.2 °C, and the pH of the reaction solution was 4.0–4.5. To be able to analyse the samples accurately with the radio-detector, samples of 40 µL were taken.

Degradation experiments. To investigate the degradation of the DOTA-TATE kits during storage at room temperature, three 1 mL syringes were filled with kit solution. The closed syringes were stored in a fumehood (appr. 20 °C) for 1–3 days. After this time, the DOTA-TATE kits were applied for labelling following the standard synthesis of Lu-DOTA-TATE.

Temperature experiments. The influence of temperature on the reaction kinetics was measured at 5 different temperatures (59.5, 70.2, 75.1, 80.1, and 88.5 °C). All other conditions were kept the same as described in the standard synthesis.

Influence of diameter. The investigation of reaction capillaries with different diameters (50, 100, 150, and 254 µm ID) required different flow rates (3-240 µL min⁻¹), and the adjustment of the dead volume. Otherwise all reaction parameters were the same as in the standard synthesis.

Mixing of reactants. For this experiment, either a static mixing tee or a normal tee (IDEX) were incorporated in the microfluidic setup. The distance between the tee and the heated part of the reaction capillary was reduced to 3.5 cm. All other conditions followed the standard synthesis.

Analytical methods

The (radio)chemical yield of the labelling experiments was checked by thin layer chromatography (TLC) or ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC).

For TLC, Whatman™, 3MM chromatography paper was used with 50% acetonitrile in water as solvent. For labelling with 50% acetonitrile in water as solvent. The labelled compound had an Rf of 1, while the free radionuclide stayed at the origin.

For UHPLC an UPLC® Acquity system (H-class, UPLC column, Acquity UPLC HSS C₁₈, 1.8 µm 2.1 × 50 mm column) equipped with an autosampler manager module at 6 °C and an Acquity PDA 2996 UV detector (Waters, Etten-Leur, The Netherlands) and Empower 3 software (Waters, The Netherlands) was used. A gradient of Methanol and 0.1% TFA was set over 3 min with a flow of 0.5 mL min⁻¹ (see ESI†). Retention time of DOTA-TATE was ~2.17 min, of Lu-DOTA-TATE ~2.28 min, and ~0.34 min and ~0.57 min for free Lu. Radioactivity was monitored with an Osprey digital multichannel analyser connected to a NaI detector, and dedicated software (MetorX B.V, Goedereede, The Netherlands), connected to the UHPLC system.

Data analysis

The kinetic data was fitted non-linearly using a second-order kinetic model (neglecting the different protonated species of DOTA-TATE). The kinetic association rate constants (k) obtained for different temperatures were fitted non-linearly using the Arrhenius equation. Details about the applied formulas can be found in the ESL†
Fig. 2 2-Dimensional model for the reaction capillary (100 μm ID, 1 m length) connected to a normal tee. The legend depicts the velocity magnitude (m s⁻¹) of the fluid. The average velocity of the Lu³⁺ solution at inlet 1 is 0.08 m s⁻¹, and the average velocity of DOTA-TATE solution at inlet 2 is 0.16 m s⁻¹.

through diffusion as they progress along the reaction channel. The steady state Navier–Stokes equation was solved to describe the advection of the solution. The resolved velocity field was then coupled to the convection term both in the mass transport equation (eqn (3)) and in the heat transport equation (eqn (4)). The boundary conditions and parameters used in the models are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 Boundary conditions for solving the system of differential equations. \( \vec{n} \) is the normal vector, \( U_0 \) is the average velocity at the inlet, \( p_0 \) is the pressure at the outlet, \( C_{ij} \) denotes the concentration of the chemical species \( i \) at the inlet, \( T_0 \) is the initial temperature of the reaction solution, and \( T_w \) is the desired reaction temperature at the heated channel wall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary condition</th>
<th>Momentum</th>
<th>Mass transfer</th>
<th>Heat transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td>( \vec{v} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \vec{n} \cdot \vec{N}_i = 0 )</td>
<td>( T = T_w )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet</td>
<td>( \vec{v} = -U_0 \vec{n} )</td>
<td>( \vec{c}<em>i = c</em>{0i} )</td>
<td>( T = T_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet</td>
<td>( p = p_0 )</td>
<td>( \vec{n} \cdot (-\nabla C) = 0 )</td>
<td>If ( \vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} &lt; 0 ) ( T = T_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If ( \vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} &gt; 0 ) ( \vec{n} \cdot j = 0; \ j = -\lambda \Delta T )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Physical parameters used for solving the system of differential equations. For simplification, as long as the temperature of the solution is higher than 20 °C, the diffusion coefficient is set as constant due to the fact that the temperature of the solution could be heated up to the desired temperature very quickly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D_{\text{Lu}^{3+}} ) (m² s⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.41 × 10⁻⁹ (at 20 °C); 7.3 × 10⁻⁹ (above 20 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{\text{DOTA-TATE}} ) (m² s⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.9 × 10⁻¹⁰ (at 20 °C); 6.5 × 10⁻¹² (above 20 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A ) (M⁻¹ s⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.24 × 10¹³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_a ) (J mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>109.5 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_p ) (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.2 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda ) (W m⁻¹ K⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho ) (kg m⁻³)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu ) (Pa s)</td>
<td>1.01 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and discussion

Kinetic properties of the formation of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE at different temperatures

The kinetics of the formation of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE were determined using a microfluidic synthesis setup (total reaction times of a few minutes) together with an UHPLC system (analysis time of 3.5 min). This combination gave the opportunity to scan several reaction parameters within one day using non-radioactive LuCl₃. To confirm the consistency between non-radioactive and radioactive experiments, we did experiments with lutetium solution that was spiked with [¹⁷⁷Lu]LuCl₃ obtained from IDB Holland. The yield of the radioactive experiment was measured both with the UV (278 nm) and the radio-detector (113 and 208 keV) of the UHPLC system. Both measurements gave comparable results (see Fig. 3), therefore all further experiments were done with non-radioactive LuCl₃ solution.

Fig. 4 shows the reaction yield of Lu-DOTA-TATE as a function of residence time for different temperatures determined in a capillary with 100 μm inner diameter. Due to optimal heat and mass transfer in the microfluidic system much lower reaction times (44 s at 80 °C for 99% yield) are needed than those reported for conventional systems (8–15 min at pH 4 and 80 °C).²⁵ The data shows also that the synthesis of Lu-DOTA-TATE is highly temperature dependent, which has been observed before for lanthanide DOTA-peptide conjugates, but was never quantified.³² The most extensive reaction
Table 3  Kinetic association constants of Lu-DOTA-TATE for different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>$k$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1868 ± 100</td>
<td>0.7861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>902 ± 16</td>
<td>0.9793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>396 ± 15</td>
<td>0.9553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>223 ± 10</td>
<td>0.9408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>60.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.8327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The obtained parameters were further used to estimate kinetic association constants for other temperatures. Based on these constants, the residence times needed to achieve 99% radiochemical yield were calculated as a function of temperature for the conditions described in this paper (see Fig. 6). In a reaction vessel that has optimal mass and heat transfer, reaction times under one hour can be still achieved at 43 °C ($t = 55$ min). A slightly higher temperature, 52 °C, already allows for a reaction time of under 20 min. The estimated kinetic rate constant for 25 °C (0.813 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) is in the same order of magnitude as the one determined for Lu-DOTA previously by electrophoresis ($4.54 \pm 0.24 \times 10^{-2}$ M$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ in 20 mM sodium acetate solution, pH 4.2)\(^{28}\) and would lead to reaction times of 12 h in a reaction vessel with optimal heat and mass transfer.

A point to consider when applying the here described microfluidic method for the synthesis of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE is the stability of clinical DOTA-TATE kits, which we used in this study. Previous syntheses of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE at Erasmus MC showed no detectable differences between newly prepared and old, slightly yellow, DOTA-TATE kits, and therefore these older kits are often used for first research studies. However, during our microfluidic experiments, we observed a clear trend to slower kinetics, if kit solutions were not stored at −20 °C in the dark, but had degraded over time (see Fig. 7). Reasons for this degradation could be the instability of ascorbate, which is added as radical scavenger and buffer to the solution,\(^{15}\) and is known to degrade at high storage temperatures, light, high pH values and in the presence of dissolved oxygen.\(^{16–18}\) Further investigation into the reasons for this degradation was out of scope for this study, however, it is an important point to mention, since this is the first time that degradation of kit solution has been observed to affect the synthesis of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE.

Fig. 5 shows the dependence of the kinetic association rate constants on the temperature. The Arrhenius equation was fitted to all data points ($R^2 = 0.991$), which allowed the determination of the Arrhenius parameters for the studied reaction under the applied conditions ($A = 1.24 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{19}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $E_A = 109.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$ J mol$^{-1}$), an Arrhenius plot (ln($k$) vs. 1/T) is shown in the ESI†. We were not able to find Arrhenius parameters for Lu-DOTA-TATE, Lu-DOTA or any similar lanthanide complexes in literature. All accessible kinetic data has been determined for temperatures around 25 °C\(^{28,29,32,34}\)

Fig. 7  Dependence of Lu-DOTA-TATE formation on time of storage of DOTA-TATE kit at room temperature. The reaction was done in a 100 μm channel at 80 °C.

Fig. 6 Prediction of time needed to achieve 99% yield for [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE in a setup with optimal heat and mass transfer.

The graph was calculated using the Arrhenius parameters obtained in this study.

Lu-DOTA previously by electrophoresis ($4.54 \pm 0.24 \times 10^{-2}$ M$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ in 20 mM sodium acetate solution, pH 4.2)\(^{28}\) and would lead to reaction times of 12 h in a reaction vessel with optimal heat and mass transfer.

A point to consider when applying the here described microfluidic method for the synthesis of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE is the stability of clinical DOTA-TATE kits, which we used in this study. Previous syntheses of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE at Erasmus MC showed no detectable differences between newly prepared and old, slightly yellow, DOTA-TATE kits, and therefore these older kits are often used for first research studies. However, during our microfluidic experiments, we observed a clear trend to slower kinetics, if kit solutions were not stored at −20 °C in the dark, but had degraded over time (see Fig. 7). Reasons for this degradation could be the instability of ascorbate, which is added as radical scavenger and buffer to the solution,\(^{15}\) and is known to degrade at high storage temperatures, light, high pH values and in the presence of dissolved oxygen.\(^{16–18}\) Further investigation into the reasons for this degradation was out of scope for this study, however, it is an important point to mention, since this is the first time that degradation of kit solution has been observed to affect the synthesis of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE.

Up-scaling to clinical relevant amounts

Applying a microfluidic system containing one reaction capillary with 100 μm inner diameter for the clinical synthesis of [$^{177}$Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE (currently 3 mL reaction solution at Erasmus MC), is due to the low flowrates (≤15 μL min$^{-1}$ for
complete conversion) certainly not feasible. However, there exist two possibilities to increase the throughput of the microfluidic system while keeping the residence time of the reaction solution the same: (I) to apply parallel systems, and (II) to increase the diameter of the reaction channel. While the first option mainly poses the problem of designing such a parallel system, increasing the diameter of the reaction channel requires again considerations regarding optimal heat transfer and diffusion limitations. Experimental results using capillaries with different inner diameters (up to 254 μm) showed no differences in kinetics (Fig. 8).

To determine the influence of the capillary diameter on the formation rate of [177Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE for even higher diameters, we modelled two system options: one where the reactants were completely mixed at the beginning of the reaction channel (for example by using a static mixing tee), and one where the reactant solutions were added separately and mixing occurred solely through diffusion (Fig. 9). The computational results for the fully mixed model show that if complete mixing is achieved at the beginning of the channel, only slightly decreased yields are expected for [177Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE when increasing the channel diameter up to 1.5 mm (Fig. 9a). Fig. 9b depicts the concentration profile of [177Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE at the end of the reaction channel. Most of the product is formed at the walls, since the low velocities there (see Fig. 2) lead to a longer residence time of the liquid. If the solutions are, however, added separately, most of the product will be formed at the centre of the channel where LuCl3 and DOTA-TATE already had time to mix by diffusion (Fig. 9d). This leads to significantly slower reactions for larger diameters (see Fig. 9c). Nevertheless, reaction times will be with less than 100 s still smaller than those required for conventional reaction vessels (8–15 min).

Based on the simulation results obtained for the fully mixed model, we calculated the highest flowrate possible in micro- or milli-fluidic systems (0.1–1.5 mm ID, 1 m length) to still achieve the residence time necessary for 99% yield, and also determined the time required to process 3 mL of reaction solution (Fig. 10). These 3 mL are the volume currently processed at Erasmus MC during clinical labelling (reaction time 30 min), leading to four patient doses. Our results show that reaction capillaries with inner diameters equal to or higher than 500 μm will allow for processing times that are less than 12 min, which makes this reactor setup a viable option for clinical production. However, these results do not consider other factors such as the influence of diameter on radiolysis (for small diameters radiolysis can be reduced, if the range of radiation is significant higher than the applied diameter) or the adsorption of chemical species on the channel walls (due to the considerable surface area adsorption can reduce the amount of radiopharmaceutical produced). For a microfluidic reactor that can make clinical amounts of [177Lu]Lu-DOTA-TATE in an acceptable timeframe, these factors will need to be taken into account.

Conclusions

In this study, we presented a microfluidic setup that can determine kinetic association rate constants of radiopharmaceuticals at different temperatures. Currently, the system is limited to reactions that do not occur at room temperature, however, further work is on the way to design a system suitable for all
types of reactions. With the current system we studied the kinetics of the formation of \(^{177}\text{Lu}\)-DOTA-TATE, and determined kinetic association rate constants and Arrhenius parameters for the first time. The experimental work was supported by computational simulations done with COMSOL Multiphysics®. Finally, we considered the clinical application byed by computational simulations done with COMSOL Multiphysics®. Finally, we considered the clinical application of our results. The synthesis of \(^{177}\text{Lu}\)-DOTA-TATE is possible in a microfluidic system within 44 s at 80 °C (99% yield). The small diameter of the reaction capillary (100 μm), however, limits the throughput and would require high processing times for clinical amounts. Simulations showed that increasing the diameter to over 500 μm will allow for reaction times of under 12 min if 3 mL reaction volume (equaling 4 patient doses) are processed. These results demonstrate that a continuous, capillary system is a viable alternative to the conventional, batch-wise radiolabelling technique for which clinical reaction times of 30 min are applied.
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