Persistence and lifelong fidelity of phase singularities in optical random waves
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Phase singularities are locations where light is twisted like a corkscrew, with positive or negative topological charge, depending on the twisting direction. Among the multitude of singularities arising in random wave fields, some of them can be found at the same location, but only when they exhibit opposite topological charge, which results in their mutual annihilation. New pairs can be created as well. With near-field experiments supported by theory and numerical simulations we study persistence and pairing statistics of phase singularities in random optical fields as a function of the excitation wavelength. We demonstrate how such entities can encrypt fundamental properties of the random fields in which they arise.

A wide variety of physical systems exhibit vortices: locations around which an observable rotates while being undetermined in the middle. It is exceptionally fascinating when the properties and evolution of such singular entities can comprehensively describe complex phenomena such as the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. But vortices are not a peculiarity of superconductors: light’s phase swirls around optical vortices, where it is singular. A multitude of these phase singularities arises in random optical fields, one half swirling in opposite direction to the other, so that they can approach respectively to an arbitrarily small distance. It is by letting them move that one can observe creation and/or annihilation of such pairs.

With near-field experiments we track phase singularities in a random optical field from birth to death. We map the singularities’ trajectories at varying the excitation wavelength, and quantitatively determine properties such as their persistence in the field and the correspondence between creation and annihilation partner of a singularity, known as lifelong fidelity. We observe two populations of singularities, neatly differentiated by their typical persistence in the varying wave field: short-lived pairs that are predominantly faithful to their creation partner, and a more promiscuous population.

To generate optical random waves we couple monochromatic light (λ0 ∼ 1550 nm) into a photonic crystal chaotic cavity realized in a silicon-on-insulator platform. We map the optical field inside the cavity with near-field microscopy, resolving amplitude, phase and polarization of such in-plane complex electric field (Ex, Ey). Figure 1 presents a typical example of our measurements of amplitude and phase of Ex. The optical field inside the cavity can be thought of as a random superposition of light waves with transverse electric (TE) polarization. Only the behavior of Ex is presented here, without loss of generality as it is representative of the behavior of all in-plane field components.

Figure 1d represents a full-size measurement: a square map 17 μm × 17 μm with a pixel size of about 17 nm. In this map we distinguish a multitude of dark and bright spots, the results of destructive and constructive interference. Figures 1e-f are 2 μm × 2 μm zoom-in of the full measurements taken at different wavelengths of the input light. Here, we can observe how a small change of the wavelength (δ = 0.02 nm), hardly changes the spatial pattern of the amplitude. Figures 1g-j depict the measured phase, and reveal the phase singularities which take place at every zero in the amplitude (gray circles).

We pinpoint the position of these singularities with deep sub-wavelength resolution, and simultaneously determine their topological charge, which is always observed to be +1 (light circles) or -1 (dark circles), corresponding to a ±2π change of the phase around the singular point.

In the panels g-j of Fig. 1 we observe that the singularities move as a function of wavelength. More eye-catching than their tiny movements are the annihilation and creation events of pairs of singularities, which we can both observe between the panels h and i (Fig. 1), highlighted by black circles. Indeed, singularities can be created and annihilated, but only in processes that conserve the total topological charge of the system, i.e., in pairs of opposite topological charge. Thus, as we tune the wavelength, singularities exhibit a transitory persistence in the random field over the span of a finite wavelength shift ∆λ between their creation and annihilation. In Fig. 2 we present a 3D representation of the trajectories followed in space and wavelength by a small subset of all the singularities that we measure. Among all the trajectories, the red ones represent lifelong faithful singularities: special cases where the singularities have the same partner for both creation and annihilation. The green trajectories are unfaithful singularities.

Figure 3 presents the number N of singularities as a function of their persistence ∆λ in the measured field. The main plot illustrates the results obtained from a dataset in which we tuned the wavelength with a step δ = 0.02 nm for a total range L = 1.2 nm. In such plot we clearly distinguish two persistence regimes separated
by a cutoff wavelength shift $\lambda^* \approx 0.15$ nm. In the region $\Delta \lambda > \lambda^*$ the number of singularities exponentially decays against their persistence in the field, with a characteristic persistence $\lambda_d = 0.6$ nm. Such exponential behavior is even more clear from the data displayed in the inset, representative of a measurement in which the total range of the wavelength sweep is $L = 8$ nm ($\delta = 0.1$ nm). Please note that the finite size of the wavelength scans has negligible effects on the measured statistics, mainly for the longest trajectories, thus slightly distorting the exponential behavior. This is because singularities that are created and/or annihilated outside the measured wavelength range need to be excluded from the persistence statistics. We estimate the fraction of such singularities to be of the order of $\Delta \lambda / L$, resulting in a correction factor for $N(\Delta \lambda)$ proportional to $(1 - \Delta \lambda / L)$.

The exponential behavior that we observe could be interpreted in a straightforward way as the result of a memoryless Poisson process. However, we discover a physics richer than that. From the main plot of Fig. 3a we notice that $N(\Delta \lambda)$ is not purely exponential: it clearly deviates from such a distribution for $\Delta \lambda$ smaller than $\lambda^*$. This spectral region contains an excess of singularities compared to what the asymptotic exponential distribution would predict. Their characteristic persistence in the field is much smaller than $\lambda_d$. In fact, by fitting $N(\Delta \lambda)$ with a bi-exponential distribution, we can estimate the characteristic persistence for such short-living population to be approximately $0.03$ nm. Please note that the trajectories of such excess of singularities with a persistence smaller than $\lambda^*$ are always uniformly distributed along the entire absolute wavelength range.

Interestingly, when considering only the faithful singularities (red trajectories in Fig. 2), a different behavior is observed than for the full ensemble of all singularities. It is clear from Fig. 3a that in the region where devia-
FIG. 3. Overview of the statistics for the persistence and fidelity of singularities in random waves, in experiment (a,b) and FEM simulation (c,d). In the upper panels the dashes indicate the number of singularities $N$ which persisted in the field for a given wavelength shift $\Delta \lambda$. The main figures refer to experiment/simulation where the wavelength was swept over a range $\mathcal{L} = 1.2$ nm with a step $\delta = 0.02$ nm, whereas the insets show the results of wider scans ($\mathcal{L} = 8$ nm, $\delta = 0.1$ nm). The gray lines are representative of the prediction for the persistence histogram given by our model. In the same panels, the boxes are still a persistence histogram, but in which only the $N_f$ singularities that were faithful to each other are taken into account. In the lower panels we report the fidelity fraction $\mathcal{F}$ of phase singularities, again as a function of their persistence in the field $[\mathcal{F}(\Delta \lambda) = N_f(\Delta \lambda)/N(\Delta \lambda)]$.

Having developed a model that creates a field with correlation properties analogous to those of our measured field, which in fact does not contain any excess of faithful singularities for small persistences.

We model the frequency-dependent field as a superposition of the cavity eigenstates $E^*_x$, centered at frequencies
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FIG. 4. Correlation coefficient $\rho(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ of the measured (upper left) and FEM simulated (lower right) optical random field, at wavelengths $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ ($\lambda_0 = 1550$ nm).

## Notes

1. $\rho(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{|\int \text{d}r |\hat{E}_x(\lambda_1)|\hat{E}_x(\lambda_2)|^2}{\int \text{d}r |E_x(\lambda_1)|^2 \int \text{d}r |E_x(\lambda_2)|^2}$.

2. $\rho(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ decays over a finite wavelength shift $\lambda_c \approx \lambda^*$. Such a close relation between $\lambda_c$ and $\lambda^*$ may suggest that those singularities which spend their entire existence in the region of spectral correlation of the field exhibit persistence and pairing properties that are different from those of singularities over-living this region.

3. Although seemingly intuitive, this simple interpretation cannot be the whole story. We can firmly state this,
\[ \dot{\omega}_j = \omega_j + i\gamma_j \quad [28]: \]

\[ E_x(r, \omega) = \sum_j \alpha_j E_j^x(r, \omega_j) \frac{\omega - (\omega_j + i\gamma_j)}{\omega_j}, \quad (2) \]

Based on the size of our cavity we can estimate the average spectral separation between two consecutive eigenstates \( \Delta = (\omega_{j+1} - \omega_j)/2 \) to be approximately 0.08 nm \([28]\). In the simplest model field, we assume the eigenstates to be equidistant in frequency (spacing \( \Delta \)), with a constant width \( \gamma \) and a unitary weight \( (\alpha_j = 1) \). We set \( \gamma = 0.16 \text{ nm} \), equal to the average loss rate of our system, which we determine from a finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulation of the entire three-dimensional chaotic cavity. Finally, following Berry’s hypothesis \([30]\), we consider every eigenstate to be a random superposition of monochromatic plane waves:

\[ E_j^x(r, \omega_j) = \sum_{|k| = n\omega_j/c} a_{x,k} \exp(i k \cdot r + i \delta_k), \quad (3) \]

where \( \delta_k \) is a random variable uniformly distributed in \([0, 2\pi]\) and \( a_{x,k} \propto |k \times \hat{z}| \) is the polarization coefficient for the TE mode \([15]\). With these assumptions, we construct the wavelength-dependent field of Eq. (2) and determine the statistics of its singularities. We find that the persistence of singularities is exponentially distributed, with a characteristic decay rate that depends on the ratio between the eigenstates’ width and spacing \( \gamma/\Delta \). For our estimated parameters \( (\gamma/\Delta \approx 2) \) this results in \( \lambda_0^{th} = 0.6 \text{ nm} \). The persistence calculated with our model is presented in Fig. 3 (gray line). In the region \( \Delta \lambda > \lambda^* \) we obtain perfect matching between experiment and theory.

These theoretical results are confirmed by a 2D finite element method (FEM) simulation. The FEM simulation produces a direct expression of \( E(r, \omega) \), free of the previous model assumptions. The statistics for the singularities obtained from these simulations are reported in Fig. 3c-d. Comparing the simulated persistence histogram to our model calculation we notice perfect agreement at every wavelength shift. No deviation from a single-exponential distribution in the region \( \Delta \lambda < \lambda^* \) is present, and in the same region the fidelity fraction is lower than experimentally observed (Fig. 3b,d). Interestingly, we find a close correspondence between the correlation properties of measured and simulated fields: in both cases the correlation coefficient \( \rho(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \) decays over a finite wavelength shift of \((\text{Fig. 4})\), measurable in a half-width-half-max value of 0.22(3) nm for the experiment and 0.26(3) nm for the simulation. We can therefore exclude the different behavior of the short-living population of singularities to originate merely as a consequence of the finite spectral correlation of the random wave field.

We note that we ruled out the eventuality in which the short-living population of singularities is generated by experimental artifacts such as noise in the measurements, temperature fluctuations or phase drifts. With this regard, independent measurements realized with different wavelength sweeps showed perfect consistency, demonstrating that variables which are not the wavelength shift cannot affect the final results \([31]\). Moreover, we introduced these as well as other possible measurement artifacts (i.e., perturbation from the near-field probe, frequency instabilities) in our models, in order to check if they could in any case lead to an enhanced population of faithful singularities at small \( \Delta \lambda \); they did not show any.

Interestingly, we did find a way of modeling that reproduces the observed enhanced population. When a second family of eigenstates with a spectral width \( \gamma' \) different from the one of the original eigenstates is added to the decomposition of Eq. (2), two populations of singularities start to appear. Such an additional family of modes could be provided by resonances which due to polarization or physical separation would ideally remain orthogonal to the chaotic modes, but which get coupled to them in the real-life system. Figure 5 presents the persistence histogram for such proposed model case, at varying the ratio \( \gamma/\gamma' \). For some choices of \( \gamma' \) a bi-exponential behavior appears. Specifically, we observed that such choices satisfy \( \Delta \approx \gamma \gg \gamma' \), in which cases an excess of faithful singularities living within the spectral correlation region of the field is again found \([31]\).

![FIG. 5. Persistence histograms for singularities arising in numerically generated random fields in which two families of eigenstates with different spectral width \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma' \) coexist \([31]\). The lines are bi-exponential fits to the data points.](image-url)
states, which could lead to a full explanation of our experimental observation.
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