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Abstract – This paper describes a high-resolution energy-efficient CMOS temperature sensor, intended for the temperature compensation of MEMS/Quartz frequency references. The sensor is based on silicided poly-silicon thermistors, which are embedded in a Wien-bridge RC filter. When driven at a fixed frequency, the filter exhibits a temperature-dependent phase shift, which is digitized by an energy-efficient continuous-time phase-domain delta-sigma modulator. Implemented in a 0.18µm CMOS technology, the sensor draws 87µA from a 1.8V supply and achieves a resolution of 410µKrms in a 5ms conversion time. This translates into a state-of-the-art resolution FoM of 0.13pJ·K². When packaged in ceramic, the sensor achieves an inaccuracy of 0.2°C (3σ) from −40°C to 85°C after a single-point calibration and a correction for systematic non-linearity. This can be reduced to ±0.03°C (3σ) after a 1st-order fit. In addition, the sensor exhibits low 1/f noise and packaging shift.
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Major changes from our prior conference publication [23]

- We have included a more detailed discussion about the choice of the sensing resistor and the characteristics of the Wien bridge (Section II).
- We have included a more detailed description and study of the readout electronics (Section III).
- We have introduced a new resistance-based calibration method, as well as measurement results of plastic-packaged sensors and sensors from a second batch (Section IV).
- We have added/modified the following figures and tables compared to our prior conference publication: Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 11, Fig. 12, Fig. 13, Fig. 14, Fig. 15, Fig. 16, Fig. 17, Fig. 18, Table 1, Table 2.
- We have added 16 additional references.
I. Introduction

Integrated temperature sensors are widely used for the temperature compensation of frequency references [1-7]. This is a demanding application, as it requires sensors that can simultaneously achieve high resolution, high energy-efficiency and high stability. High-resolution is needed to prevent the temperature sensor’s noise from increasing the frequency reference’s jitter [7]. High energy efficiency is needed to minimize the sensor’s contribution to the reference’s total energy budget. Last, but not least, high stability is required to guarantee the reference’s long-term stability over temperature. Furthermore, the sensor should be CMOS-compatible so that it can be co-integrated with the rest of the frequency reference’s electronics.

The temperature dependencies of various CMOS-compatible devices, such as BJTs [8 - 10], MOSFETs [11 - 12], resistors [1 - 6, 13], and electrothermal filters [14 - 15] have all been used as the basis for integrated temperature sensors. MEMS resonators have also been used to realize temperature sensors with excellent resolution and energy efficiency [7]. However, they are fabricated in non-CMOS processes, leading to two-die systems, greater complexity and increased cost. According to a survey of smart temperature sensors [16], resistor-based sensors are currently the most energy-efficient class of CMOS temperature sensors. As expressed by their resolution figure-of-merit (FoM) [16], they can be an order of magnitude more efficient than their BJT-based counterparts. Furthermore, they can achieve higher (sub-mK) resolution [1].

The resolution and energy-efficiency of a resistor-based temperature sensor are determined by the temperature-coefficient (TC) of its sensing resistor and the noise of its readout electronics. In [3], poly resistors were used, while in [4], both poly and diffusion resistors were used. In both cases, however, their resolution was limited by the thermal [3], or 1/f [4], noise of the readout
electronics. The designs in [5] and [13] used N-well and siliced resistors, respectively, which both have larger TCs (~0.3%/°C) than diffusion or poly resistors. However, their resolution was still limited by the readout electronics’ thermal [5], or quantization [13] noise.

In this paper, we describe the design of a high-resolution, energy-efficient temperature sensor based on a thermistor-embedded Wien-bridge (WB) RC filter. When driven at a fixed frequency, the bridge exhibits a temperature-dependent phase shift. Since the capacitors in a CMOS process are comparatively stable, this temperature-dependence will be mainly determined by the resistor’s TC. This phase shift can then be digitized by a high resolution ADC based on an energy-efficient continuous-time phase-domain delta-sigma modulator (PDΔΣM). The WB sensor achieves a resolution of 410µK rms in a 5ms conversion time, and a resolution FoM of 0.13pJ·K². To study the impact of process spread and mechanical stress on sensor inaccuracy, samples from two different batches, as well as samples packaged in both ceramic and plastic, were characterized.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II reviews the properties of different resistors in CMOS processes and then describes the characteristics of the WB sensor. Section III is devoted to the design of an energy-efficient continuous-time PDΔΣM. Measurement results and a comparison with the state-of-the-art are described in section IV, and finally, conclusions are presented in Section V.
II. Resistor-Based Sensors

A. Characteristics of CMOS Resistors

In CMOS processes, many resistors are available: metal resistors, diffusion resistors, poly-silicon (poly) resistors and silicided resistors. The relevant characteristics of these resistors are summarized in Table 1. To achieve high resolution, energy-efficiency and stability, a temperature-sensing resistor should have a large TC, low 1/f noise, and a stable resistance, i.e., low voltage dependency and low stress sensitivity.

Metal resistors are quite stable, and have large TCs, typically ranging from 0.3% to 0.4%/°C. However, their sheet resistance is very low (< 0.1Ω/□ in the process used), resulting in either large chip area or high power consumption. Poly-silicon resistors have smaller TCs (< 0.15%/°C in the process used) and exhibit more 1/f noise [17]. The resistance of diffusion resistors depends on doping level, and on the reverse-bias voltage between them and the substrate (or well diffusion). Due to their low doping levels, this is particularly an issue for N-well resistors, which, however, can have TCs comparable to that of metal resistors.

Two other resistor types are available: silicided poly resistors and silicided diffusion resistors. Silicide is a highly conductive silicon-metal alloy and so the characteristics of such resistors are in between those of metal and (poly-)silicon resistors. Compared to the latter, they have a relatively large TC (~0.3%/°C), a more linear temperature dependence and lower 1/f noise [17]. However, their sheet resistance is much lower (a few ohms/square). They also have low voltage dependency and stress sensitivity, and are quite stable, showing no electrical degradation (e.g., hysteresis), even after being heated up to 500°C [18].
From Table 1, it would seem that of the available resistors in standard CMOS processes, silicided resistors are the best choice for use in high-performance temperature sensors. In the chosen process (TSMC 0.18µm CMOS), both silicided diffusion resistors and silicided poly resistors are available. However, the former spread more, and have larger parasitic capacitances. The silicided p-poly resistor (s-p-poly resistor) is less voltage dependent than the silicided n-poly one, and so was chosen as the main temperature sensing element in this work.

B. Wien Bridge Temperature Sensors

Apart from a sensing element, a resistor-based temperature sensor also requires a reference impedance, which has a stable, preferably near zero TC. According to section II.A, however, such resistors are not available in standard CMOS processes. Alternatively, the very low TC (~10ppm/°C [19]) of metal-insulator-metal (MIM) capacitors can be exploited to realize a stable reference impedance by driving them at a fixed reference frequency, as is the case in a Wien bridge sensor.

The circuit diagram of a Wien-bridge RC filter is shown in Fig. 1(a). It is a 2nd-order band-pass filter, whose voltage amplitude and phase transfer functions can be written as:

\[
H(j\omega) = \frac{RCj\omega}{1 - R^2C^2\omega^2 + 3RCj\omega} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\phi_{WB}(\omega) = -\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{R^2C^2\omega^2 - 1}{3RC\omega}\right) \quad (2)
\]

Its Bode plot is shown in Fig. 1(b), where the frequency axis is normalized by \(f_0=1/(2\pi RC)\).
For a fixed driving frequency $f_{\text{drive}}$, the phase shift of the WB will be determined by its resistors and capacitors. Since the TC of MIM capacitors is quite low, the temperature dependence of this phase shift will be mainly determined by that of the resistors. With s-p-poly resistors, this will vary from about -7° to 10° over the industrial temperature range (-40°C to 85°C). As shown in Fig. 1(c), this phase shift can be determined by measuring either the voltage across the output resistor $2R(T)$ or the current flowing through it. In this paper, the former method is referred to as the voltage readout scheme, and the latter as the current readout scheme.

C. Resolution and Energy-Efficiency

An ideal phase detection model based on synchronous demodulation, shown in Fig. 2, can be used to estimate the achievable temperature-sensing resolution and the resolution FoM of the WB sensor. For simplicity, both the driving and the demodulating signals are assumed to be sine waves with the same frequency, but different phases: $V_{\text{in}} = A \cdot \sin(2\pi f_0 t)$ and $V_{\text{demod}} = \sin(2\pi f_0 t + \phi_{\text{demod}})$, where $f_0 = 1/(2\pi RC)$, $\phi_{\text{demod}} = 90°$ (orthogonal) and $A$ is the amplitude of the driving signal. The resolution of the WB sensor can then be derived by comparing the levels of the noise and the temperature dependent DC signal present at the output of the low-pass filter. The demodulating signal is assumed to be noise-free, and thus its amplitude doesn’t affect the sensor’s resolution.

First, we assume a voltage readout scheme. Under the orthogonal sine wave assumption, the sensitivity of the demodulator’s DC output to temperature can be expressed as:

$$S_{\text{WB,v}} = \frac{dV_{\text{DC}}}{dT} = \frac{dV_{\text{DC}}}{d\phi_{\text{WB}}} \cdot \frac{d\phi_{\text{WB}}}{dT} = A \cdot \frac{2\alpha}{6} = \frac{A\alpha}{9}. \quad (3)$$
where and $\alpha$ is the temperature coefficient of the sensing resistors. At the driving frequency $f_0$, the noise spectrum densities of $R_1$ and $R_2$ in Fig. 2 before demodulation are $v_{n,r1} = \sqrt{4kTR/9}$ and $v_{n,r2} = \sqrt{8kTR/9}$, respectively. After demodulation, the noise power will be quartered, as the power of the unity-amplitude demodulation sine wave is 0.5 and only half of the noise power will be demodulated to DC. Given a conversion time of $t_{\text{conv}}$, the amplitude of the output voltage noise becomes:

$$V_n = \sqrt{v_{n,r1}^2 + v_{n,r2}^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2t_{\text{conv}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \sqrt{\frac{kTR}{6t_{\text{conv}}}}. \quad (4)$$

By combining (3) and (4), the resolution of this single-ended WB sensor can be expressed as:

$$\Delta T_{v,se} = \frac{V_n}{S_{WB,v}} = \frac{3}{A\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{3kTR}{2t_{\text{conv}}}}. \quad (5)$$

For a differential WB sensor, however, this resolution can be improved by $\sqrt{2}$ due to the doubled noise power and the quadrupled signal power, i.e.,

$$\Delta T_{v,diff} = \frac{3}{A\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{3kTR}{t_{\text{conv}}}}. \quad (6)$$

In the current readout scheme, however, the noise contribution of $R_2$ is less attenuated than in the voltage readout scheme, and thus the temperature resolution is lower. In the case of a differential WB sensor it is given by:

$$\Delta T_{i,diff} = \frac{3}{A\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{3kTR}{2t_{\text{conv}}}}. \quad (7)$$

When driven at its center frequency $f_0$, the power consumed by the differential bridge is $A^2/(3R)$. By combining this with (7), the sensor’s FoM can be calculated. In the voltage readout scheme, $\text{FoM}_{v,\text{WB}}=9kT/(4\alpha^2)$; while in the current readout scheme, $\text{FoM}_{i,\text{WB}}=9kT/(2\alpha^2)$.
Interestingly, these expressions are independent of other design parameters such as resistance, capacitance, supply voltage, or conversion time.

In the actual design, the WB sensor is implemented with $R=32k\Omega$, $C=10pF$ and $f_{drive}=500kHz$. Although the voltage readout scheme is more energy-efficient, the current readout scheme can be easily connected to a virtual ground, thus simplifying the readout circuitry [2]. From (3), with $t_{conv}=5ms$ and $A=0.9V$ (1.8V supply voltage), a WB based on an s-p-poly resistor can achieve a temperature sensing resolution of $230\mu K_{rms}$. The corresponding FoM$_{i,WB}$ is then $2.3fJ\cdot K^2$. It should be noted that this does not take into account the noise and power consumption of the readout electronics, and it assumes that the sensor is driven and demodulated by sine waves.

III. Architecture and readout circuit implementation

A. System-Level Design

The block diagram of the proposed temperature sensor is shown in Fig. 3. To simplify the driving circuitry and minimize its energy consumption, the WB is driven by complementary square waves, instead of by sine waves. The driving signals, $\varphi_{drive+}$ and $\varphi_{drive-}$, at $f_{drive}=500kHz$, are derived from an 8MHz external master clock by a divide-by-16 circuit. For high resolution, a continuous-time phase-domain delta-sigma modulator (PΔΣM) is adopted to digitize the phase $\varphi_{WB}(T)$ of the WB’s output current. For simplicity and linearity, it employs a single-bit quantizer. The two square-wave phase references of the PΔΣM, $\varphi_1=67.5^\circ$ and $\varphi_2=112.5^\circ$, are also generated by the divider circuitry. Their phase difference of $45^\circ$ is chosen in order to accommodate the spread of the WB’s resistors and capacitors, and hence in $\varphi_{WB}(T)$.  

The PDΔΣM first down-converts $\varphi_{WB}(T)$ to DC by multiplying it by a phase reference at the same frequency ($f_{\text{demod}}=f_{\text{drive}}$). Depending on the chosen references $\varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2$ of the phase DAC, the multiplier’s DC output is either positive or negative [14]. The multiplier’s output is integrated by the loop filter, and then quantized. In a negative feedback loop, the quantizer toggles the reference phases such that the loop filter’s average DC input is zero. The average of the output bit-stream is therefore a digital representation of $\varphi_{WB}(T)$.

In contrast to a previous design, which was based on a 1st-order modulator [2], this design employs a 2nd-order modulator to achieve sub-mK resolution in a short (5ms) conversion time. As shown in Fig. 4, it employs a feed-forward topology [20], which requires only one feedback DAC, and also reduces the swing in the loop filter.

B. Circuit Implementation

Fig. 5 shows the circuit diagram of the continuous-time PDΔΣM. The demodulator is realized by controlling the direction of the input current flow, i.e., by chopping the input current with bitstream-dependent phases $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$. To establish a low-impedance virtual ground at the input of the ADC, the first stage consists of an active integrator, while, for simplicity, the second stage consists of a gm-C integrator. The feedforward coefficient $c_1$ (Fig. 4) is realized by the introduction of $R_{\text{ff}}$ in series with the integration capacitor of the second stage. Its output is sampled at $f_{\text{drive}}$ by a comparator, which is triggered at $\varphi_{\text{trig}}=135^\circ$.

To suppress its $1/f$ noise, the opamp of the first stage is chopped. By choosing the chopping frequency $f_{\text{chop}}$ the same as $f_{\text{demod}}$, the input chopper and the input demodulator can be merged into a single chopper in series with the integration capacitors, as shown in Fig. 6. This chopper
merging technique [14] simplifies the required control logic and minimizes errors due to charge injection mismatch.

In principle, the 1st stage amplifier can be implemented as an energy-efficient single-stage operational trans-conductance amplifier (OTA). However, the input impedance of the resulting integrator is then approximately $1/gm$, where $gm$ is the OTA’s transconductance. As shown in Fig. 7, this resistance loads the WB, thus altering $\varphi_{WB}(T)$ and degrading its temperature-sensing accuracy. For example, with the chosen s-p-poly resistors, a 10% variation on a nominal $gm$ of 1mS ($I_d \sim 50\mu A$, or 4× larger than the maximum output current of the WB) will translate into a temperature-sensing error of more than 0.5°C.

In a two-stage amplifier, the input stage doesn’t need to provide the output current. This helps to reduce its input swing and hence the input impedance of the first integrator, which in turns results in less temperature-sensing error. For simplicity, and to avoid the need for miller compensation capacitors, the gain of the output stage should not be large, so that the pole formed by the $gm/C_{load}$ of the output stage, is well beyond the unity-gain frequency of the amplifier. In this work, the 1st stage is a two-stage opamp consisting of a telescopic gm stage followed by two PMOS source followers, as shown in Fig. 8. The common-mode feedback of the gm stage is achieved by two PMOS transistors in their triode region. The tail current of the telescopic gm, which is 16μA at room temperature, is optimized for low noise and power consumption. The source followers’ bias current (20μA/branch at room temperature) is chosen to handle the WB’s peak current (11μA at room temperature, 16μA at −40°C). The opamp’s $1/f$ noise has a corner frequency of about 15kHz, and so is effectively cancelled by chopping at 500kHz. To limit the 1st stage’s output swing (which includes chopper ripple) and thus to relax the design of the gm-C second stage, the integration capacitor of the first stage is made quite large (180pF each). The
gm-C second stage is built around a telescopic OTA with source degenerated input pairs, which achieves a good balance between energy-efficiency and linearity. It draws 4µA, which is less than 10% of that of the first stage.

IV. Measurement results

The sensor is fabricated in a standard 0.18µm CMOS technology, and the chip micrograph is shown in Fig. 9. For flexibility, a sinc² decimation filter is implemented off-chip. Each sample contains two different temperature sensors: one with silicided p-poly (s-p-poly) resistors, and for the sake of comparison, the other with non-silicided n-poly resistors. These two co-integrated sensors share the same constant-gm biasing and phase generation circuits. Each sensor occupies an active die area of 0.72mm², about 40% of which is consumed by the first integrator’s capacitors (2×180pF). Each sensor draws 87µA from a 1.8V power supply including the readout circuits. At room temperature, DC supply sensitivities of -0.17°C/V (s-p-poly bridge) and 0.34°C/V (n-poly bridge) were observed for supply voltages ranging from 1.6 to 2V.

A. Resolution and FoM

Since the phase output of the WB sensor is determined by its driving frequency, random jitter will translate into random phase noise and degrade the sensor’s resolution. To prevent this, the sensors are driven by a low-jitter (1ps_{rms}) frequency reference (SiT8208), which only degrades the sensor’s resolution by about 0.5%. Furthermore, the temperature of the sensors was stabilized by mounting them inside a cavity in a large (10kg) metal block, which, in turn, was placed in a temperature-controlled oven (Vötsch VT7004).
The power spectral densities of both sensors’ output bit-streams are shown in Fig. 10 (a). The sensor’s noise floor is dominated by the RC-filters’ thermal noise. After decimating their bit-streams at room temperature (RT ~25°C), the sensors’ resolution is plotted versus conversion time, Fig. 10 (b). To suppress the effects of ambient temperature drift, the resolution was determined by taking the difference between two successive measurements. According to simulations, this approach effectively suppresses drift, but underestimates the $1/f$ noise corner by a factor of two. In a 5ms conversion time (2500 samples), the s-p-poly and the n-poly sensors achieved a resolution of 410$\mu$K$_{rms}$ and 880$\mu$K$_{rms}$, respectively. The n-poly resistor exhibits a $1/f$ corner of about 10Hz, while that of the s-p-poly sensor is below 1Hz. Since the two sensors are read-out in exactly the same way, the $1/f$ noise of the n-poly sensor can be directly attributed to the sensing resistors.

B. Non-linearity Correction and Calibration

As discussed in section II.B, the WB sensor’s temperature dependence is mainly determined by that of its resistors. Since both the value and the TC of on-chip sensing resistors spread [21], resistor-based temperature sensors usually require a multi-point ($\geq 2$) trim to achieve good accuracy, e.g., 0.12°C with a 3-point trim [3].

The accuracy of the proposed WB sensor is also influenced by its nonlinearity, which is due to three factors: a) the nonlinear temperature dependence of its sensing resistors, b) the WB’s nonlinear resistance-to-phase shift characteristic given by (2), and c) the non-linear transfer function of a PD$\Delta$ΣM, which is caused by the nonlinearity of its phase demodulator and is referred to as “cosine nonlinearity” [2, 22]. The modulator’s nonlinear transfer function is completely deterministic, and can be removed before calibration [2, 23]. Moreover, from (2),
\[
R = \frac{1}{2\omega C} \left( \sqrt{9 \tan^2(\varphi_{WB}) + 4} - 3 \tan(\varphi_{WB}) \right)
\]  
(8)

So assuming that \(C\) and \(\omega\) are temperature independent, the resistance-to-phase shift nonlinearity is also fully deterministic. Although (8) assumes that the WB is driven and demodulated by sine waves, the same conclusion can be drawn for the case when square-waves are employed.

In our previous work [23], the non-linearity of the modulator was corrected by a fixed polynomial. In this work, however, both the non-linearity of the WB and the modulator are corrected by a fixed 7th-order polynomial. This polynomial is determined from simulation results, assuming ideal readout electronics, temperature-independent WB capacitors, and square-wave drive and demodulation signals. The result is shown in Fig. 11, in which resistance (normalized to its value at \(f_0\)) is plotted versus the modulator’s bit-stream average.

Twenty samples from one wafer in ceramic DIL packages were characterized from \(-45^\circ\text{C}\) to \(85^\circ\text{C}\) (steps of \(10^\circ\text{C}\)) in a temperature-controlled oven. The actual temperature was established by a calibrated Pt-100 RTD. To partially compensate for the spread of \(f_0\) with process, \(f_{\text{drive}}\) was set to 562.5kHz (9MHz master clock) instead of the nominal 500kHz. After the aforementioned fixed nonlinearity correction, the extrapolated resistance vs. temperature plots (R-T plots) of the s-p-poly and the n-poly sensors are shown in Fig. 12, and the corresponding average R-T plots are shown in Fig. 13. The corresponding 1\(^{\text{st}}\) and 2\(^{\text{nd}}\) order TCs agree well, to within a few percent, with the models provided by the foundry, thus validating the non-linearity correction technique. After 1\(^{\text{st}}\)-order linear fit, the remaining non-linearity, mainly due to the nonlinearity of the sensing resistor’s TC, is quite systematic (Fig. 14), and so could be removed by a fixed 3\(^{\text{rd}}\)-order polynomial obtained by batch calibration. After this systematic nonlinearity correction, the s-p-poly sensor achieves a 3\(\sigma\) inaccuracy of \(\pm0.03^\circ\text{C}\), while the n-poly sensor’s inaccuracy is about
±0.3°C as shown in Fig. 15. Benefiting from the more complete non-linearity correction, the accuracy of the s-p-poly sensor is 2× better than that reported in our previous work [23].

To reduce calibration costs, the number of calibration temperatures should be reduced. So rather than doing a 1st-order fit based on data obtained at multiple temperature points, a simpler two-point calibration can be done. This results in only a slight loss of accuracy: when calibrated at −15°C and 65°C, the s-p-poly sensor achieves a 3σ inaccuracy of ±0.05°C.

C. Single-point Calibration

Serendipitously, the TC and the RT resistance \(R_0\) of the s-p-poly sensor were found to be highly correlated, as shown in Fig. 16(a). By exploiting this correlation, a 3σ inaccuracy of ±0.2°C could be achieved after a single-point calibration, as shown in Fig. 16(c). Unfortunately, this correlation is much weaker for n-poly sensor (Fig. 16(b)), and the 3σ inaccuracy after a single-point calibration is only ±0.6°C.

D. Plastic Packaging

In production, low-cost plastic packages are preferred over ceramic packages. However, the accompanying mechanical stress [24] impacts the sensor’s accuracy. Because of the metal-like properties of silicided poly resistors, their stress sensitivity is much less than that of non-silicided poly resistors. The average resistance vs. temperature plot of 12 sensors produced in the same batch is shown in Fig. 13. Compared to the ceramic packaged chips, both the TC and \(R_0\) of the n-poly resistors in plastic packages change significantly, while those of the s-p-poly resistors do not.

However, compared to ceramic packaged devices, a shift was observed in the TC-\(R_0\) correlation of the s-p-poly sensors. Even based on the limited number of samples, the correlation
also appears to be weaker, as shown by the presence of an outlier in Fig. 16(a). After a two-point calibration, however, the change in their systematic nonlinearity is less than 0.05°C (Fig. 14). After a packaging-specific systematic nonlinearity correction, the sensor achieves a 3σ inaccuracy of ±0.2°C, as shown in Fig 17, mainly due to the outlier.

E. Batch-to-Batch Spread

To verify the effect of batch-to-batch spread on the s-p-poly sensor’s inaccuracy, 12 devices from a different batch (fabricated a few months after the first batch) were characterized in ceramic packages. As shown in Fig. 13, however, the center frequency $f_0$, and hence the extrapolated resistance of the s-p-poly sensors then shifted by about 16%. To maximize the sensors’ resolution, this resistance shift was compensated by reducing $f_{\text{drive}}$ by 16% during characterization. The sensor’s extrapolated TC-R₀ relationship is shown in Fig. 16. Despite the significant shift in $f_0$, the linear correlation discussed in Section IV.C is still valid. The s-p-poly sensor achieves an estimated 3σ inaccuracy of ±0.3°C after a correlation-assisted single-point calibration. After an individual 1st-order fit, the maximum difference in the systematic nonlinearity of the two batches is 0.04°C from −40°C to 85°C (Fig. 14).

F. Comparison to Previous Work

The performance of the s-p-poly sensor’s is summarized in Table II and compared to that of other high-resolution energy-efficient temperature sensors. It achieves an energy efficiency of 0.13pJ·K², which is 5× better than the state-of-the-art for CMOS sensors [4], and is close to that of MEMS-based sensors [7]. When packaged in ceramic, the sensor achieves an inaccuracy of ±0.03°C (3σ) after a 1st-order fit followed by a fixed systematic nonlinearity correction, which is
the best reported for a CMOS resistor-based temperature sensor. It also achieves ±0.2°C (3σ) after a single-point calibration, which is comparable to that of most BJT-based sensors [16].

V. Conclusions

A resistor-based smart temperature sensor for the temperature compensation of MEMS/Quartz frequency references has been implemented in a standard 0.18µm CMOS technology. It is based on a Wien bridge RC filter, whose output phase is digitized by a continuous-time PDΔΣM. Mainly due to the high TC and low 1/f noise of silicided poly resistors, the sensor achieves a 410µK resolution in a 5ms conversion time, and a resolution FoM of 0.13pJ·K². The sensor has been characterized over two batches and packages (ceramic/plastic). When packaged in ceramic, it achieves an inaccuracy of ±0.2°C (3σ) from −40°C to 85°C after a single-point calibration. After a 1st order fit and a systematic nonlinearity correction, this can be reduced to ±0.03°C (3σ) over the same temperature range. These results demonstrate that silicided poly resistors are suitable for realizing the high-resolution and energy-efficient sensors required for the temperature compensation of precision frequency references.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the resistors available in CMOS processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistor type</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Diffusion</th>
<th>N-well</th>
<th>Poly</th>
<th>Silicided diffusion</th>
<th>Silicided poly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Medium or Small</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{nd}$-order Temperature coefficient</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet resistance</td>
<td>Very Small</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply dependency</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/f$ noise</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress sensitivity</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Performance summary of the Wien bridge (WB) sensor compared to previous high-resolution energy-efficient temperature sensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tech (µm)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (mW)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp. Range (°C)</td>
<td>−40─85</td>
<td>−40─125</td>
<td>−40─85</td>
<td>−40─85</td>
<td>−40─105</td>
<td>−40─130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (mK)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;conv&lt;/sub&gt; (ms)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim point</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccuracy (3σ)</td>
<td>±30mK</td>
<td>±400mK&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>±120mK&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>±300mK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res. FoM (pJ·K²)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> 1<sup>st</sup>-order fit.  <sup>b</sup> 1-point trim with fixed 1<sup>st</sup>-order fit.  <sup>c</sup> Min/Max.  <sup>d</sup> MEMS die + CMOS readout IC.