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ABSTRACT
Code smells reflect sub-optimal patterns of code that often lead to critical software flaws or failure. In the same way, community smells reflect sub-optimal organisational and socio-technical patterns in the organisational structure of the software community.

To understand the relation between the community smells and code smells we start by surveying 162 developers of nine open-source systems. Then we look deeper into this connection by conducting an empirical study of 117 releases from these systems.

Our results indicate that community-related factors are intuitively perceived by most developers as causes of the persistence of code smells. Inspired by this observation we design a community-aware prediction model for code smells and show that it outperforms a model that does not consider community factors.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Software engineering is, by nature, a "social" activity that involves organizations, developers, and stakeholders who are responsible for leading to the definition of a software product that meets the expected requirements. The social interactions among the involved actors may represent the key to success as well as a critical issue possibly causing the failure of the project [13].

Recently, the research community has investigated social debt, i.e., unforeseen project cost connected to a "suboptimal" development community (i.e., both in structure and behavior) [14]. One of the recent advances in this research field is represented by the definition of community smells [14], i.e., a set of socio-technical characteristics (e.g., high formalism) and patterns (e.g., recurrent condescending behavior), which may lead to the emergence of social debt. Community smells are connected to code smells [12].

In this paper we aim at deeply and empirically exploring the relation between social and technical debts, in particular on how community smells influence the maintainability of code smells [3, 8]. We follow a convergence mixed-methods approach [4], in which quantitative and qualitative research are run in parallel over the same dataset aiming at converging towards a confirmed theory.

Our main results indicate that presence of community smells hinders refactoring of the code smells. In particular, we identify occurrence of two known and four previously unknown community smells; and show that community smells are relevant for all code smells and are often more relevant than traditional community-related measures such as socio-technical congruence.

2 SURVEYING DEVELOPERS
To understand the concerns affecting developers’ decisions to eliminate or preserve code smells we consider 117 releases of 9 open-source projects from Apache (Mahout, Cassandra, Lucene, Cayenne, Pig, Jackrabbit and Jena) and Eclipse (CDT and CFX). Using DECOR [8] we identify the occurrence of five popular code smells (Long Method, Feature Envy, Blob Class, Spaghetti Code and Misplaced Class) and contact those developers that worked the most (in terms of commits) with one distinct smelly class instance in any of the releases.

162 developers responded out of the 472 we have contacted, for a response rate of 34.32%—that is almost twice than what has been achieved by previous papers (e.g., [2, 9, 16, 17]).

We have shown the respondents the smelly code fragments and asked them for the reasons or risks that lead them to decide whether to refactor the smell. Two authors independently coded the answers.

Survey data highlights the relation between community smells and code smells. 80% of practitioners explicitly mention that avoiding community problems, is the reason why code smells are "not" addressed, meaning that it is more convenient to keep a technical smell than dealing with a community smell. We also confirm in open-source communities the presence and impact of 2 previously reported community smells in industry [5]. At the same time, we observe 4 new community smells that afflict open-source communities and strongly relate to code smells. The new community smells pertain to the inability to achieve consensus, fear that refactoring would be detrimental for understandability, highly complex classes that can be managed by 1-2 people at most and changes causing a previously existing group of modularised collaboration to fragment.

3 STATISTICAL MODELING
We perform statistical modeling of the relationship between community and code smells. We consider the same software systems
and the code smells as above. Using the CodeFace4Smells tool, a fork of CodeFace [6], we detect the following community smells: Organisational Sîo Effect, Black-cloud Effect, Lone-wolf Effect, and Bottleneck Effect [14]. We also include in the model traditional metrics such as LOC, Churn or CBO and community-related variables such as socio-technical congruence. As the dependent variable we consider the code smell intensity, i.e., how much the value of a chosen metric exceeds a given threshold [7]. We use Gain Ratio Feature Evaluation [10] to rank the independent variables and the Scott-Knott Effect Size Difference (SK-ESD) [15] to verify the ranks. We report the likelihood that a feature was ranked at the top.

Looking at the results in Table 1, we observe that for all the code smells but Displaced Class traditional metrics such as LOC, CBO, and Code Churn influence the code smell intensity most. These results were quite expected given the importance of LOC for evolution of code smells [11]. Also the previous intensity of a smell is important: likely, this is caused by developers rarely refactoring code smells [1], thus increasing the intensity of smells over time.

Turning the attention to the community smells, we observed that they represent relevant features for all the considered code smells. Note that the community-related control factors (e.g., socio-technical congruence) are often not able to explain the dependent variable better than community smells, meaning that the community smells are more powerful "predictors" than such control factors. For instance, the Organisational Sîo provides a higher entropy reduction (0.27) than socio-technical congruence (0.18) when considering the Long Method smell. In the second place, we note that different community smells are related to different code smells, meaning that circumstances occurring within a community influence the maintainability of code smells. For example, in the case of Long Method, such circumstances are related to non-structured or missing communication between developers, as highlighted by Black Cloud and Organisational Sîo being highly influential.

### 4 SUMMARY

The organisational and technical structures of software are deeply interconnected. Similarly, we conjectured that the social and technical debt may be connected just as deeply. In this paper, we start exploring this relation from the manifestations of both social and technical debt, i.e., code and community smells. While previous work offered evidence that these two phenomena occurring in software engineering may be correlated, in this paper using a mixed-method empirical evaluation we provide evidence of this relation.
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