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We investigate the magnetic field and temperature dependence of the single-electron spin lifetime in silicon quantum dots and find a lifetime of 2.8 ms at a temperature of 1.1 K. We develop a model based on spin-valley mixing and find that Johnson noise and two-phonon processes limit relaxation at low and high temperature, respectively. We also investigate the effect of temperature on charge noise and find a linear dependence up to 4 K. These results contribute to the understanding of relaxation in silicon quantum dots and are promising for qubit operation at elevated temperatures.
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Electron spins in semiconductor quantum dots [1] are considered to be one of the most promising platforms for large-scale quantum computation. Silicon can provide key assets for quantum information processing, including long coherence times [2,3], high-fidelity single-qubit rotations [2,3], and two-qubit gates [4–6], which have already enabled the demonstration of quantum algorithms [6]. Quantum dots based on silicon metal-oxide semiconductor (Si-MOS) technology provide additional prospects for scalability due to their compatibility with conventional manufacturing technology [7,8], which opens the possibility to co-integrate classical electronics and qubits on the same wafer to avoid an interconnect bottleneck [9,10]. However, control electronics will introduce a power dissipation that seems incompatible with the available thermal budget at temperatures below 100 mK, where qubits currently operate. Understanding and improving the robustness of qubits against thermal noise is therefore crucial, while operating qubits beyond 1 K could entirely resolve this challenge.

Spin relaxation and charge noise are two essential metrics for quantum dot qubits. While the spin lifetime $T_1$ can be of the order of seconds in silicon quantum dots [11–13], exceeding by orders of magnitude the dephasing time $T_2^*$ [2], it is presently unclear how $T_1$ will be affected by temperature and whether it will become the shortest timescale for quantum operations at elevated temperatures. Spin qubits are also sensitive to charge noise, and electrical fluctuations can reduce qubit readout and control fidelities. The temperature dependence of these two parameters is therefore vital in evaluating the prospects for hot spin qubits.

Here we investigate in detail the temperature dependence of spin relaxation and charge noise of a Si-MOS quantum dot. We construct a model based on direct and two-phonon transitions including all spin and valley states of the lowest orbital. The model provides good agreement with the experiments and we conclude that while at low temperatures $T_1$ is limited by Johnson noise, probably originating from the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) channels present in the device, two-phonon processes determine the relaxation rate above 200 mK. Based on our results we predict how the spin lifetime can be improved by decreasing the magnetic field and increasing the valley-splitting energy. Furthermore, we investigate the charge noise and measure a rather weak temperature dependence.

Figure 1(a) shows a scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a quantum dot device, realized in isotopically enriched silicon ($^{28}$Si), identical in design to the one measured. Figure 1(b) presents the charge stability diagram of the device, showing charge transitions originating from three quantum dots, and we deplete one quantum dot to the last electron. From the temperature dependence of the transition width (see Supplemental Material [15]) we extract a lever arm $\alpha_{P1} = 0.12$ eV/V. We tune the tunnel rate between the quantum dot and the reservoir by controlling the gate $P2$ [see Fig. 1(c)], which moves the position of the quantum dot thereby changing the distance to the reservoir. During the experiment, since the dc signal of the sensing dot is filtered with a 2 kHz low pass filter, the dot-reservoir tunnel rate is set to approximately 700 Hz.

As shown in Fig. 1(d), we measure the spin lifetime by applying a three-level voltage pulse to the gate $P1$, while monitoring the dc current of the sensing dot. First, we inject an electron into the quantum dot, we read out the spin state, and we finally empty the quantum dot [20]. An additional level is added to the pulse after the empty phase in order to cancel out any dc offset. We measure the spin-up fraction as a function of load time and extract $T_1$ by fitting the data with an exponential decay.

The measured $T_1$ as a function of magnetic field (applied in the [010] direction) is plotted in Fig. 2(b) and the temperature dependence for three different magnetic fields is shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c). Thermal broadening of the
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where \( \Gamma_{v_{-}v_{-}} \) is the pure valley relaxation rate and
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Possible sources of electrical noise include \( 1/f \) charge

noise, Johnson noise, and phonon noise. We measure small

values for charge noise [see Fig. 4] and thus neglect their

contribution, further justified by the high frequencies of
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coming from the circuits outside the dilution refrigerator
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generator used to apply voltage pulses. However, the

corresponding lines are attenuated by 12 dB and have an

intrinsic cut-off frequency of 1 GHz, making the noise in

the 20–100 GHz range negligible. Another possible source
of Johnson noise is the resistive 2DEG, which generates electric field fluctuations that have a capacitive coupling to the quantum dot. In the present device, the main contribution is likely due to the 2DEG underneath the reservoir gate, which is in close proximity to the quantum dot.

Thus, the most relevant contributions are Johnson noise and phonons. The pure valley relaxation rate for these two cases is given by [13,22]

$$\Gamma_{v,v}^{J}(\omega) = \Gamma_{0}^{J} \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_{v}} \right) \left[ 1 + 2n_{b}(\hbar \omega, k_{B}T) \right]$$

$$\Gamma_{v,v}^{ph}(\omega) = \Gamma_{0}^{ph} \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_{v}} \right)^{5} \left[ 1 + 2n_{b}(\hbar \omega, k_{B}T) \right],$$

where \(\hbar \omega\) is the energy difference between the two states, \(\omega_{v} = E_{v}/\hbar\) and \(n_{b}\) is the Bose-Einstein distribution. The two contributions can be distinguished by the different magnetic field dependence that follows from \(\omega \cdot F_{v}(\omega_{c})\) in the case of Johnson noise and from \(\omega_{c}^{2} F_{v}(\omega_{c})\) for phonons. As shown in Fig. 2(b) the magnetic field dependence of \(T_{1}\) at base electron temperature can be explained in terms of Johnson mediated relaxation dominant at low fields, and a phonon contribution, mainly relevant for \(\hbar \omega_{c} > E_{v}.\)

We now turn to the temperature dependence, shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c). As shown in Eqs. (4) and (5), the temperature dependence is the same to first order for phonon and Johnson noise and given by \(1 + 2n_{b}(\hbar \omega_{c}, k_{B}T)\). If \(\hbar \omega_{c} \gg k_{B}T\) spontaneous phonon emission dominates and the relaxation rate is temperature independent, while for \(\hbar \omega_{c} \ll k_{B}T\) it increases linearly with temperature. The relaxation rates caused by first-order processes are shown by the blue lines in Figs. 3(a)–3(c), which fit the low temperature region of the plots. However, the same processes cannot justify the rapid increase of \(T_{1}\) measured at higher temperatures. In order to explain the full temperature dependence we also need to take into account two-phonon processes.

As depicted in Fig. 2(a), these transitions happen in a two-step process via intermediate states. These intermediate transitions can be energy conserving or energy nonconserving (virtual) processes, since energy must be conserved only between the initial and the final state. We obtain a two-phonon process by expanding the spin-phonon interaction in second-order perturbation theory [23]

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{k} \frac{V_{jk} V_{ki}}{E_{i} - E_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \Gamma_{k}} \delta(E_{i} - E_{f}),$$

where \(V_{jk}, V_{ki}\) are the matrix elements between the states and \(1/\Gamma_{k}\) is the lifetime of the intermediate state, which depends on all first-order processes between \(k\) and the other states. The square of the matrix elements is proportional to the valley relaxation rate \(\Gamma_{v,v}\). Relaxation through Johnson noise can also be expanded in second-order perturbation theory; however, the temperature dependence is much weaker (see Supplemental Material [15]) and its contribution will therefore be neglected.

Since the thermal energy is comparable to the level splitting in the temperature window 0.5–1 K, absorption processes cannot be neglected. In order to understand the relaxation dynamics we have developed a model that includes all possible transitions between the four spin-valley states in first and second order. For completeness, we have also included in the model the weak coupling between the states [1] and [4]. We evaluate all the transition rates and we use them to solve a \(4 \times 4\) system of coupled differential rate equations given by

$$\frac{dN_{i}}{dt} = -N_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \Gamma_{ij} + \sum_{j \neq i} \Gamma_{ji} N_{j} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where \(N_{i}\) being the population of the state \(i\). The red lines in Figs. 3(a)–3(c), show the relaxation rates as obtained from Eqs. (3), (6), and (7) (see also Supplemental Material [15]). The good agreement between model and experiment provides an indication that, even at high temperatures, relaxation is dominated by spin-valley physics. The spin-flip transitions involving the three lowest states are found to
be the relevant rates to the relaxation process. These are $\Gamma_{2,1}$ and $\Gamma_{2,3}$ when $E_x$ is below $E_{ov}$, and $\Gamma_{3,1}$ and $\Gamma_{3,2}$ when $E_x$ is above $E_{ov}$. The relaxation rate above 200 mK consists of a flat region followed by a rising part. We attribute this behavior to the second-order process described by Eq. (6). We consider separately the contributions of the resonant $\langle |E_i - E_k| \ll \hbar \Gamma_k \rangle$ and off-resonant transitions $\langle |E_i - E_k| \gg \hbar \Gamma_k \rangle$. In the first case, known as the Raman process, the relaxation rate becomes proportional to $n_b$ and the temperature dependence vanishes. This explains the main flat region that we observe in Figs. 3(a)–3(c). For off-resonant transitions, known as the Raman process, the relaxation rate scales polynomially with the temperature. As discussed in the Supplemental Material [15], in case of phonon-mediated transitions, a $T^3$ temperature dependence is obtained. The Raman process dominates over the Orbach process above 500 mK [see Figs. 3(a)–3(c)].

As we can see from Fig. 3(c), the increase in the relaxation rate at $B_0 = 3$ T does not match the model predictions above 500 mK, suggesting contributions to the relaxation from a different source rather than the valley mixing. We rule out second-order contributions from Johnson noise because of the much weaker temperature dependence. Possible contributions might come from a second-order process involving the excited orbital states, which is expected to give a $T^{11}$ temperature dependence as discussed in the Supplemental Material [15]. Coupling to orbital states can potentially give a magnetic field dependence that would make it not observable at lower fields. Coupling to orbital states mediated by direct processes gives rise to a $B_0^2$ field dependence; this phenomenon is known as Van Vleck cancellation, a consequence of Kramer’s theorem [25]. For two-phonon processes, Van Vleck cancellation together with the spin-valley mixing can potentially give an even stronger field dependence.

The spin lifetime can be increased by reducing the spin-valley coupling. As shown in Eqs. (1) and (2), it can be strongly increased by reducing the applied magnetic field or by increasing the valley splitting energy. In Si-MOS devices, the valley splitting can be electrically controlled and increased to $E_{ov} \approx 1$ meV [2,26]. Figures 3(d) and 3(e) show the magnetic field and the valley splitting energy dependence of the relaxation rate for $T = 10$ mK and $T = 1$ K, using the parameters extracted from our numerical fittings of the experimental data. These results predict a spin lifetime at 1 K of approximately 500 ms, when $B_0 = 0.1$ T and $E_{ov} = 575$ μeV. The relaxation at low magnetic fields is predicted to be dominated by second-order processes even at low temperature, due to the stronger field dependence of the first-order processes.

We now turn to charge noise measurements. In a minimal model, charge noise can be attributed to defects that can trap or release charges, giving rise to electrical noise with a characteristic 1/f spectrum [27]. We measure the charge noise in our device as current fluctuations of the sensing dot, changing from approximately $2 \mu$eV/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ to $12 \mu$eV/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. This is indicating a different relation than predicted by a simple model, which assumes an equal distribution of thermally activated fluctuators with relaxation rates distributed according to a Lorentzian. This model would give rise to a square root temperature dependence of the charge noise amplitude [27]. The offset measured at low temperature can be attributed to electrical noise that couples to the sensing dot via the gates.

In summary, we have investigated the magnetic field and temperature dependence of the spin lifetime and measured $T_1 = 2.8$ ms at 1.1 K and $T_1 = 145$ ms at base temperature. Relaxation occurs through electric field fluctuations that cause spin transitions mediated by spin-valley coupling. At temperatures below 200 mK the dominant noise source is Johnson noise, while second-order phonon processes dominate at higher temperatures. We have also shown how the spin lifetime can be further improved by operating in low magnetic fields and tuning to high valley...
splitting energies. In particular, Si-MOS devices have the advantage of a large and tunable valley splitting, whereas in Si/SiGe it is typically not larger than 100 \( \mu \text{eV} \) [29]. Future work aimed at improving lifetimes could focus on schemes that do not explicitly require a large magnetic field, such as readout via Pauli spin blockade. In addition, we have measured the temperature dependence of the charge noise and find consistency with a linear trend from 100 mK to 4 K.

Leading solid-state approaches for large-scale quantum computation focus on decreasing the operation temperature down to the milliKelvin regime. Instead, the long spin lifetimes at elevated temperatures and the weak charge noise reported here indicate that such low temperatures are not a fundamental requirement for spins in Si-MOS quantum dots, providing an avenue for the demonstration of spin qubits with operation temperatures above one Kelvin.
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