

## Future of Electric Vehicle Charging

Mouli, Gautham Ram Chandra; Prasanth, Venugopal; Bauer, Pavol

**DOI**

[10.1109/PEE.2017.8171657](https://doi.org/10.1109/PEE.2017.8171657)

**Publication date**

2017

**Document Version**

Accepted author manuscript

**Published in**

19th International Symposium on Power Electronics, Ee 2017

**Citation (APA)**

Mouli, G. R. C., Prasanth, V., & Bauer, P. (2017). Future of Electric Vehicle Charging. In *19th International Symposium on Power Electronics, Ee 2017* (Vol. 2017-December, pp. 1-7). IEEE.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/PEE.2017.8171657>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Future of Electric Vehicle Charging

Gautham Ram Chandra Mouli, Prasanth Venugopal, Pavol Bauer

Dept. of Electrical Sustainable Energy  
Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands  
P.Bauer@tudelft.nl

**Abstract**— Charging infrastructure for electric vehicles (EV) will be the key factor for ensuring a smooth transition to e-mobility. This paper focuses on five technologies that will play a fundamental role in this regard: smart charging, vehicle-to-grid (V2G), charging of EVs from photovoltaic panels (PV), contactless charging and on-road charging of EVs. Smart charging of EVs is expected to enable larger penetration of EVs and renewable energy, lower the charging cost and offer better utilization of the grid infrastructure. Bidirectional EV chargers will pave the way for V2G technology where the EV can be used for energy arbitrage and demand-side management. Solar charging of EV will result in sustainable transportation and use of the EV battery as PV storage. On the other hand, stationary contactless charging and on-road inductive charging of EV will remove the necessity for any cables, eliminate range anxiety issues and pave the way for automated driving. The electromagnetic and power converter design for contactless power transfer systems for future highways is reviewed in this paper.

**Keywords**— Charging, contactless power transfer, electric vehicles, solar energy, smart charging, vehicle-to-grid

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is expected that 500 million electric vehicles (EVs) will be on the roads by 2030 [1]. The technology and infrastructure for charging of electric vehicles will be the key enabler for this mobility transition. EV charging facilities will be required at homes, workplaces, shops, recreational locations and along highways. The EV charging power has to be provided by the distribution network at low cost, with minimal reinforcement and at maximum reliability.

Large penetration of EV can lead to increase in the peak demand on the grid and possible overloading of distribution network assets [2], [3]. Secondly, the current electricity grid is mostly powered by fossil fuels like coal and natural gas [4]. When EVs are charged from such a grid, a large part of the emissions are merely moved from the vehicle to the power plant. This makes EVs not truly green as one would expect. Hence it is important for the future that EVs are charged from sustainable sources of electricity like solar or wind [5]–[8]. At the same time, EV can play a decisive role with their ability to act as controllable load and as a storage for the grid with fast response.

Charging infrastructure for electric vehicles will be the key factor for ensuring a smooth transition to e-mobility. It is here that five technologies will play a vital role in the EV charging infrastructure: smart charging, vehicle-to-grid (V2G) technology, charging of EVs from photovoltaic panels (PV)

contactless charging and on-road charging of EVs. The goal of this paper is to review these five technologies, provide examples of their implementation and recommendations for the future.

## II. CONDUCTIVE CHARGING OF EVs – CURRENT STATUS

Charging of EVs using a cable can be done today with AC or DC charging [9]. Table I gives an overview of the currently existing AC and DC charging plugs, communication standards and power levels. What is immediately clear is that there is no single standard used across the world and there is a significant variation in the charging power levels. The simplest way to charge EVs is to use the onboard AC charger, which is an AC/DC converter with isolation [10]–[13]. Currently, there exist three types of AC charging systems – Type 1 SAE J1772-2009 used in the US, Type 2 Mennekes charger used in Europe and the Tesla US charger [10], [12]. The main difference is that the European Type 2 plug provides for much higher charging powers of up to 43kW (up to 63A) through the use of three phase 400V grid connection. The control pilot (CP) and proximity pilot (PP) is used for communication between charger and EV. The Type 3 EV charger of the EV plug alliance has been abandoned in favor of Type 2 by nearly all EV manufacturers.

Due to space and weight restrictions on the EV, the onboard AC charger is usually limited to charging power levels of up to 20kW in commercial EVs. The only exception to this is when the drivetrain propulsion power electronics, which are typically of a much higher power rating (80-500kW), are

TABLE I  
AC, DC CHARGING PLUGS, POWER LEVELS IN EUROPE AND USA [10]–[13]

Plug	Number of pins (Communication)	Charging level	Voltage, Current, Power
Type 1 SAE J1772 USA	3 power pins – L1,N,E 2 control pins – CP, PP (PWM over CP)	AC Level 1	1Φ 120V, ≤ 16A, 1.9 kW
		AC Level 2	1Φ 240V, ≤ 80A, 19.2kW
Type 2 Mennekes Europe	4 power pins – L1,L2,L3,N,E 2 control pins – CP, PP (PWM over CP)	AC Level 1	1Φ 230V, ≤ 32A, 7.4kW
		AC Level 2	3Φ 400V, ≤ 63A, 43kW
Type 4 Chademo	3 power – DC+,DC-,E 7 control pins (CAN communication)	DC Level 3	200-500V, ≤ 400A, 200kW
SAE CCS Combo	3 power pins – DC+,DC-,E 2 control pins – CP, PP (PLC over CP, PE)	DC Level 3	200-1000V DC, ≤ 350A, 350kW
Tesla US	3 power pins – DC+,DC-,E (or) L1,N,E 2 control pins – CP, PP	DC Level 3	Model S, 400V, ≤ 300A, 120kW

re-used for EV charging as well. These are referred to as ‘on-board integrated’ chargers [14], [15]. The integrated chargers use a combination of the drivetrain inverter and the windings of the propulsion motor for the EV charging. An example is the integrated ‘Chameleon’ charger of the Renault Zoe which is rated for 43kW. For high power EV charging beyond 50kW, DC charging is preferred which uses an off-board charger.

DC charging was introduced in order to facilitate faster charging of EVs (up to 350kW) and to overcome the weight and size limitation of an onboard charger [10], [16]. Currently, there exist three types of DC chargers: Type 4 Chademo, CCS/COMBO (Combined Charging System), and Tesla US and Europe chargers.

### III. SMART CHARGING OF EVs

EVs have three unique abilities which make them an excellent asset in the grid: the flexibility to vary their charging power, the capability to quickly ramp up/down the charging power and the ability to both charge and discharge. However, this potential is presently unused. Currently, EV charging is an uncontrolled process where the EV charges at a fixed power once connected to a charger and charges till the battery is full.

With the use of smart charging, the EV charging power and direction can be continuously controlled (dynamic charging). Smart charging of EVs can provide several benefits to the EV owner and to the providers of the EV charging infrastructure:

1. Reduce the cost of EV charging based on energy prices [17]
2. Provide new revenue streams like vehicle-to-grid [18]
3. Increase the use of solar energy for charging of EV in the day and wind in the night [19]. EV battery can be a storage for renewables, so an extra storage is not required
4. Reduce distribution system losses [20]
5. Reducing the peak demand on the grid due to EV charging by demand side management. This delays/negates the need for infrastructure upgrade in the distribution network [3], [21], [22]
6. Use the EV’s fast ramp up/down potential to provide regulation services to the grid and for ancillary services like

7. Implementing multiplexing of EV chargers and using a single charger for several EVs. This will drastically reduce cost of EV charging infrastructure [17][25]

The traditional approach to smart charging is to consider one or few of these applications in an optimization to reap direct or indirect economic benefits. While this method is simpler, it does not utilize the complete potential of smart charging and makes the benefits economically uninteresting. In the future, integration of several smart charging applications in a single framework will be the key. The benefits will then add up and the net gain will become economically attractive for large-scale implementation [17].

#### A. AC smart charging

In AC EV charger, the EV and charger operate in a MASTER and SLAVE manner, respectively. A PWM pulse on the control pilot (CP) can be continuously adjusted on the EV charger to control the maximum charging power. Using the PWM limit as a constraint, the EV (master) determines the actual charging current as shown in Fig. 1. It is the duty of the EV charger (Slave) to deliver the requested current. Thus, by controlling the CP, variable power, dynamic, smart charging can be implemented.

For example, a simple implementation is to measure the generation from a PV system and correspondingly adjust the CP so that the EV charging can follow the PV generation [19]. For demand side management, EV charging via the CP can be adjusted based on the loading of distribution network assets. This can help reduce the peak demand and the associated demand charges.

#### B. DC smart charging via Chademo and CCS/Combo

Chademo and CCS/Combo DC charging standards in their




Fig. 1. Dynamic charging using AC, Chademo v1.2 and CCS/COMBO




Fig. 2. (a) Experimental setup for dynamic charging and V2G using Chademo v1.2; (b) Experimental waveforms for EV current and voltage. Chademo uses CAN bus communication between charger and EV




Fig. 3. DC fast charger multiplexed to several EVs

new versions are enabling communication for smart charging and V2G. In Chademo v1.2, the EV continuously sets the maximum current limit for charging and discharging (V2G) every 200ms based on the battery management system (BMS), as seen by the green dotted lines in Fig. 1. The chademo charger can provide any current between the charging and discharging current limits. When the discharge current limit is set at zero by the EV, then V2G is not possible.

In contrast, CCS/COMBO operates in a MASTER (EV)-SLAVE (charger) fashion. ISO 15118 standard is used for higher order communication for smart charging via PLC on CP. For V2G or dynamic charging, the charger can make a request for change of charging current (from  $I_1$  to  $I_2$ ) and/or direction. EV is the MASTER and can decide the charging current based on this request. The EV charger (slave) must supply the requested charging current, shown in Fig. 1. The important factor is the time lag between the current request from the EV charger and response from the EV. If it takes time  $t_1$  for the EV to respond to the new request and if it changes the set point from  $I_1$  to  $I_2$  over the time  $t_2$ , then a buffer capacity  $E_{buff}$  is required for the period  $(t_1 + t_2)$ . This buffer capacity is not necessary with Chademo, where  $V_{batt}$  is the battery voltage:

$$E_{buff} = V_{batt} (I_1 - I_2) \left( t_1 + \frac{t_2}{2} \right) \quad (1)$$

Thus, by continuously changing the set point for current magnitude and direction in Chademo or COMBO, smart dynamic charging can be implemented.

### C. Multiplexing of EV charger to several EVs

As the penetration of EVs increase, the demand for EV chargers will rise as well. At the same time, at public charging locations or at workplaces, the EVs will not be charging for all the time they are parked. This means that EV charging infrastructure is under-utilized. To overcome this, smart charging can facilitate the multiplexing of a single charger to several EVs [26][27]. As shown in Fig. 3, DC disconnectors can be used to connect different EVs to the same EV fast charger. Based on the EV user energy demand and departure time, the EV charging can be scheduled for the multiplexed EVs [17], [25].

## IV. VEHICLE TO GRID (V2G)

Vehicle to Grid (V2G) is the technology of discharging an EV battery to provide energy to the grid [9], [18], [28]. V2X is a generic name that refers to V2G (grid), V2B (building), V2H (home) or V2L (load). V2G is a special case of smart charging




Fig. 4. (a) Charging of EV from PV using separate PV inverter and EV charger. (b) An integrated multiport power converter (MPC) that charges the EV from PV and the AC grid.




Fig. 5. (Top) Topology of power converter. (Bottom) 10kW prototype of developed converter compared to a conventional PV inverter and EV charger of 10kW

and V2G opens up a plethora of opportunities such as using the EV for storing renewable energy, participation in energy markets and providing ancillary services. Currently, EVs have only unidirectional EV chargers on board. Hence, bidirectional off-board DC chargers are being developed for implementation of V2G and both Chademo and CCS/Combo support V2G.

### A. Implementing V2G using Chademo

Chademo v1.2 uses CAN bus signaling where EV continuously sets the maximum current for charging and discharging every 200ms. Fig. 2 shows an experimental setup for implementing V2G using a Chademo compatible EV [29]. Two separate unidirectional converters are used to charge and discharge the EV battery, respectively with Chademo implemented on the charge protocol interface. The scope shows the EV battery being charged and then discharged with a current of 4A. The quick ramp up and ramp down of the EV battery at 20A/s can be observed as well. This exhibits the vast potential of EV battery to provide quick response for spinning reserve and frequency regulation application [18], [30]. With a bidirectional EV charger, even an EV at zero charging power

can provide regulation power capacity up for both up and down regulation up to the rated power of the charger.

### B. The road ahead for V2G

The challenges to the large-scale adoption of V2G are the increased battery degradation, higher cost of bidirectional EV chargers compared to unidirectional and the lack of revenue streams to encourage its usage. Chademo has proposed the use of V2G for providing backup power in case of emergencies. It is expected that with large-scale use of smart charging, V2G will play a vital role in the future.

## V. SOLAR CHARGING OF EVS

In order to ensure that the use of electric vehicles results in net zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, it is important that the charging infrastructure derives all/majority of its power from renewable energy sources. It is here that the falling costs of PV over the years and the ease of integrating into the distribution network play a key role. Workplaces like office buildings and industrial areas are ideal to facilitate solar EV charging where the rooftops and car parks can be installed with PV panels. There are several advantages of charging EVs from photovoltaic panels (PV) besides the net reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions:

1. EV and PV can be installed close to each other
2. EV battery can be used as an energy storage for the PV
3. Reduced energy demand on the grid as the EV charging power is locally generated from PV [31]
4. Reduced cost of EV charging and reduced impact of changes in PV feed-in tariffs [8]

### A. AC charging of EV from PV

The charging of EV from PV can be done by using a conventional PV inverter and an EV charger which are both connected to the AC grid, as shown in Fig. 4. However, this AC interconnection is less efficient than DC as :

1. PV and EV are fundamentally DC by nature, hence exchanging power over AC leads to additional conversion steps and losses [6], [7].
2. Two inverters would be needed, one each for the PV, EV
3. Communication will be required between the converters if the EV has to be charged based on the PV power

### B. Integrated EV-PV power converter with DC charging

To overcome the above disadvantages, a better solution is to use a single integrated multi-port converter where EV, PV, grid are connected together as shown in Fig. 4 [6], [7], [32], [26]. It has three sub-converters connected via a DC link: a DC-DC converter for PV, a DC-DC isolated converter for EV and a DC-AC inverter to connect to the AC grid. An isolated converter for EV is required due to safety and is stipulated in the EV charging standards. The PV converter has maximum power point tracking for the solar array and EV charger is controlled based on the charging current.

Fig. 5 shows such an integrated three-port converter for EV charging [33]. A high-frequency, bidirectional, isolated topology based on the flyback converter is used for the EV sub-converter. This helps in reducing the size of the converter and enables implementing V2G. An interleaved boost converter is used for the PV sub-converter [34]. Interleaving,




Fig. 6. Block diagram of an EV IPT based system highlighting the various power conversion stages.




Fig. 7. Different options for multi-coil and single-coil charge-pads. The Quadrature (Q) coil is wound such that it captures the vertical flux whilst the DR receives the horizontal flux [38]

silicon carbide devices and powdered alloy core inductors are used in the design to effectively increase the switching frequency and power density of the converter. The converter has a stable closed-loop control which is capable of executing four power flows and its combinations: PV→EV, PV→ Grid, Grid→ EV, EV→Grid. Fig. 5 shows a 10kW prototype of the EV-PV charger and its compared to a conventional 10kW PV inverter and unidirectional EV charger based on IGBT and ferrite technology. The much smaller size of the integrated converter is clearly seen while it still maintains a peak efficiency of 96.4% and much higher partial load efficiency.

## VI. CONTACTLESS CHARGING OF EV

Contactless charging of EVs using Inductive Power Transfer (IPT) is a technology that is increasingly becoming accepted as an important feature of autonomous charging of EVs. This technology uses electromagnetic energy transfer between loosely coupled charge-pads which are placed with an air-gap in between. A block diagram of such a system is shown in Fig. 6. The essential components that make up this technology are:

- Base power electronics – The AC power from the grid ( $3/\Phi$ ) is rectified and DC power is supplied to an inverter which produces the AC power for IPT.
- Charge pads – Several magnetic structures with maximization of magnetic coupling and power transfer efficiency are being investigated.
- Compensation Circuitry – Resonant capacitors for reactive compensation of the inductive leakages of both the primary and secondary are in investigation. Different combinations including series-series (SS), series-parallel (SP), parallel-series (PS), parallel-parallel (PP), LCL etc. are being investigated.
- Vehicle electronics- Here onboard rectifier and dc voltage regulation stages are present before the energy is stored in the EV battery.

IPT systems are advantageous for the comfort of charging without plugging in a cable, thereby eliminating the risk of electrocution particularly in adverse weather conditions [35]. It is also inherently safe, reliable and requires reduced maintenance. Also, developments in autonomous vehicles can be complemented by autonomous inductive charging.

The magnetics of charge-pads are typically categorized in terms of the shapes of the couplers. Several single-coil configurations including circular pads, rectangular pads, triangular pads etc. are present in literature [36], [37]. A primary requirement for EV charging is the resilience of pads to movements of pads, referred to as misalignment. Misalignment-tolerant pads led to developments in multi-coil charge-pads such as double rectangular (DR) pads, double circular (DC) pads etc. Such pads also referred to as polarized pads have currents that are fed by currents that are shifted by  $180^\circ$  [36]. This creates a horizontal flux profile unlike the




Fig. 8 Schematic representation of a bidirectional IPT system with a dual active bridge. The active rectification stage enables operation of plug-in vehicles and can be useful for V2G operation [41]




Fig. 9 Constructed laboratory scale bidirectional IPT system [42]




Fig. 10 IPT system power transferred for different coverage of IPT on the roads and range

vertical flux profile of single-coil pads. Some common charge-pad options are represented in Fig. 7 [38].

A recent standard in the making from SAE – J2594 is trying to normalize a small range of frequencies around a center frequency of 85 kHz for light EV charging. Frequency limits also exist for maximum permissible leakage fields – B and H for the safety related to EM emissions [39]. Different standardizing bodies including IEEE and ICNIRP impose these limits [39]. Controlling IPT systems is achieved by using different strategies – variable frequency, variable duty cycle control and using combinational/ dual control [40]. Variable frequency and duty cycle control can be achieved using a voltage cancellation inverter.

A bidirectional LCL IPT system is constructed in [41]. Here, magnitude cancellation technique is applied to both the inverter bridge as well as the active rectifier bridge. Fig. 8 shows the block diagram of such a system. To improve the efficiency at high switching speeds, SiC wide bandgap devices are used. SiC MOSFET (C2M0080120D) is used in the experimental analysis with coupling coefficient  $k$  and the mutual inductance  $M$  of the IPT coupler measured as 0.25 and 12.7  $\mu$ H respectively [42]. At a resonant frequency close to 110 kHz, the maximum efficiency measured is 92.6%, designed for 1kW operation. The constructed system is represented in Fig. 9 [42].

## VII. ON-ROAD CHARGING OF EV

### A. On-road charging - Powering while driving

On-road/dynamic powering of EVs is the state-of-art development in future EV charging. The limited range of EVs can be offset by transferring power to vehicles at stop lights (semi-dynamic charging) / while in motion. In such a scenario, charge-pads are repeated over large coverage of the roadways and energy is transferred for the duration for which the vehicles are above the charge-pad. Alternatively, distributed IPT systems propose having tracks on the road which are energized by an inverter and such systems have traditionally found applications in automatic guided vehicles (AGV) and material handling system based on distributed IPT systems are also present [35].

Another advantage of on-road charging is the ability to charge EVs from green energy sources in the neighborhood. Extensive research has been performed in the simulations of

highway driving cycle with IPT system with power levels varying from 10–60 kW and coverage from 10–100% [43]. A significant result obtained being that driving range can be achieved with 20kW power and 50% of road coverage or 50kW and 20% coverage, as seen in Fig. 10 [43]. In addition, for coverage greater than 50%, a very high driving range can be achieved for power greater than 20 kW [43].

### B. Green energy highway

Some recent developments in highway based IPT systems include the integration of green energy sources, with self-healing roads with IPT enabled [44]. Roadways that will carry EVs will become energy generating with several technologies such as micro-wind generators and solar roadways. This coupled with IPT for charging EVs is the vision for future highways. Also, a complementary development in this field is the development of multi-frequency IPT systems. Multi-frequency power transfer can result in multiplexing power between several harmonics thereby spreading out emissions and processing lower power per frequency bridge [45].

## VIII. CONCLUSION

Smart charging, vehicle-to-grid, solar charging of EV, contactless charging and on-road charging will be five key technologies that will enable the transition to electric mobility. These technologies will not only disrupt the transportation industry but will affect the entire energy landscape with their potential to support the grid and to increase the penetration of renewables. The right business models and standardization will play a vital role in the fast acceleration and large-scale implementation of the technologies.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge ABB, Rijswijk and Power Research Electronics, Breda. The work was partly sponsored by TKI switch2smart grids grant, Netherlands.

## REFERENCES

- [1] "Global EV Outlook 2016," *Int. Energy Agency*, p. 52, 2016.
- [2] K. Qian, C. Zhou, M. Allan, and Y. Yuan, "Modeling of Load Demand Due to EV Battery Charging in Distribution Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 802–810, May 2011.
- [3] A. Lojowska, D. Kurowicka, G. Papaefthymiou, and L. van der Sluis, "Stochastic Modeling of Power Demand Due to EVs Using Copula," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1960–1968, Nov. 2012.
- [4] "Efficiencies and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity production in the Netherlands, 2012 update," *Cent. Bur. Stat. - Netherlands*, 2014.
- [5] D. P. Birnie, "Solar-to-vehicle (S2V) systems for powering commuters of the future," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 539–542, Jan. 2009.
- [6] C. Hamilton, G. Gamboa, J. Elmes, R. Kerley, A. Arias, M. Pepper, J. Shen, and I. Batarseh, "System architecture of a modular direct-DC PV charging station for plug-in electric vehicles," in *IECON 2010 - 36th Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, 2010, pp. 2516–2520.
- [7] G. Carli and S. S. Williamson, "Technical Considerations on Power Conversion for Electric and Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle Battery Charging in Photovoltaic Installations," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 5784–5792, Dec. 2013.
- [8] G. R. C. Mouli, M. Leendertse, V. Prasanth, P. Bauer, S. Silvester, S. van de Geer, and M. Zeman, "Economic and CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Benefits of a Solar Powered Electric Vehicle Charging Station for Workplaces in the Netherlands," in *2016 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2016, pp. 1–7.
- [9] M. Yilmaz and P. T. Krein, "Review of Battery Charger Topologies, Charging Power Levels, and Infrastructure for Plug-In Electric and Hybrid Vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 2151–2169, May 2013.
- [10] SAE Standard J1772, "SAE Electric Vehicle and Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle Conductive Charge Coupler," 2010.
- [11] SAE Hybrid Committee, "SAE Charging Configurations and Ratings Terminology," 2011.
- [12] "Standard IEC 62196 - Plugs, socket-outlets, vehicle connectors and vehicle inlets - Conductive charging of electric vehicles - Part 1, 2, 3," 2014.
- [13] "Standard IEC 61851 - Electric vehicle conductive charging system - Part 1, 21, 22, 23, 24," 2014.
- [14] V. Katic, I. Subotic, N. Bodo, E. Levi, B. Dumnic, and D. Milicevic, "Overview of fast on-board integrated battery chargers for electric vehicles based on multiphase machines and power electronics," *IET Electr. Power Appl.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 217–229, 2016.
- [15] M. Yilmaz and P. T. Krein, "Review of Battery Charger Topologies, Charging Power Levels, and Infrastructure for Plug-In Electric and Hybrid Vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 2151–2169, May 2013.
- [16] CHAdeMO Association, "Technical specifications of quick charger for the electric vehicle," *CHAdeMO Protoc. Rev. 1.1*, 2010.
- [17] D. van der Meer, G. R. Chandra Mouli, G. Morales-Espana, L. Ramirez Elizondo, and P. Bauer, "Energy Management System with PV Power Forecast to Optimally Charge EVs at the Workplace," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informatics*, pp. 1–1, 2016.
- [18] W. Kempton and J. Tomić, "Vehicle-to-grid power implementation: From stabilizing the grid to supporting large-scale renewable energy," *J. Power Sources*, 2005.
- [19] B. V. E. Bakolas, P. Bauer, and D. Prins, "Testing of Smart Charging Controller for dynamic charging from solar panels," in *2014 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2014, pp. 1–4.
- [20] E. Sortomme, M. M. Hindi, S. D. J. MacPherson, and S. S. Venkata, "Coordinated charging of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles to minimize distribution system losses," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 186–193, Mar. 2011.
- [21] J. A. P. Lopes, F. J. Soares, and P. M. R. Almeida, "Integration of Electric Vehicles in the Electric Power System," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 1, pp. 168–183, Jan. 2011.
- [22] V. V. Vijay Vasan Ashok, G. R. Chandra Mouli, J. van der Burgt, S. P. Vera, M. Huibers, L. Ramirez Elizondo, and P. Bauer, "Using dedicated EV charging areas to resolve grid violations caused by renewable energy generation," in *2016 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [23] M. Kefayati and C. Caramanis, "Efficient Energy Delivery Management for PHEVs," in *2010 First IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, 2010, pp. 525–530.
- [24] G. R. Chandra Mouli, P. Bauer, T. Wijekoon, A. Panosyan, and E.-M. Barthlein, "Design of a Power-Electronic-Assisted OLTC for Grid Voltage Regulation," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 1086–1095, Jun. 2015.
- [25] H. Zhang, Z. Hu, Z. Xu, and Y. Song, "Optimal Planning of PEV Charging Station With Single Output Multiple Cables Charging Spots," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, pp. 1–10, 2016.
- [26] G. R. Chandra Mouli, P. Bauer, and M. Zeman, "Comparison of system architecture and converter topology for a solar powered electric vehicle charging station," in *2015 9th International Conference on Power Electronics and ECCE Asia (ICPE-ECCE Asia)*, 2015, pp. 1908–1915.
- [27] C. Chung, P. Chu, and R. Gadh, "Design of smart charging infrastructure hardware and firmware design of the various current multiplexing charging system," *Seventh Glob. Conf.*, 2013.
- [28] D. P. Tuttle and R. Baldick, "The Evolution of Plug-In Electric Vehicle-Grid Interactions," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 500–505, Mar. 2012.
- [29] G. R. C. Mouli, J. Kaptein, P. Bauer, and M. Zeman, "Implementation of dynamic charging and V2G using Chademo and CCS/Combo DC charging standard," in *2016 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [30] H. Lund and W. Kempton, "Integration of renewable energy into the transport and electricity sectors through V2G," *Energy Policy*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 3578–3587, 2008.

- [31] G. R. Chandra Mouli, P. Bauer, and M. Zeman, "System design for a solar powered electric vehicle charging station for workplaces," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 168, pp. 434–443, Apr. 2016.
- [32] P. Goli and W. Shireen, "PV Integrated Smart Charging of PHEVs Based on DC Link Voltage Sensing," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1421–1428, May 2014.
- [33] "V2G500V30A - 10kW Bidirectional Solar EV Charger Module," *Power Res. Electron. B.V., Delft Univ. Technol.*
- [34] G. R. Chandra Mouli, J. Schijffelen, P. Bauer, and M. Zeman, "Design and Comparison of a 10kW Interleaved Boost Converter for PV Application Using Si and SiC Devices," *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.*, pp. 1–1, 2016.
- [35] V. Prasanth and P. Bauer, "Distributed IPT Systems for Dynamic Powering: Misalignment Analysis," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 6013–6021, Nov. 2014.
- [36] G. A. Covic and J. T. Boys, "Inductive Power Transfer," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 1276–1289, Jun. 2013.
- [37] V. Prasanth, P. Bauer, and J. A. Ferreira, "A sectional matrix method for IPT coil shape optimization," in *2015 9th International Conference on Power Electronics and ECCE Asia (ICPE-ECCE Asia)*, 2015, pp. 1684–1691.
- [38] V. Prasanth, S. Bandyopadhyay, P. Bauer, and J. A. Ferreira, "Analysis and comparison of multi-coil inductive power transfer systems," in *2016 IEEE International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference (PEMC)*, 2016, pp. 993–999.
- [39] M. Budhia, G. A. Covic, and J. T. Boys, "Design and Optimization of Circular Magnetic Structures for Lumped Inductive Power Transfer Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 3096–3108, Nov. 2011.
- [40] R. Bosshard, U. Badstubner, J. W. Kolar, and I. Stevanovic, "Comparative evaluation of control methods for Inductive Power Transfer," in *2012 International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Applications (ICRERA)*, 2012, pp. 1–6.
- [41] D. Voglitsis, V. Prasanth, T. Todorcevic, and P. Bauer, "Theoretical analysis and experimental investigation of high frequency bidirectional CPT system," in *2014 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2014, pp. 1–8.
- [42] D. Voglitsis, T. Todorcevic, V. Prasanth, and P. Bauer, "Loss model and control stability of bidirectional LCL-IPT system," in *2014 4th International Electric Drives Production Conference (EDPC)*, 2014, pp. 1–8.
- [43] T.-E. E. Stamati and P. Bauer, "On-road charging of electric vehicles," in *2013 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2013, pp. 1–8.
- [44] V. Prasanth, N. Scheele, E. Visser, A. Shekhar, G. R. C. Mouli, P. Bauer, and S. Silvestser, "Green energy based inductive Self-Healing highways of the future," in *2016 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2016, pp. 1–8.
- [45] J. R. E. G. Prazeres, V. Prasanth, and P. Bauer, "Multi-coil multi-frequency inductive power transfer," in *2015 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*, 2015, pp. 1–8.