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ABSTRACT
Software testing is a critical activity in the software development life cycle for quality assurance. Automated Test Case Generation (TCG) can assist developers by speeding up this process. It accomplishes this by evolving an initial set of randomly generated test cases over time to optimize for predefined coverage criteria. One of the key challenges for automated TCG approaches is navigating the large input space. Existing state-of-the-art TCG algorithms struggle with generating highly-structured input data and preserving patterns in test structures, among others. I hypothesize that combining multiple tribes of AI can improve the effectiveness and efficiency of automated TCG. To test this hypothesis, I propose using grammar-based fuzzing and machine learning to augment evolutionary algorithms for generating more structured input data and preserving promising patterns within test cases. Additionally, I propose to use behavioral modeling and interprocedural control dependency analysis to improve test effectiveness. Finally, I propose integrating these novel approaches into a testing framework to promote the adoption of automated TCG in industry.
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1 RESEARCH PROBLEM AND HYPOTHESIS
Software testing is an important part of quality assurance. Manually writing test cases is a tedious and error-prone task that can take up to 50% of developers’ time [9]. Over the last decades, researchers have developed techniques for automating the process of generating these test cases [28]. Automated test case generation (TCG) significantly reduces the time needed for testing and debugging software [43]. Additionally, recent studies have shown that search-based approaches can achieve higher code coverage compared to manually written test cases [30, 36] and can identify unknown bugs [1, 3, 21]. Moreover, automated test case generation tools have been successfully used in industry (e.g., [4, 8, 27]).

Although automated TCG is becoming more common in large software companies, the widespread adoption of these techniques is lacking behind [10]. One of the key challenges in automated TCG is the size of the input space [13]. With the ever-increasing complexity of modern applications, generating test cases, which consist of input data, test structures, and assertions [46], that satisfy difficult constraints becomes harder each day. Moreover, studies have identified that to make these techniques practical for industry use, automated TCG should not only focus on test coverage but also on the quality of the test cases [20, 34]. An additional factor for the lack of adoption of TCG techniques in industry is the shortage of easy-to-use production-level tooling [14]. The goal of my dissertation is to improve automated test case generation to increase the adoption by developers of these techniques.

The current state-of-the-art TCG approaches use evolutionary algorithms (EAs) that evolve an initial set of randomly generated test cases over time to optimize a fitness function. One of the reasons why EAs are so effective might be because they mimic the process that developers use to create test cases. Developers copy, paste, and then either modify the values of a method call or replace it entirely [5]. Although these EAs could, in theory, generate any possible input data given enough time, this would, however, be inefficient for complex data [2, 17].

I hypothesize that by combining multiple tribes of artificial intelligence (AI) to narrow down the search space, we can improve the effectiveness and efficiency of automated test case generation. In my dissertation, I will test this hypothesis by focusing on the following research areas:

1) Generating Test Cases with Highly-structured Input Data (Section 2.1): Certain types of applications require highly-structured input data (e.g., parsers). However, automated TCG has limitations on creating such data. Previous studies have shown that automatically generated input is usually unstructured and can be difficult to read and interpret [2, 17]. By combining EAs with grammar-based fuzzing, I plan to use the information from the grammar to limit the number of possible actions that can be performed on a subject under test (SUT) and improve the quality of the test data. Here, the aim is not to focus on how much of the grammar is covered but to use the grammar as guidance for the EAs.

2) Preserving Method Sequence Patterns in System-level TCG (Section 2.2): One of the limitations of the current related work is that while the state-of-the-art TCG approaches can successfully create promising sequences of methods, they do not directly recognize and preserve them when creating...
new test cases [50]. I argue that detecting and preserving promising patterns of method sequences improves the effectiveness and efficiency of the TCG process. Combining EAs with machine learning allows gaining more insight into the structure and relationship between the actions within the test cases. These insights can then be used to reduce the input space by prioritizing actions that match these patterns.

(3) Creating a Hybrid Crossover Operator for Both Input-data and Method Sequences (Section 2.3): The encoding of a test case consists of both test data and method sequences [46]. Current state-of-the-art EAs for TCG use a crossover operator (i.e., single-point) that swaps a group of method sequences between two test cases [12, 35]. These approaches, however, simply copy over the corresponding input data. I argue that a hybrid crossover operator that alters both the structure of the test cases as well as the input data can improve the test case diversity.

(4) Generating Complex Objects during TCG from Behavioral Models (Section 2.4): One of the challenges of TCG approaches is the generation of complex objects with logical (i.e., not random) sequences of method calls. By combining automated TCG with model inference techniques, I can build a model based on behavioural patterns. This model can then be used to seed the EA. Here, the aim is not to focus on all possible actions that can be performed on the subject but on the actions that are on the critical path.

(5) Improving Search Guidance for Explicit Contracts and Declarative Input Validation Rules (Section 2.5): Most software makes use of conditional checks to make sure that the input to a method is valid or preconditions have been met (e.g., @NotNull in Java). However, since these language constructs often are not treated as first-class citizens during testing, they cause a partial flat landscape preventing the fitness functions from effectively guiding the EA. I plan to improve the search guidance for these design by contract constructs by restoring the fitness gradient.

(6) Improving the Effectiveness of Regression testing (Section 2.6): One use case of automated TCG is for regression testing, where the generated tests are utilized to ensure that code changes do not impact the functionality of the application. However, running the entire test suite quickly becomes unfeasible for very large systems. State-of-the-art approaches use test case selection (TCS) to select a subset of the test suite to run. I plan to optimize these approaches for this use case to make them more effective.

(7) Improving the tooling (Section 2.7): One of the reasons for the lack of adoption of TCG techniques in industry is the absence of production-level tooling [14]. I plan to create a new testing framework that will integrate the different research focus areas. Additionally, I aim to make the framework modular so that it eases the adoption of different languages.

2 CONTRIBUTIONS AND RESULT SO FAR

This section describes each contribution in the context of the related work, how I plan to evaluate that contribution, and presents the results I have obtained so far.

2.1 Generating Test Cases with Highly-structured Input Data

Automated test case generation has limitations on creating highly-structured input data. Previous studies have shown that automatically generated input is usually unstructured and can be difficult to read and interpret [2, 17]. Grammar-based fuzzing, on the other hand, is very effective in generating highly-structured input data based on a user-specified grammar [22, 51]. For this reason, fuzzing has been widely used for security and system testing [11, 23]. When applied to data formats, fuzzers can generate and manipulate well-formed input data using grammar derivative rules. However, since grammar-based fuzzing only generates input data, developers need to specify the entry points (for system testing), manually write the method calls, and come up with their own assertions.

To address these limitations, I proposed a novel approach [33] that combines the strength of grammar-based fuzzing and EAs with a focus on the JSON data format. At the initialization phase, I make use of grammar-based fuzzing to inject structured JSON input data with some probability. This allows the EA to discover if the SUT can make use of this structured data. Then, the EA creates and evolves the test case structures (i.e., the sequence of method challenges) using both randomly generated and injected data. The injected input data are evolved separately using grammar-based fuzzing, which mutates the input using grammar derivative rules.

I performed an empirical study [33] to assess the efficacy and feasibility of this approach, which I implemented in EvoSuite [19], a state-of-the-art TCG tool for Java. This study was conducted on 20 classes from the three most popular Java JSON parsers, namely the GSON, fastjson, and org.json. To assess whether the proposed approach negatively impacts the performance of classes that don’t make use of highly-structured input, I evaluated both JSON and non-JSON related classes. Since the approach makes use of randomized algorithms, I repeat the experiment multiple times and make use of statistical analysis. Specifically, I use the unpaired Wilcoxon rank-sum test [16] for the statistical significance and the Vargha-Delaney statistic [47] for the effect size. In the study, I answer the following research questions:

RQ1.1 To what extent does grammar-based fuzzing improve the effectiveness of test case generation in EvoSuite?

On average, the proposed approach achieves +15 % of branch coverage compared to the baseline (EvoSuite without fuzzing). The largest improvement that was observed in the study was +50 % of branch coverage for one of the classes in the benchmark.

RQ1.2 What is the effectiveness of combining grammar-based fuzzing and search-based testing over different search budgets?

When comparing the performance of the proposed approach to the baseline over time, the study showed that the delta difference does not substantially decrease and in most cases even increases with a larger search budget. The study also showed that injecting JSON strings in the initial population is not sufficient by itself to reach a higher coverage.

Combining EAs with grammar-based fuzzing leads to higher code coverage for classes that parse and manipulate JSON without decreasing code coverage for non-JSON related classes.
2.2 Preserving Method Sequence Patterns in 
System-level TCG

EvoMaster is the state-of-the-art test case generation tool for Java 
REST API testing. A test case, in this context, is a sequence of API 
requests (i.e., HTTP requests) on specific resources [6, 7]. REPresenta-
tional State Transfer (REST) APIs deal with states. Each individual 
request changes the state of the API, and therefore, its execution 
result depends on the state of the application (i.e., the previously 
executed requests). This creates patterns of HTTP requests that 
don’t depend on each other. While the state-of-the-art algorithms can 
successfully create promising sequences of HTTP requests, they do 
not directly recognize and preserve them when creating new test 
cases [50]. I argue that detecting and preserving patterns of HTTP 
requests, hereafter referred to as linkage structures, improves the 
effectiveness of the test case generation process. 

I proposed a novel approach [44], named LT-MOSA that uses 
Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering (AHC) to infer these linkage 
structures from automatically generated test cases in the context of 
REST API testing. Specifically, AHC generates a Linkage Tree (LT) 
model from the test cases that are the closest to reach uncovered 
test targets (i.e., lines and branches). This model is used by the 
 genetic operators to determine which sequences of HTTP requests 
should not be broken up and should be replicated in new tests. 

To evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of this approach, I 
implemented this approach within EvoMaster. I performed an 
empirical study [44] with 7 real-world benchmark web/enterprise 
applications from the EvoMaster Benchmark (EMB) dataset. The 
study compares the proposed approach against the two state-of-
the-art algorithms for system-level test generations implemented 
in EvoMaster, namely Many Independent Objective (MIO) and 
Many Objective Search Algorithm (MOSA). In the study, I answer 
the following research questions:

RQ2.1 How does LT-MOSA perform compared to the state-of-the-art 
approaches with regard to code coverage?

The results show that LT-MOSA covers significantly more test 
targets (i.e., lines and branches) in 4 and 5 out of the 7 applications 
compared to MIO and MOSA, respectively. On average, the 
approach covered 11.7% more test targets than MIO (with a max 
 improvement of 66.5%) and 8.5% more than MOSA (with a max 
 improvement of 37.5%).

RQ2.2 How effective is LT-MOSA compared to the state-of-the-art 
approaches in detecting real-faults?

LT-MOSA can detect, on average, 27 and 18 unique real-faults 
that were not detected by MIO and MOSA, respectively.

RQ2.3 How effective is LT-MOSA at covering test targets over time 
compared to the state-of-the-art approaches?

LT-MOSA achieves higher AUC values than the baselines. Mean-
ing, it covers more targets in less time. For the largest application 
(OCVN), LT-MOSA takes half of the time to reach the same coverage 
compared to MOSA, while MIO never reaches this coverage. 

Inferring and preserving linkage structures in REST APIs achieves 
significantly higher code coverage and fault-detection capability 
compared to the state-of-the-art approaches (i.e., MIO and MOSA).

2.3 Creating a Hybrid Variational Operator for 
Both Input-data and Method Sequences

Over the years, related work has used three types of encoding 
schemata to represent test cases for search algorithms, namely data-
level, test case-level, and test suite-level. These schemata typically 
implement genetic operators at the same level as the encoding. For 
example, the crossover operator at the data-level exchanges data 
between two input vectors [29]. The test case-level crossover ex-
changes statements between two parent test cases [46]. Lastly, 
the test suite-level crossover swaps test cases within two test suites [19].

Recent studies have shown that the test case-level schema com-
bined with many-objective search is the most effective at generating 
test cases with high coverage [12, 35]. The current many-objective 
approaches use the single-point crossover to recombine groups of 
statements within test cases. Test cases consist of both test struc-
tures (method sequences) and test data [46]. Hence, the crossover 
operator only changes the test structure and simply copies over the 
corresponding input data. Therefore, input data has to be altered 
by the mutation operator, usually with a small probability. 

I argue that better test case diversity can be obtained by de-
signing a crossover operator that alters both the structure of the 
test cases as well as the input data by creating new data that is in 
the neighborhood of the parents’ data. To validate this hypothe-
sis, I propose a new operator, called Hybrid Multi-level Crossover 
(HMX) [31], that combines different crossover operators on multiple 
levels. I implemented this hybrid operator within EvoSuite [19].

To evaluate the effectiveness of HMX, I performed an empirical 
study [31] where I compare it with the single-point crossover used 
in EvoSuite, w.r.t. structural coverage and fault detection capability. 
To this aim, I have built a benchmark with 116 classes from the 
Apache Commons and Lucene Stemmer projects, which include 
classes for numerical operations and string manipulation. In the 
study, I answer the following research questions:

RQ3.1 To what extent does HMX improve structural coverage com-
pared to the single-point crossover?

The study shows that HMX achieves higher structural cover-
age for ~30% of the classes in the benchmark. On average, HMX, 
covered 6.4% and 7.2% more branches and lines than the baseline, 
respectively (with a max improvement of 19.1% and 19.4%).

RQ3.2 How does HMX impact the fault-detection capability of the 
generated tests?

HMX improved the fault detection capability in ~25% of the 
classes with an average improvement of 3.9% (max. 14%) and 2.1% 
(max. 12.1%) for weak and strong mutation, respectively.

HMX significantly improves the structural coverage and fault 
detection capability of the generated test cases compared to the 
standard crossover operator used in EvoSuite (i.e., single-point).

2.4 Generating Complex Objects during TCG 
from Behavioural Models

Seeding consists of injecting additional information (e.g., manually-
written test suites) for use in the search process to make it more
effective [39]. A recent study proposed a method to infer a behavioral model from the usage patterns of applications in the context of crash reproduction [18]. I plan to build a model based on behavioral patterns that can be used to seed the search process. I will evaluate my proposed approach using the following research question:

RQ4.1 To what extent does the complex objection generation improve the effectiveness of TCG?

2.5 Improving Search Guidance for Explicit Contracts and Declarative Input Validation Rules

Popular Java frameworks make use of annotations (e.g., @NotNull) to check for the validity of input parameters [26]. In Solidity, a require function exists that is used for authority and validity checks to protect smart contracts against invalid requests [24]. These constructs halt the execution of a method or program when their conditions are not met. Since these constructs are not part of the control flow of the method they are applied to, the EA has no guidance on how to satisfy the condition within them. This creates partially flat fitness landscapes, forcing the EA to resort back to random testing. I plan to restore the fitness gradient in the landscape by using interprocedural dependency analysis to determine how these constructs influence the execution of the method under test at runtime and provide this information to the EA. I will evaluate my proposed approach using the following research question:

RQ5.1 To what extend does interprocedural control dependency analysis improve the effectiveness of TCG for design by contract constructs?

2.6 Improving the Effectiveness of Regression testing

Regression testing aims to assess that changes to the production code do not affect the behavior of unchanged parts [55]. Running the entire test suite within a DevOps pipeline quickly becomes unfeasible for very large systems [48]. Various techniques were developed to reduce the cost of regression testing [15, 40, 41]. Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithms (MOEAs), and NSGA-II in particular, have been successfully used in the literature to produce Pareto efficient subsets of the test suites w.r.t. different testing criteria [52, 53, 55]. Test case selection is inherently a multi-objective problem since developers want to maximize the coverage of the selected subset but minimize the cost of running it. MOEAs that rely on Pareto ranking and problem decompositions have been shown to achieve good performance also compared to greedy algorithms and local solvers [53]. One limitation for classic MOEAs (including NSGA-II) is that new solutions are generated using fully randomized recombination (crossover) operators [45, 49]. This could destroy potential promising patterns that can be created by MOEAs. While linkage learning has been shown to be effective for single-objective numerical problems [38, 45, 49], we argue that it can also have huge potential for multi-objective test case selection.

To address these limitations, I proposed a novel approach [32], named L2-NSGA, a variant of NSGA-II that integrates key elements of linkage learning for the test case selection problem. In particular, L2-NSGA uses Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering (AHC) to identify linkage structures in the non-dominated solutions produced by NSGA-II in every other generation. Then, L2-NSGA uses a novel crossover operator that stochastically selects and replicates some of the inferred structures into new individuals.

I performed an empirical study [32] on four software systems with multiple versions and regression faults. The study compares the quality and fault detection capability of the solutions produced by L2-NSGA against NSGA-II, which is the most widely-employed MOEA in the regression testing literature (e.g., [37, 42, 52, 54]). In the study, I answer the following research questions:

RQ6.1 To what extent does L2-NSGA produce better Pareto efficient solutions compared to NSGA-II?

The study shows that the sub-test suites produced by L2-NSGA achieve higher coverage while incurring lower execution costs than the baseline, as measured by +23 % increase in hypervolume for bash v3.

RQ6.2 What is the cost-effectiveness of the solution produced by L2-NSGA vs. NSGA-II?

The solutions created by L2-NSGA detect more regression faults than the solutions produced by NSGA-II, as measured by +18 % increase in fault-detection for bash v3.

L2-NSGA produces better trade-offs between cost and coverage than its predecessor NSGA-II (the baseline), which is widely used in the literature.

2.7 Improving the tooling

Two existing state-of-the-art TCG tools for Java are EvoSuite [19] (unit-level) and EvoMASTER [6] (system-level). Recently, a TCG tool was published for Python [25]. One of the limiting factors for the lack of adoption of TCG techniques in industry is the absence of production-level tooling [14]. I plan to create a new testing framework for Javascript that will integrate the different research focus areas. I will evaluate my proposed framework using the following research questions:

RQ7.1 How effective is the proposed testing framework w.r.t. code coverage?

RQ7.2 What is the fault-detection capability of the proposed testing framework?

3 TIMELINE

I am currently halfway through my 4 year Ph.D. program. In my third year, I plan to work on my research focus areas 4 and 5. Tooling is an ongoing project that I plan to complete in my fourth year.

REFERENCES


More Effective Test Case Generation with Multiple Tribes of AI


