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ABSTRACT

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in high-stakes domains such as healthcare, wildlife preservation, autonomous driving and criminal justice system calls for a data-centric approach to AI. Data scientists spend the majority of their time studying and wrangling the data, yet tools to aid them with data analysis are lacking. This study identifies the recurrent data quality issues in public datasets. Analogous to code smells, we introduce a novel catalogue of data smells that can be used to indicate early signs of problems or technical debt in machine learning systems. To understand the prevalence of data quality issues in datasets, we analyse 25 public datasets and identify 14 data smells.

ACM Reference Format:

1 INTRODUCTION

Data analysis is a critical and dominant stage of the machine learning lifecycle. Once the data is collected, most of the work goes into studying and wrangling the data to make it fit for training. A highly experimental phase follows where a model is selected and tuned for optimal performance. The final model is then productionised and monitored constantly to detect data drifts and drop in performance [8, 23, 43, 46].

When compared to traditional software, the feedback loop of a machine learning system is longer. While traditional software primarily experiences change in code, a machine learning system matures through changes in data, model & code [43]. Given the highly tangled nature of machine learning systems, a change in any of the stages of the lifecycle triggers a ripple effect throughout the entire pipeline [46]. Testing such changes also becomes challenging since all three components need to be tested. Besides the traditional test suites, a full training-testing cycle is required which incurs time, resource and financial costs. The surrounding infrastructure of a machine learning pipeline becomes increasingly complex as we move towards a productionised model. Thus catching potential problems in the early, upstream phase of data analysis becomes extremely valuable as fixes are faster, easier and cheaper to implement.

AI has had a significant impact on the technology sector due to the presence of large quantities of unbiased data [38]. But AI’s true potential lies in its application in critical sectors such as healthcare, wildlife preservation, autonomous driving, and criminal justice system [12]. Such high-risk domains almost never have an existing dataset and require practitioners to collect data. Once the data is collected, it is often small and highly biased. While AI research is primarily dominated by model advancements, this new breed of high-stakes AI supports the need for a more data-centric approach to AI [29, 42, 54].

Since the study of software systems with machine learning components is a fairly young discipline, resources are lacking to aid practitioners in their day-to-day activities. The highly data-driven nature of machine learning makes data equivalent to code in traditional software. The notion of code smells is critical in software engineering to identify early indications of potential bugs, sources of technical debt and weak design choices. Code smells have existed for over 30 years. A large body of scientific work has catalogued the different smells, the context in which they occur and their potential side-effects. To the best of our knowledge, such a catalogue however does not exist for data science.

The research questions along with the contributions of this paper are listed below.

• RQ1. What are the recurrent data quality issues that appear in public datasets?

Analogous to code smells, we introduce the notion of data smells. Data smells are anti-patterns in datasets that indicate early signs of problems or technical debt.

• RQ2. What is the prevalence of such data quality issues in public datasets?

We create a catalogue of 14 data smells by analysing 25 popular public datasets1. The catalogue also presents real-world examples of the smells along with refactoring suggestions to circumvent the problem. Additionally, we plan to publish the catalogue online under the creative commons license in hopes that students and practitioners find it valuable.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of related concepts and prior work that has been done. The methodology followed by this paper is presented in Section 3 followed by the results in Section 4. The paper concludes with a discussion of the results, limitations and future work in Section 5, 6 and 7 respectively.

2 RELATED WORK

This section provides an overview of relevant prior work in code smells, data validation and AI engineering.

1Our analysis of the datasets can be found on Figshare
https://figshare.com/s/fd608796dd65f0808e7e
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3.2 Smell detection phase

This study uses Kaggle—an online data repository—to discover datasets for the analysis. All public datasets available on Kaggle are sorted by the Most Votes criteria and 25 datasets are shortlisted based on the inclusion criterion presented in Table 2. The sample of datasets only includes CSV files of size smaller than 1GB to facilitate the analysis on a personal laptop. Analysis of unstructured datasets such as text corpus, images, videos and audio is excluded as this calls for specialised tools, additional time and effort which was deemed beyond the scope of this study. Structured datasets on the other hand are more commonly occurring. Practitioners and academics frequently work with structured datasets that are often used for educational purposes. Therefore, we invested our efforts in analysing structured datasets to make our work relevant to a larger demographic. The 25 datasets selected for this study are listed in Table 1. The table also includes additional metadata such as the size, number of rows and columns, number of votes and the latest version at the time of analysis.
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Table 1: Selected Datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Rows</th>
<th>Columns</th>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abalone</td>
<td>Predicting age of abalone from physical measurements</td>
<td>188K</td>
<td>4177</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adult</td>
<td>Predicting whether income exceeds $50K/yr based on census data</td>
<td>3.8M</td>
<td>32561</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>airbnb</td>
<td>Airbnb listings and metrics in NYC, NY, USA</td>
<td>6.8M</td>
<td>48895</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2502</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avocado</td>
<td>Historical data on avocado prices and sales volume in Multiple US markets</td>
<td>1.9M</td>
<td>18249</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bitcoin</td>
<td>Bitcoin data at 1-min intervals from select exchanges, Jan 2012 to March 2021</td>
<td>303M</td>
<td>4857377</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2876</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breast-cancer</td>
<td>Predict whether the cancer is benign or malignant</td>
<td>123K</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2537</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comic-dc</td>
<td>FiveThirtyEight DC comic characters</td>
<td>1.1M</td>
<td>6896</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2465</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comic-marvel</td>
<td>FiveThirtyEight Marvel comic characters</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>16376</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2465</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>covid-vaccine</td>
<td>Daily and total vaccination for COVID-19</td>
<td>11M</td>
<td>53595</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>covid-vaccine-manufacturer</td>
<td>Vaccinations for COVID-19 by manufacturer</td>
<td>793K</td>
<td>19168</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>earthquake</td>
<td>Date, time and location of all earthquakes with magnitude of 5.5 or higher</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>23412</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fraud</td>
<td>Anonymised credit card transactions labeled as fraudulent or genuine</td>
<td>144M</td>
<td>284807</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8775</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>happiness</td>
<td>Happiness scored according to economic production, social support, etc</td>
<td>8.7K</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3401</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart</td>
<td>UCI heart disease dataset</td>
<td>12K</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5601</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insurance</td>
<td>Insurance forecast by using linear regression</td>
<td>55K</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1621</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iris</td>
<td>Classify iris plants into three species</td>
<td>4.5K</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2779</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netflix</td>
<td>Listings of movies and tv shows on Netflix</td>
<td>3.3M</td>
<td>8807</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6155</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>permit</td>
<td>San Francisco building permits</td>
<td>76M</td>
<td>198900</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>playstore</td>
<td>Google play store apps data</td>
<td>1.3M</td>
<td>10841</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student</td>
<td>Marks secured by students in various subjects</td>
<td>71K</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suicide</td>
<td>Suicide rates overview 1985 to 2016</td>
<td>2.6M</td>
<td>27820</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2766</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>telco</td>
<td>Telco customer churn</td>
<td>955K</td>
<td>7043</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vsales</td>
<td>Video game sales</td>
<td>1.3M</td>
<td>16598</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4248</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wine</td>
<td>Red wine quality</td>
<td>11K</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>youtube</td>
<td>Trending YouTube video statistics</td>
<td>60M</td>
<td>40949</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4381</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Inclusion criterion for datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC1</td>
<td>Dataset is of CSV format.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC2</td>
<td>Dataset is smaller than 1GB in size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC3</td>
<td>Dataset contains structured data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC4</td>
<td>Dataset primarily contains numerical and categorical features.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We used the Python programming language \(^3\) along with the data analysis package Pandas \(^4\) to perform the analysis. The smells are identified using a two pass technique which is manually conducted by the first author. The first pass focuses on identifying characteristics of datasets that are indicative of a smell. Since the catalogue of smells evolved as more datasets were analysed during the first pass, a second pass is used to validate the original smells observed in the datasets. The second pass also helps to identify newer smells which were missed in the older datasets during the first pass.

The first pass conducts a preliminary analysis of the datasets listed below. This is a standard list of checks performed by data scientists during data understanding under the CRISP-DM model of data mining \([25, 34, 41, 45]\).

1. Reading the accompanying data documentation when available.

\(^3\)https://www.python.org
\(^4\)https://pandas.pydata.org

Authorized licensed use limited to: TU Delft Library. Downloaded on July 26,2022 at 12:24:51 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.
Table 3: Exclusion criterion for smells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Exclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC1</td>
<td>Smell is not generalisable to structured datasets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>Smell is not generalisable to other programming languages and tools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Analysing their head and tail—both in its entirety and on a feature-by-feature basis.
(3) Observing the column headers and datatypes for relevant meta data such as the expected schema of the dataset.
(4) Analysing the descriptive statistics of the dataset.
(5) Checking for missing values and duplicate rows.
(6) And finally checking correlations amongst features.

We did not analyse the distribution of the features and their relationship with one another (besides checking for correlation). This is because the insights gained from distributional and relational analysis of a particular dataset are not generalisable to other datasets and domains. This is touched upon in more detail in Section 6.

3.3 Catalogue creation phase

We further prune the list of smells using the exclusion criterion listed in Table 3 and additional validation from the second author. Smells which cannot be generalised to other structured datasets are removed. Similarly, smells which are relevant only when using a specific programming language and tools (such as Python and Pandas) but not applicable when using a different toolset (such as Matlab⁷, R⁶ or Julia⁴) are excluded.

4 RESULTS

This section presents the results obtained from the analysis of public datasets. The most recurrent data quality issues are presented first. A catalogue of data smells showing the prevalence of such data quality issues is presented next (See RQ1 and RQ2 in Section 1). This study analysed 25 public datasets from which 14 data smells were discovered. We group the smells into 4 distinct categories based on their similarity as listed below.

(1) **Redundant value smells** or smells which occur due to presence of features that do not contribute any new information.
(2) **Categorical value smells** or smells which occur due to presence of features containing categorical data.
(3) **Missing value smells** or smells which occur due to absence of values in a dataset.
(4) **String value smells** or smells which occur due to presence of features containing string type data.

Additionally, three more smells were found which could not be grouped into the above categories and are put under the Miscellaneous category.

Table 4 presents an overview of all data smells along with their distribution. The remainder of this report frequently refers to these smells by their unique key which is also provided here. The redundant and categorical value smells are the most common categories with a total occurrence of 33 and 17 respectively. The missing & string value smells are the least common categories with a total occurrence of 13 and 12 respectively. red-corr is the most common smell, observed in 19 of the 25 datasets. The remaining top five smells include cat-hierarchy, miss-null, red-aid and misc-unit which are observed in more than 10 datasets. The least frequently observed smells include misc-balance, str-human, misc-sensitive, miss-sp-val and miss-bin which are observed in less than 5 datasets.

Finally we also analyse the distribution of smells within the datasets. Figure 2 shows a two dimensional histogram of the smells and datasets such that the intersection of datasets where a particular smell occurred is filled. The histogram is colour-coded based on the smell category which allows us to observe the most common smell categories at a glance. The figure also contains two marginal plots across the x and y axes. The marginal plot along the x axis presents a count of smells within each dataset such that we can identify the datasets with the most and least number of smells. Similarly, the marginal plot along the y axis presents a count of smells across all datasets such that we can identify the most and least frequently occurring smells.

The remainder of this section presents the smell groups and their corresponding smells in more detail. We present examples of the smells discovered along with an explanation of the underlying problems that may arise. Where applicable, potential strategies to mitigate the problem, context in which the smells may not apply and references from literature (both scientific and grey) are also presented.

---

⁴https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html
⁵https://www.r-project.org/
⁶https://julialang.org/
This study identified 19 datasets which do not affect the model's performance. The benefits of a small dataset are appreciated especially during their model development phase where many experiments along with them again from the duplicate example(s).

Figure 2: Joint distribution of smells and datasets

4.1 Redundant Value Smells

This section presents smells that indicate presence of redundant information in datasets. This was the most prominent group of smells as 33 occurrences were observed in this study.

4.1.1 Correlated features (red-corr). This study identified 19 datasets that contained correlated features.

Checking for correlation amongst features is a common practise in data science. The correlation between two numerical features is a representation of the linear relationship between them. From a machine learning perspective, presence of uncorrelated features indicates that the features impart new information. But the presence of correlated features is a smell for redundant information.

Datasets come in all shapes and sizes, often containing rows or columns which do not offer new or valuable information. The model training stage usually helps identify features which do not need to be included in the training data, allowing us to engineer a more efficient dataset. Engineering efficient datasets is important since the machine learning lifecycle consists of several deeply coupled stages. Naturally, any form of optimisation—no matter how small—propagates through the downstream stages. A small dataset is easier to understand, faster to train a model on and takes up less storage. The benefits of a small dataset are appreciated especially during the model development phase where many experiments along with their accompanying dataset, model and code are versioned and stored [5, 43].

Presence of correlated features gives practitioners the opportunity to perform feature selection and drop redundant features which do not affect the model’s performance.

4.1.2 Unique identifiers (red-uid). This study identified 11 datasets that contained columns containing a unique identifier (uid) for each example in the dataset. For example, the youtube, earthquake, netflix, telco and avocado datasets all contain a column carrying a uid for the examples.

Relational databases are the most popular type of databases being used today. Such databases have a column containing a unique identifier (uid) commonly referred to as the primary key. Machine learning pipelines are often automated and perform end-to-end operations, starting with data consumption from large data warehouses and data lakes, all the way to publishing a trained machine learning model in production. Although uids are useful when performing merge or join operations on two or more database tables, they become redundant when training machine learning models. Their presence in a dataset is a smell for potential problems in downstream stages.

A machine learning model may learn some hidden relationship between the uids and the target values that produces a high accuracy during training. Such an insight however prevents the model from learning relationships and trends that are generalisable to unseen data and limits its ability to provide meaningful predictions. Furthermore, uids may also prevent the detection of duplicate examples in a dataset. This is another smell that was discovered in this study and discussed further in Section 4.1.3 below.

Although features containing uids in general should not be included in the training set, they can sometimes provide valuable insights during the data analysis stage. The airbnb dataset contains the host_id and id features containing uids for the hosts and the properties respectively. One may regard them as redundant feature and drop them. However further analysis of the columns may lead to interesting insights. For instance, the host_id column contains duplicate entries which presents the insight that hosts may own multiple properties. This can further be engineered into a new feature which may help during training. Analysing the columns together can help detect truly duplicate examples (rows with the same property and host id) and outliers (rows with the same property id but different host ids).

4.1.3 Duplicate examples (red-dup). This study identified three datasets, namely heart, insurance and iris, that contained duplicate rows. We ignore timeliness data where an event can occur several times resulting in duplicate rows.

Duplicate examples in a dataset are defined as two or more rows which refer to the same entity. They do not serve any purpose and can be removed from the dataset, making their presence a smell for redundancy in the dataset.

Duplicate examples make a dataset bloated. They do not contribute any new information during the data analysis stage. Furthermore, training a machine learning model with a dataset containing duplicate examples can impede the model’s performance on unseen data. Training a model using duplicate examples might lead to overfitting as it may learn once from the original example and then again from the duplicate example(s).

4.2 Categorical Value Smells

This section presents smells that arise from the presence of categorical data. This study found 17 occurrences of smells from this group.

4.2.1 Hierarchy from label encoding (cat-hierarchy). The values of the education feature in the adult dataset have a clear hierarchy amongst themselves. Figure 3 shows the probability density plot of the education levels of adults conditioned on their income class. For the given dataset, the probability that an adult earns more given
that they have better education is higher. We can expose the hierarchy amongst the education levels by assigning a number starting from 0 in ascending order such that higher levels of education are assigned a higher numerical value. This encoding scheme can aid a machine learning model to accurately predict the income class of an individual. Using the same encoding scheme for the sex and race features in the same dataset can however lead to biased outcomes.

An important characteristic of categorical data is the notion of hierarchy amongst its values. Label or dummy encoding is a common technique used in data science to encode categorical data as numbers. This technique preserves the hierarchy amongst the values which may impart useful information to the model during training. Some categorical features however contain sensitive information (sensitive features are discussed in more detail in Section 4.3.1) and do not have hierarchy amongst their values. Label encoding such features can introduce bias into a machine learning model and affect its performance. The presence of sensitive categorical features are therefore a smell to avoid introducing bias into the model.

Label encoding sensitive categorical features can introduce unwanted hierarchy amongst the values and lead to incorrect and biased results in machine learning models. The model may incorrectly associate a sex or race with a higher numerical value to be superior to other values with a lower number. This can be avoided using the one-hot encoding technique as opposed to label encoding [2].

4.2.2 Binning categorical features (cat-bin). Figure 4 presents the distribution of the neighbourhood feature in the airbnb dataset. It is a categorical feature that contains over 200 unique values but several values are rare and do not occur that often. Another example is found in the adult dataset where the native-country feature contains 42 unique values.

One-hot encoding a feature with high cardinality can result in a very large feature space and incur higher memory, disk space and computation costs throughout the machine learning lifecycle. Presence of categorical features with high cardinality in their data is a smell to perform potential data transformations to reduce the cardinality.

A common practise amongst data scientists to address such a problem is to bin several values together. For example, the native-county values can be binned into the seven continents. As an alternative to the neighbourhood feature, the airbnb dataset also contains the neighbourhood_group feature which bins the neighbourhood values into 5 broader areas.

4.3 Miscellaneous Smells
This section presents three smells which did not fit into groups presented earlier. This study identified 14 occurrences of smells from this group.

4.3.1 Presence of sensitive features (misc-sensitive). The adult dataset presents census information of individuals from 1994. Amongst others, the dataset contains information regarding the sex, race & income of individuals. Figure 5a presents the probability density plot of the income class conditioned on the race and sex of individuals. We see that for this dataset, the probability that a male of lighter skin earns more than their female and darker skin counterpart, is significantly higher.

Not all features contribute equally towards knowledge, whether it be during the analysis or model training, Section 4.1 motivated the need to remove redundant features from a dataset, leaving behind high-impact features that contribute the most towards analysis and model training. While most high-impact features lead to interesting insights during analysis, not all should be used to train machine learning models. Presence of high-impact features are a smell to identify sensitive features that may lead to biased and unfair model predictions.

Going back to the example presented above, a machine learning model trained and tested on this dataset would be able to predict the income class of an individual with high accuracy. However such a model when used in production to make business decisions will lead to unfair and biased predictions given it was trained with historical data with similar traits [1, 36, 53]. Use of biased models for predictive policing and criminal justice systems can have far more devastating consequences [21, 30, 35].

Potential mitigation strategies include not using sensitive features during model training and introducing appropriate regularisation techniques to combat the bias. For instance, in the adult dataset, the sex and race can be excluded from the training set so that the model can learn from more generalisable features such as the age and the level of education of an individual. More recently, the trustworthy AI research field has also seen significant developments to address issues regarding safety and robustness, explainability, fairness and privacy in machine learning models [31].

4.3.2 Imbalanced examples (misc-balance). The fraud dataset contains anonymised information on credit card transactions for over 200,000 European cardholders. The dataset also contains a binary class feature where fraudulent transactions are assigned a value of 1 and others a value of 0. As seen from Figure 5b, a model trained on this dataset to detect fraudulent transactions will not perform well as the dataset contains very few examples for the fraudulent transactions class.

When performing classification using supervised learning algorithms, the target feature can also contribute to bias in the model. A special case of the misc-sensitive smell is the presence of unbalanced examples for the classes in a dataset.
Common techniques for working with skewed datasets include using more robust performance metrics such as precision and recall, increasing the size of the training set or manipulating the training set such that the number of examples per class is equal.

4.3.3 Unknown unit of measure (misc-unit). The breast-cancer dataset contains several numerical features such as radius, perimeter & area of tumours. However the column names and documentation fail to mention the unit in which the features were measured.

Numerical data or data of the type int or float are the most common data types in structured datasets. Understanding the distribution and trends within numerical features constitutes the bulk of the data analysis stage. This helps in gaining a deep understanding of its characteristics which is vital to determine how a model will perform when trained on such a feature set. Consistency is of high importance when working with numerical features, and lack of a common unit of measure for all observations of a feature is an early indicator of problems during model training.

Lack of standardised data collection procedure, long durations of data collection and use of undocumented data sources can all lead to observations measured in different units. A mix of units can also lead to incorrect results from outlier detection and propagate to engineered features. Mean removal and variance scaling are common pre-processing techniques used to standardise the numerical features of a dataset prior to training a model. But such a transformation is unfruitful if the observations are not recorded with the same unit of measure.

4.4 Missing Value Smells

This section presents smells that arise from presence of missing values in datasets. This study identified 13 occurrences of smells from this group.

4.4.1 Nulltype missing values (miss-null). A common problem observed in the analysed datasets is the absence of data which can be an early indicator of potential problems in downstream processes. We noticed certain abnormalities while analysing the descriptive statistics of the permit, bitcoin and covid-vaccine datasets. Subsequently, the missing data check revealed that these datasets had large quantities of missing values. For instance, the permit dataset contains several datetime features where 50% of the data is missing; 25% of the data in the bitcoin dataset; and 50% of the data in the numerical features of the covid-vaccine dataset are missing.

When a small portion of the data is missing or if sufficient number of examples for each class is available, missing data can be omitted prior to further analysis [3]. Such a strategy ceases to be an option in high-stakes domains where data is limited and highly unbalanced to begin with. In such datasets, dropping missing data amplifies the imbalance and leads to insufficient data for training machine learning models [29, 42]. Missing values are typically ignored by data analysis tools while performing statistical computations (such as descriptive statistics), leading to inaccurate and biased conclusions. Missing values can also lower the performance of machine learning models due to underrepresented groups in the dataset [33, 39]. The problem gets worse as we scale to larger datasets which do not fit in the memory of a single computer and
require a more distributed approach for storage and performing transformations. Such cases require further effort from data scientists to impute the missing values. Imputation of missing data is a vast research field in itself with techniques ranging from simple statistical techniques such as mean, median and linear regression, to using machine learning models that predict the missing value [3, 40, 51].

4.4.2 Special missing values (miss-sp-val). The missing values in the adult dataset are represented with the question mark character. Although the null type (null or nil) is the most common data type used to represent missing values, sometimes special string characters and keywords such as ‘?’, ‘nil’, ‘null’ are also used. Dummy encoding is another popular technique where a unique numerical value (such as ~9999 or –6666) which is unlikely to be observed in real-life is used. Using special characters, keywords and numbers to represent missing values—especially when they are undocumented—are a smell for problems in downstream stages.

Data analysis tools often contain built-in functionality to check for presence of missing values by detecting null data types in the dataset. Unless otherwise documented, using special characters or numbers impedes the ability of data scientists and data analysis tools to detect missing values accurately. We can indirectly discover string type missing values when performing statistical computations. In such a case, the data analysis tool will fail to perform a numerical operation on data represented as a string and raise an error. Usage of undocumented dummy encoding is however worse as the data analysis tool will continue to operate. This adds to technical debt and may result in incorrect statistical conclusions or catastrophic failure in downstream stages. The data must be manually analysed to identify the special character or number used to represent missing values, wasting time and effort that could have been used to perform other productive tasks.

Using null data types to represent missing values during data collection phase is regarded as good practise. Using special characters, keywords or dummy encoding for missing values must be documented to reduce technical debt and aid future practitioners.

4.4.3 Binary missing values (miss-bin). While analysing the permit dataset, we identified two features (namely structural_notification and tidf_compliance) with 90% missing values. All non-missing values however comprised of the string ‘Y’, a common abbreviation for ‘yes’. This indicated that the missing values carried an implicit meaning of ‘N’ or ‘no’ and were not indicative of truly missing values.

In Section 4.4.1 we saw how presence of excessive missing data can be a smell for incorrect statistical observations and additional effort for data imputation. Close attention however must be paid to the distribution of the missing values within the dataset. Presence of high quantities of missing data primarily within a column—as opposed to being distributed across rows and columns—can be a smell that the data is not truly missing. The missing values in such cases may carry an implicit meaning of a negative binary response.

This can be validated further by observing the column header along with the non-missing values of the feature(s) in question. If the non-missing data is indicative of a positive response such as ‘(t, T)ru’e’ or ‘(y, Y)es’ then the missing data may indicate a negative response. It is common practise in software engineering to represent a negative response or result using a null type, such as None in Python and null in Java. The same however does not hold in data science as null types are commonly used to represent missing data.

If a data scientist fails to notice this implicit meaning, they may hastily drop the missing values or perform imputation. However in doing so, the original information carried by the dataset is altered leading to inaccurate results and conclusions.

4.5 String Value Smells

This section presents smells that arise from the presence of string type data. This group of smells was least frequently observed with a total occurrence of 12.

4.5.1 Strings with special characters (str-sanitise). The missing values in the adult dataset are represented as ‘?’. However, the question marks also contain whitespaces, making their detection and subsequent imputation slightly more tedious. Another example can be found in the abalone dataset. This dataset contains the sex categorical feature where the value can be one of ‘M’, ‘F’ or ‘I’. However due to presence of whitespaces, the data analysis tools may consider values such as ‘ M’ , ‘ F ’ , ‘ I ’ valid and distinct from one another.

The presence of leading and trailing whitespaces and special characters such as punctuation marks in structured data is a smell for potential problems in the data analysis stage.

Categorical features are often represented as strings during the data analysis phase and converted to a numerical representation prior to model training. The presence of whitespace and special characters in categorical features can confuse data analysis tools and lead to false results. The problem is easy to rectify in the abalone dataset since the set of correct values is 3. However things become more challenging for categorical features whose set of values are larger. For instance, the airbnb dataset contains the neighbourhood feature containing names of neighbourhoods in the United States. The feature contains over 200 valid values and presence of redundant whitespace and special characters can make the data cleaning process tedious and time consuming.

Handling presence of special characters in string features requires a case-by-case analysis and solution. But this smell flags the need to always check and remove leading and trailing whitespaces from string features. This is a common task performed by data scientists and popular data wrangling tools provide built in solutions.

4.5.2 Numerical features as string (str-num). The playstore dataset contains data for apps on the Google Playstore. The dataset contains the current_ver and android_ver features which represent the current version of the app and the supported android version respectively. The data in these columns are in the format of release versions such as 1.1.9, which denotes the major, minor and patch versions of the latest release. Although the information is represented as string, we can extract 3 separate numerical features here which can provide valuable insights.

String features may sometimes contain numerical information embedded within itself. The smell here is the presence of features
whose name indicates numerical type data, but the data analysis tool interprets the type as string.

Generally speaking, machine learning models tend to perform better when trained with more data. Extracting valuable numerical information from such features can therefore be beneficial for model training.

4.5.3 Strings in human-friendly formats (str-human). The netflix dataset contains information regarding content on the popular streaming service. The dataset contains the duration column which depicts the length of a particular movie or TV show as depicted in Table 5. In the case of movies, the data is clearly numerical in nature (duration in minutes) but represented as a string format that humans can easily comprehend (the str-num smell discussed earlier in Section 4.5.2 also applies here). The data for TV shows deviates from this format as the duration is represented as the number of seasons of the TV show. Although this format is also comprehensible to humans, converting them to a useful numerical representation however comes with several challenges.

Numerical information being represented in a human-friendly format is a smell for potential problems during the data analysis stage. This smell can be considered a subset of the str-num smell discussed earlier in Section 4.5.2.

Machine learning models generally perform better when trained with standardised and uniform data (also see Section 4.3.3). In this case, the duration should be represented in minutes for all examples. Converting the duration for TV shows from seasons to minutes can be difficult since the duration of each episode and the number of episodes in a season may vary amongst TV shows. We may wish to impute using average values however that requires domain knowledge or further investigation to be carried out by the data scientist.

Table 5: Excerpt from the netflix dataset showing the str-human data smell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 Movie</td>
<td>90 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 TV Show</td>
<td>2 Seasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 TV Show</td>
<td>1 Season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 TV Show</td>
<td>1 Season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 TV Show</td>
<td>2 Seasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 TV Show</td>
<td>1 Season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Movie</td>
<td>91 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Movie</td>
<td>125 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 TV Show</td>
<td>9 Seasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Movie</td>
<td>104 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 DISCUSSION

This section presents the key observations made in this study.

5.1 Documentation

This study identified several instances where a lack of proper documentation was felt. The heart dataset contains several cryptic column headers such as cp, trestbps, & fbs. This makes it difficult to understand what information the column provides without prior domain knowledge or further investigation. In the same dataset, the sex column is label encoded (ie. male and female are represented numerically). However without documentation we cannot ascertain the gender associated with the numerical values.

Improper documentation makes it difficult to understand the idiosyncrasies of a dataset such as determining if missing values are represented with special characters or if they carry an implicit meaning (see Section 4.4). Developing a deep understanding of the data is a fundamental step towards any data-centric work. Documentation can help in this process by providing useful metadata & context and help practitioners (re)familiarise themselves with the dataset. Our observations show the need for tools that aid machine learning practitioners with documenting their work. This is also corroborated in prior studies which show that machine learning practitioners spend considerable amount of time documenting their work as existing machine learning pipelines, models and datasets lack proper documentation [20, 23, 26, 42].

In line with recommendations made by Hutchinson et al. and Sambasivan et al., good data documentation should include (but is not limited to) information regarding the data source and the data collection procedure, changes that may have already been made to the dataset along with the rationale behind the change, expected schema of the columns, meaningful column headers, presence of missing values & duplicate rows, correlation amongst features and descriptive statistics. Providing such documentation can significantly improve productivity of data scientists and reduce data understanding and development time [23, 42].

5.2 Technical debt

The analysis revealed technical debt in the datasets due to lack of best practices and standardised procedures in upstream processes. Undocumented data practices and transformations (Section 5.1), presence of missing values (Section 4.4) & redundant columns (Section 4.1), datasets with sensitive & imbalanced columns (Section 4.3) and data in human-friendly strings (Section 4.5.3), all lead to accumulation of technical debt in downstream stages.

Due to their highly tangled and experimental nature, machine learning systems are prone to rapid accumulation of technical debt. Although a holistic view is recommended for monitoring machine learning systems, it is often difficult to do so due to their complexity. Data smells however can help detect problems during the early stages of the machine learning lifecycle when the complexity is relatively low and fixes are easier and cheaper to implement. As with traditional software systems, accumulation of technical debt is inevitable. However early detection can improve effort estimation and help deliver projects on time with lower financial costs.

5.3 Data validation

Data validation tools provide an abstraction over common tests performed by data scientists when working with data. Analogous to how regression testing is done when introducing changes to a codebase, data validation ensures that the new data conforms to certain expectations when fed into a machine learning system. But writing validation rules still requires data scientists to understand
the data first. This may come naturally to seasoned practitioners, but is non-trivial for inexperienced practitioners [9].

As opposed to traditional rule-based software, machine learning models derive the rules automatically from the data. This reduces the level of human involvement in such systems, allowing for higher degrees of automation. Automation however comes at the cost of reduced transparency as minuscule changes to the input data can cause drastic changes in the trained model. Data validation and linting tools can automatically validate the data in terms of correctness, consistency, completeness and statistical properties. However they lack the ability to validate dimensions such as fairness and robustness which are critical in machine learning [7].

We believe that data smells can aid practitioners during the early stages of data analysis when human involvement is necessary. As seen in Section 4.3.1 and 4.2.1, data smells can aid practitioners to catch data quality issues that lead to biased and unfair predictions in their models. The smells also help fix other data quality issues that results in a more robust dataset.

5.4 Data Efficiency

The availability of highly resilient and cheap hardware commodity due to cloud-computing has enabled leaping advancements in AI. Neural networks have consistently evolved in complexity and size, starting with Imagenet in 2009, Resnet in 2015 and more recently GPT-3 in 2020 which consists of 175 billion parameters [10]. Complex models are data hungry, requiring training data in the scale of Terabytes. In this era of big data and high performance computing, presence of seemingly minor data smells can lead to wasted training cycles and cost millions [14]. Engineering efficient datasets become crucial in such circumstances and circumvent the use of overly complex machine learning models. Data quality plays a key role in delivering efficient and maintainable models with a smaller carbon footprint.

6 THREATS TO VALIDITY

This study opted for a shallow analysis of the datasets. The analysis phase consisted of a specific set of steps that were carried out (as outlined in Section 3) for each dataset. This was a deliberate decision, made to easily scale and reproduce the analysis steps across a large sample of datasets. We recognise that a deeper analysis of each dataset may reveal further smells. For instance, the current analysis excluded outlier detection or fitting machine learning models to the dataset. However we strongly believe that the generalisability of smells reduces as we increase the depth of the analysis. That is, the smells would only be valid within the context of the problem domain.

The smells discovered by this study are linked to the version of the data used for the analysis. For instance, Kaggle contains datasets from the UCI Machine Learning Data Repository (UCI)6. However the version of data found on Kaggle is different from the original source on UCI. Similarly, it is also unclear if the version of data hosted on UCI is the ground truth or was derived from somewhere else. While we recognise this issue, it is also unfortunately the nature of all data science problems. Table 1 provides a list of all datasets that were used in this analysis along with their version at the time it was downloaded in hopes to increase the reproducibility of the results.

The current analysis does not extend to quantifying impact of smells. For instance, we do not know if and to what extent the unknown unit of measure (misc-unit) smell affects the model’s performance on a test set (see Section 4.3.3). Such a task requires collection of a sufficiently large sample of datasets that contain the smell in question. The validation process involves performing a supervised learning task which is a highly experimental and time consuming process. The effort and time increases when we scale the validation across multiple smells. Therefore such a time consuming task was beyond the scope of this study.

Data smells are subject to the interpretation of the data scientist, the team or the organisation performing the analysis. This problem of subjectiveness is present in code smells as well. Not all long methods are bad and god classes still exist in open source repositories. To reduce the possibility of subjective bias in our results, the smells were reviewed by the second author prior to including them in the catalogue.

7 CONCLUSION

Code smells are frequently used by software engineers to identify potential bugs, sources of technical debt and weak design choices. Code smells in the context of traditional software have existed for over three decades and have been extensively studied by the software engineering research community. With the growing popularity of AI and its adoption in high-stakes domains where a data-centric approach is adopted, data smells are seen as a much needed aid to machine learning practitioners. This study examined 25 public datasets and identified 14 recurrent data quality issues—coined as data smells—that can lead to problems when training machine learning models. Our results indicate a need for better data documentation, and accumulation of technical debt due to lack of standardised practices in upstream stages of machine learning pipelines.

We consider our collection of data smells and the analysis of their prevalence a first step towards aiding data scientists in the initial stages of data analysis where human involvement is necessary. We hope that our work raises awareness amongst practitioners to write better documentation for their datasets and follow best practices during data collection to minimise technical debt in upstream stages. As a next step, we aim to grow the data smells catalogue by analysing more datasets. Furthermore, we wish to remove the constraints introduced by IC2 (see Section 3 and Table 2) and include datasets larger than 1GB in size.
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