

On Safety in Machine Learning

Viering, T.J.

DOI

[10.4233/uuid:a908aba1-8210-4b75-b69f-77aea7871a56](https://doi.org/10.4233/uuid:a908aba1-8210-4b75-b69f-77aea7871a56)

Publication date

2023

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Viering, T. J. (2023). *On Safety in Machine Learning*. [Dissertation (TU Delft), Delft University of Technology]. <https://doi.org/10.4233/uuid:a908aba1-8210-4b75-b69f-77aea7871a56>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Propositions

accompanying the dissertation

ON SAFETY IN MACHINE LEARNING

by

Tom Julian VIERING

1. Reporting performances for N training set sizes on one dataset is more valuable than a single performance on $>N$ different datasets evaluated with a single training set size (this thesis).
2. Explanation methods for neural nets confuse users more than provide insights.
3. The gap between science and the public is widened by the media.
4. Negative feedback for students should be packaged in positive feedback.
5. Voters should be obliged to fill out a voting guide before voting.
6. Companies that negatively contribute to the health of people should pay their fair share of the healthcare costs.
7. Scientific transparency is important in decision making in the pandemic.
8. Currently, more academic freedom would lead to more productivity.
9. Quitting smoking is more difficult than coming up with good propositions.
10. Attending music festivals is the best way for PhD students to reduce stress.

These propositions are regarded as opposable and defensible, and have been approved as such by the promoters prof. dr. E. Eiseman and prof. dr. M. Loog.