

Delft University of Technology

Metastable patterns in one- and two-component dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates

Zhang, Yong Chang; Pohl, Thomas; Maucher, Fabian

DOI 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.023023

Publication date 2024 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in **Physical Review Research**

Citation (APA) Zhang, Y. C., Pohl, T., & Maucher, F. (2024). Metastable patterns in one- and two-component dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates. *Physical Review Research*, *6*(2), Article 023023. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.023023

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Metastable patterns in one- and two-component dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates

Yong-Chang Zhang ^(b),^{1,*} Thomas Pohl,² and Fabian Maucher ^(b),^{4,†}

¹MOE Key Laboratory for Nonequilibrium Synthesis and Modulation of Condensed Matter and Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Quantum Information and Quantum Optoelectronic Devices, School of Physics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, People's Republic of China

²Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology (TU Wien), 1040 Vienna, Austria

³Faculty of Mechanical, Maritime and Materials Engineering, Department of Precision and Microsystems Engineering,

Delft University of Technology, 2628 CD, Delft, The Netherlands

⁴Departament de Física, Universitat de les Illes Balears and IAC-3, Campus UIB, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain

(Received 19 October 2023; accepted 29 February 2024; published 5 April 2024)

In this paper we study metastable states in single- and two-component dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates. We show that this system supports a rich variety of states that are remarkably stable despite not being ground states. In a parameter region where striped phases are ground states, we find such metastable states that are energetically favorable compared to triangular and honeycomb lattices. Among these metastable states we report a peculiar ring-lattice state, which is led by the competition between triangular and honeycomb symmetries and rarely seen in other systems. In the case of dipolar mixtures we show that via tuning the miscibility these states can be stabilized in a broader domain by utilizing interspecies interactions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.023023

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold quantum gases with long-range interactions display remarkably intriguing behavior and give access to probing fundamental quantum behavior [1,2]. Dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) permit a controlled access to such effects [3,4]. These include the recent experimental advances in the observation of quantum droplets [5-7], supersolids [8–16], and their excitation spectra [17–20]. The existence of these states of matter can be viewed as a macroscopic signature for quantum fluctuations in that they suppress dipolar collapse that would otherwise occur [21]. With that dipolar quantum gases emerged as an ideal platform to observe fundamentally interesting and surprising physical effects. One of these effects is the emergence of a point in phase space where the superfluid-supersolid phase transition becomes second-order [22], which means around that point the physics becomes practically linear in the modulation amplitude and acquires a glasslike nature and rich variety of patterns [23–26].

Generally, considering more than one species of atoms in ultracold quantum gases [27,28] adds complexity and can promote a range of intricate phenomena, including collapse suppression even for short-ranged interactions [29,30] by quantum-fluctuations in mixtures as well as tunable miscibility [31,32] between the components.

The recent observation of dipolar mixtures [33-35] paves the way for a range of new perspectives and qualitatively new behavior as it permits the combination of the intriguing behavior of two-component physics with long-range interactions [36-39].

Metastability is ubiquitous in nature ranging from physics [40,41], to chemistry [42], to material [43] science. Metastability can lead to a variety of rich phenomena [44–49] and permits gaining further insights into the overall physics of the systems. The transition between metastable states has attracted attention as well [50]. Previous works have found that long-range interaction is a crucial ingredient for the appearance of metastability [45–49,51,52]. For example, it has been reported that dipolar atoms loaded in optical lattices can host a number of metastable states in Mott-insulator regimes [46,47]. However, it is still unclear whether a continuous dipolar gas can support metastable phases that feature a significantly different symmetry to the ground state as well.

Here we explore such metastable states that feature multiple length scales in single- as well as two-component dipolar BECs. Close to the second-order point deformations of small density modulations barely lead to a change in energy due to the shallowness of the energy landscape. Therefore, one can imagine that states with different symmetry can be created via linear superposition; however, such superpositions can be expected to be unstable. However, the dynamics can become so slow that the density almost appears frozen. Farther from the second-order point, where the periodic density modulations become larger and interactions lead to a more pronounced energy landscape, the possibility of sufficiently deep local energy minima appears more reasonable.

^{*}zhangyc@xjtu.edu.cn

[†]F.Maucher@tudelft.nl

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

To address that idea systematically, we start by considering single-component systems and investigate the emergence of superlattices by superposing two different patterns in regions close to lines where they are energetically degenerate. To avoid finite-size effects we consider the thermodynamic limit. By thermodynamic limit we refer to the situation where the plane perpendicular to the dipolar polarization direction z, which corresponds to both trapping and polarization axis, is infinitely extended. In this plane the average two-dimensional (2D) density ρ_{2D} is fixed, and a 2D symmetry breaking occurs.

After studying the single-component system we consider dipolar mixtures. Here we have the additional degrees of freedom due to the interaction between the two components. By changing the miscibility we can tune between a situation where both components reach their maximum density at the center of the trap and triple-layered density distributions, where one component is "sandwiched" between two layers of the other component. The interaction between the layers might render such metastable states unstable or possibly stabilize them.

II. MODELING OF DIPOLAR BECS

A. Single-component BECs

A single-component dipolar BEC at zero temperature composed of N dipolar bosonic atoms of mass m including quantum fluctuations can be described via

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_z^2 z^2 + g\rho(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\rho(\mathbf{r}') + \mu_{\rm LHY}\right]\psi(\mathbf{r}).$$
(1)

Here, $\rho(\mathbf{r}) \equiv N |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ represents the condensate density with the wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ being normalized to 1, we assume trapping along the polarization direction only, in this case the *z* direction, and ω_z is the respective frequency of the harmonic trap. Furthermore, $g = \frac{a_s}{3a_{dd}}$ is the fraction of the s-wave scattering length a_s to the dipolar length a_{dd} , the latter of which characterizing the dipole-dipole interaction strength. $V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^3}(1 - 3z^2/r^2)$ is the usual dipole-dipole interaction. The Lee-Huang-Yang (LHY) correction due to quantum fluctuations μ_{LHY} is given by [53–57]

$$\mu_{\rm LHY} = \frac{4}{3\pi^2} \left(\frac{a_{\rm s}}{3a_{\rm dd}}\right)^{5/2} \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{a_{\rm dd}}{a_{\rm s}}\right)^2\right] \rho^{3/2}.$$
 (2)

B. Two-component BECs

To generalize the single-component description to model two-component dipolar condensates we assume the local density approximation and employ the model recently introduced in [36,37]:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \left[-\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2} + \sum_{\beta}\frac{a_{s}^{\alpha\beta}}{3a_{dd}^{11}}\rho_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\beta}\int d^{3}\mathbf{r}' V_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\rho_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}') + \mu_{LHY}^{(\alpha)}\right]\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}),$$
(3)

where α , $\beta = 1, 2$, $\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv N_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})|^2$ with the wave function $\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ having been normalized to 1, ω_z is the frequency of the harmonic trap along the polarization direction, $V_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sqrt{a_{dd}^{\alpha\alpha} a_{dd}^{\beta\beta}}}{a_{dd}^{11}} \frac{1}{4\pi r^3} (1 - 3z^2/r^2)$ is the usual dipole-dipole interaction with $a_s^{\alpha\beta}$ being the s-wave scattering length, and $a_{dd}^{\alpha\alpha}$ the typical dipolar length characterizing the dipole-dipole interaction strength. The LHY correction $\mu_{LHY}^{(\alpha)}$ is given by

$$\mu_{\rm LHY}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \sin\theta (\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^+ + \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^-) \tag{4}$$

with
$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{\pm} = (u_{\alpha\alpha} \pm \frac{(-1)^{\alpha-1} \delta u_{\alpha\alpha} + 2u_{12}^2 \rho_{3-\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 4u_{12}^2 \rho_{1} \rho_2}})\mathcal{J}^{3/2}, \quad \mathcal{J} = u_{11}\rho_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}^{3/2}$$

 $u_{22}\rho_2 \pm \sqrt{\delta^2 + 4u_{12}^2\rho_1\rho_2}, u_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{a_s^{\alpha\beta}}{3a_{dd}^{11}} + \frac{\sqrt{a_{dd}^{\alpha}a_{dd}^{\beta\beta}}}{3a_{dd}^{11}}$ ($3\cos^2\theta - 1$), and $\delta = u_{11}\rho_1 - u_{22}\rho_2$. For simplicity, we assume that the atomic masses of the two components are equal (i.e., $m_1 = m_2 = m$), which is justified for the typical Dy-Dy [36] as well as reasonable for Dy-Er [37] mixtures, and the above equations have been nondimensionalized through scaling spatial coordinates and time by $l = 12\pi a_{dd}^{11}$ and ml^2/\hbar , respectively. Hereafter, our discussion will focus on the Dy-Er mixture, i.e., $a_{dd}^{11} = 132a_0$ and $a_{dd}^{22} = 65.5a_0$ with a_0 being the Bohr radius. The frequency of the trap ω_z is fixed to $\omega_z = 0.08$ for both the single- as well as the two-component case.

III. RESULTS

In this section we present that both in single- as well as in two-component dipolar BECs we can find metastable states that can even feature two length scales, despite the fact that there is only one roton minimum in the dispersion relation. For that matter, in the first Subsec. III A, we illustrate in a single-component BEC that new states with multiple length scales can be thought of as a certain superposition of other metastable states. Then, in Sec. III B we show that similar arguments also apply for two-component systems.

A. Single-component BECs

Let us start with the single-component system and present the ground-state phase diagram that has already been presented for a finite density, i.e., trapped in all three spatial directions, in [23,24] and in the thermodynamic limit including the stripe phase in [26,58].

To find stationary states we employ the Fourier split-step method in combination with complex time evolution. Complex time evolution means that one replaces $t \rightarrow -it$ and renormalizes the wave function after each propagation step. Therefore, if we consider a given initial state as a superposition of states with different energies, it can be expected that this algorithm relaxes to the least "damped" state, i.e., the ground state, as it has the lowest energy. However, upon seeding with appropriate initial states that are sufficiently close to the metastable states one seeks to find, this algorithm can relax to such states associated with local energy minima under certain conditions as well, such as that the overlap to the ground state is sufficiently small. Here by metastable states we mean stationary stable states that have a higher energy



FIG. 1. Ground-state phase diagram for a single-component dipolar BEC. The white dashed line separates the domain where patterns with stripe symmetry are the ground state into two regions, one where the (metastable) honeycomb lattice and one where the (metastable) hexagonal or triangular lattice are energetically preferred with respect to each other. The white dashed line indicates where the latter become energetically degenerate. The markers indicate numerically obtained points. The newly added domain indicated by the yellow lines corresponds to regions where a surprising metastable ring state has a lower energy than both of the triangular and the honeycomb states [cf. Fig. 2(g)].

than the ground state. Note that at this point it is crucial to use an appropriate numerical box that minimizes the energy to ensure that metastable states are not spurious and due only to an inappropriately chosen numerical box.

The ground-state phase diagram is shown in Fig. 1. We find that all phases emerge from the point where the superfluid-supersolid phase transition becomes second-order and coexistence terminates. The region where the stripe phase is the ground state can be separated into two areas, depending on whether the honeycomb (down-hexagons) or the triangular (hexagonal) states are energetically favorable. This transition is indicated by the white dashed line in Fig. 1. Whereas in this area both the honeycomb as well as the triangular state are metastable, they feature remarkable stability and robustness. This is evidenced by the fact that these states were found using complex time evolution rather than eigenvalue or Newton solvers. Furthermore, the real-time evolution of these metastable states features stability if slightly randomly perturbed initially (not shown). Therefore, it appears reasonable to ask whether this system robustly supports also more complex metastable states, such as states with multiple lengthscales, two examples of which we present in the following.

A natural approach for finding metastable states that feature more involved geometries is to inspect regions in the phase diagram (Fig. 1) close to where the metastable states (i.e., honeycomb/triangular) feature equal energy, that is, close to the earlier mentioned dashed line in Fig. 1. That is due to the fact that at these points the system does not favor either of them, and, therefore, one might be tempted to expect that in this region they can be admixed in some way. This will be used to explain the emergence of surprising metastable phases in the next subsection.





FIG. 2. (a) Energy landscape of the ground as well as metastable states at the density $\rho_{\rm 2D} = 312.5$. The energy difference of each state with respect to the dashed line in (a) is plotted in (b) to represent the energies of different states more clearly. The density profiles at z = 0 of the triangular, stripe, honeycomb, ring-droplet, and ring states are shown in panels (c)–(g), respectively. Here the stripe state (d), ring state (g), and honeycomb state (e) correspond to the phases at $a_s/a_{\rm dd} = 0.76$, 0.75, and 0.78, respectively, while the remaining states are at $a_s/a_{\rm dd} = 0.74$.

1. Rings and ring droplets

Let us come back to the numerical results shown in Fig. 1 and discuss the yellow region of Fig. 1, where isolated ringlike densities emerge [cf. Fig. 2(g)]. These distributions of densities were originally found using complex time evolution from quite different initial conditions. However, after convincing ourselves that they actually exist, we seeded them directly. It appears that the yellow region shrinks upon approaching the second-order point, but does not converge to the secondorder point. This can be expected, as only ground states can converge to the second-order point, whereas supporting metastable states requires sufficiently large amplitude modulations, as the latter permit the formation of a sufficiently deep local energy minima. The numerical results match this qualitative expectation: Initially the "center of mass" of the ring region appears to nicely follow the dashed transition line upon decreasing density, yet at a certain point the domain has to stay sufficiently far from the second-order point and deviates from that trend.

Whereas the ring state is metastable with respect to the stripe phase, it features a lower energy than both the triangular as well as the honeycomb density distribution for the solid yellow lines. Upon deviating from the dashed white line, the ring state becomes energetically unfavorable compared to both triangular and honeycomb states, yet continues to exist. To distinguish that case we draw a dashed yellow line rather than a solid yellow line in Fig. 1 closest to the second-order point.

After this mostly qualitative discussion, let us now inspect the energies of the states in question and, thereby, implicitly obtain an idea of the potential landscape involved (cf. Fig. 2). The energy per particle E is given by the functional

$$E = \int \left(\frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{2} + \frac{\omega_z^2 z^2}{2} |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{5} \gamma N^{\frac{3}{2}} |\psi|^5 \right) d\mathbf{r} + E_{\rm I}, \quad (5)$$

$$E_{\rm I} = \frac{N}{2} \int \left(\frac{a_{\rm s}}{3a_{\rm dd}} |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + \int |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \times V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') |\psi(\mathbf{r}')|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}' \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}, \tag{6}$$

where N is the total particle number and the wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ has been normalized to 1.

The situation is plotted in Fig. 2 for a fixed density $\rho_{2D} = 312.5$. Figure 2(a) shows the comparison of the energies of different states supported by the system in the region where the striped density distribution is the ground state as function of the a_s/a_{dd} . To add further visual clarity, Fig. 2(b) shows the energy difference between the respective states to the straight dashed line as depicted in Fig. 2(a).

We find that for small values of a_s/a_{dd} the ground state is given by a triangular distribution of density droplets [Fig. 2(c)]. Upon increasing a_s/a_{dd} sufficiently the ground state corresponds to a stripe phase shown in Fig. 2(d) and finally, for large values of a_s/a_{dd} is a honeycomb as depicted in Fig. 2(e).

Let us now discuss the metastable states of Fig. 2(b) shown in Figs. 2(f) and 2(g) and start with the domain where the triangular or hexagonal lattice is the ground state. One might be tempted to expect that the stripe state ought to be the first metastable state, as it becomes the ground state for larger $a_{\rm s}/a_{\rm dd}$. However, for small $a_{\rm s}/a_{\rm dd}$ the first metastable state we find corresponds to a droplet lattice that features two length scales [see Fig. 2(f)]. Upon further increasing a_s/a_{dd} we find that the stripe phase becomes the first metastable state before becoming the ground state. In the region where the stripe phase is the ground state we can again distinguish two regions, one where the triangular lattice is the first metastable state (small a_s/a_{dd}) and one that emerges upon increasing a_s/a_{dd} . This state is curious, as it features a density distribution that is ringlike [see Fig. 2(g)] and is rarely seen in other patternforming systems. The state shown in Fig. 2(f) resembles the state Fig. 2(g), as it carries the same underlying symmetry apart from an additional azimuthal modulation along the ring.

Let us now return to the discussion of Fig. 1, but from the perspective of the length scales involved rather than the earlier presented energetic arguments. The deviation of the "center of mass" of the ring-state region from the white dashed line can be understood as follows. At the second-order point coexistence of all phases terminates and there is only a single, fixed wave vector possible. This wave vector k can be found by the Bogoliubov excitation of the unmodulated state. In order to obtain a density distribution that features multiple length scales, the dynamics needs to be "sufficiently nonlinear" in the modulation amplitude, i.e., sufficiently far from the second-order point at which there can only be one length scale $\lambda = 2\pi/k$. It is the nonlinearity that gives rise to a second length scale. This is again consistent with the fact that



FIG. 3. (a) Honeycomb lattice $\rho^{\rm H}$ that has been shifted to locate its minimum on top of the maximum of the triangular state and rotated by $\pi/6$, (b) a triangular lattice $\rho^{\rm T}$, and (c) the superposition of the two lattices by subtracting (b) from (a).

these ring states typically feature a large contrast, and we were not able to find ring states with small modulation amplitude.

Rings on a triangular lattice are dramatically different from the earlier mentioned more common patterns, as they can be thought of as a mix of both triangular and honeycomb lattice. To understand how they emerge and to furthermore identify the two earlier mentioned length scales, let us superpose two states close to the white dashed line in Fig. 1 such that the sum amounts to metastable ring states in the following fashion:

$$\rho^{\mathrm{T},\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp},z) = \rho_0(z) \left(1 \pm A \sum_{j=1}^3 \cos(\mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\perp} + \varphi_j) \right).$$
(7)

Here we assume $0 < A \ll 1$ as an ansatz for a weakly modulated condensate with triangular symmetry ρ^{T} or honeycomb symmetry ρ^{H} , respectively. A denotes the small amplitude of the density modulation. The three wave vectors form an equilateral triangle in the transverse plane with $\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{k}_{3} = 0$ and $|\mathbf{k}_{j}| = k$.

To see how we can obtain a ring state with these states, let us superpose a triangular droplet lattice ρ^{T} with a honeycomb lattice ρ^{H} in the following manner:

$$\rho^{\mathrm{R}} = \mathcal{TR}(\phi)[\rho^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}, z)] - \rho^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}, z).$$
(8)

Here $\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ denotes a rotation perpendicular to the polarization direction by an angle of ϕ and \mathcal{T} represents a translational shift operation. The idea of this ansatz is, basically, that the ring state looks similar to a honeycomb lattice with certain connections (see the yellow dashed circles in Fig. 3) being removed. To remove these connections as well as the background, we subtracted a triangular lattice. To further clarify the situation, the superposition process is shown in Fig. 3.

This ansatz appears to capture the essence of the ring state, and we can directly read off that there are two sets of



FIG. 4. Fourier transforms of the (a) triangular, (b) honeycomb, and (c) ring states. This shows that the ring state emerges as a mixture between a honeycomb lattice with a triangular lattice.



FIG. 5. Real-time evolution of the ring state at $a_s/a_{dd} = 0.75$ and $\rho_{2D} = 9 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² (the corresponding dimensionless average 2D density is 625) using the realistic parameters of the dysprosium ¹⁶⁴Dy. Here we added 20% relative noise to the ring state obtained via imaginary time evolution [see panel (a)] and then propagated it in real time (b)–(c). The red surface represents the constant density profile of $\rho/\rho_{max} = 0.8$, while the bottom slice displays the density distribution of the ring state in the z = 0 plane.

wave vectors **k**: $\mathbf{k}_n = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi}}{a} (\cos(2\pi/n), \sin(2\pi/n))$ and $\mathbf{k}'_n = \frac{2\pi}{a} (\cos(2\pi/n + \pi/6), \sin(2\pi/n + \pi/6))$ with $n = 1, \dots, 6$ [also see Fig. 4(c)]. Here *a* denotes the lattice constant.

Surprisingly, even upon employing variational analysis, we find that such ring states can indeed be energetically preferable compared to both the honeycomb as well as triangular lattice state; however, the stripe phase remains the ground state. To make sure that this result is not an artificial feature due to the variational ansatz, we show numerical results to confirm both the existence as well as stability of such ring states.

To validate whether this ansatz is consistent with the states we found numerically earlier in Fig. 2, we also show the Fourier transform of the ring state as well as the triangular and honeycomb states in Fig. 4. Evidently, the symmetry is correct and the Fourier transform shows what has been expected from this linear expansion.¹

To probe whether these states are actually stable and robust we considered a real-time evolution that is initially perturbed by random white noise. The dynamics is displayed in Fig. 5, affirming their stability and what we already suspected earlier due to the fact that they were found employing complex time evolution.

As these states are metastable, there is the obvious question whether one can excite such states starting from a ground state. For pursuing that we consider the following quenching dynamics: We start in a parameter region where the honeycomb is the ground state and then quench a_s/a_{dd} to lower values where the ground state is the striped phase. One can suspect that it will take more time to break the symmetry towards the stripes and that in a first step the connections will break as schematically depicted in Fig. 3. Such an attempt is shown in Fig. 6.

Interestingly, this ring-shaped state resembles the so-called fairy circles that can be found in waterscarce areas [59–62], as it shares the property of multiple inherent length scales



FIG. 6. Attempt to excite the ring state from an initial honeycomb state (b) with $\rho_{2D} = 9 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ by quenching a_s/a_{dd} from 0.76 to 0.72. Initially, certain connections (c, d) of the honeycomb lattice break (cf. Fig. 3). After that, there is a time interval (e) during which the overall density distribution features several ring states; however, they are not distributed on a triangular lattice. Finally, they decay into several separated droplets (not shown). Here we used the same parameters for ¹⁶⁴Dy as in Fig. 5.

(ring-size and ring-to-ring distance). Moreover, we would like to point out this ring state solely results from the strong nonlinear effect of dipolar condensates. This is in sharp contrast to similar phenomena observed in spin-orbit coupled BECs as well as unbalanced binary atomic mixtures, where the ringlike lattices are led by either gauge fields or external trapping with a ring geometry [63–66].

B. Two-component BECs

It is not *a priori* clear whether our findings in the singlecomponent case can be simply transferred to two-component systems. The biggest difference is the possibility to form miscible and immiscible or layered structures, and due to the cross-component interaction these layers interact. This interaction can be thought of as either being inhibiting or catalyzing the formation of metastable states with multiple length scales.

As a starting point to explore this more complicated system it is reasonable to first analytically write an expression that captures the immiscibility in a two-component system neglecting the modulations. In other words, we first consider unmodulated states and focus only on the new feature of immiscibility in this simplified system. After that we aim at transcribing the findings from the single-component to the two-component case.

1. Miscibility of two-component unmodulated states

In this section we seek to find analytical approximations for the unmodulated density distributions that capture their miscibility. As mentioned before, two-component systems can have different degrees of miscibility depending on the parameter regime [31,32,36–38].

Here we consider an unmodulated state for both components and approximate the density distribution (i.e., ρ_1 and ρ_2) of that state with a Thomas-Fermi profile in the trapping

¹It is useful to note that the Fourier transform of a rotated state is the same as the rotated Fourier transform of the state.



FIG. 7. Miscibility $\frac{\rho_2(z=0)-\rho_1(z=0)}{\rho_2(z=0)+\rho_1(z=0)}$ is shown as function of $a_s^{12}/\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}}$. The insets show different profiles. We fixed the density to $\rho_1^{2D} = \rho_2^{2D} = 625$ and intracomponent interactions to $a_s^{11}/a_{dd}^{11} = a_s^{22}/a_{dd}^{22}$. The dashed and the dotted-dashed lines correspond to $a_s^{11}/a_{dd}^{11} = 0.9$ and 1.2, respectively (cf. the horizontal dashed lines in Fig. 8).

direction z. After a bit of algebra, that allows us to find

$$\rho_{1}(z) = \begin{cases}
\frac{3a_{dd}^{11}\left[\left(\mu_{1}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}\right)\left(a_{s}^{22}+2a_{dd}^{22}\right)-\left(\mu_{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}\right)\left(a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}}\right)\right]}{\left(a_{s}^{11}+2a_{dd}^{11}\right)\left(a_{s}^{22}+2a_{dd}^{22}\right)-\left(a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}}\right)^{2}}\right)}, \\
\rho_{2}(z) = \frac{3a_{dd}^{11}\left[\left(\mu_{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}\right)\left(a_{s}^{11}+2a_{dd}^{11}\right)-\left(\mu_{1}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}\right)\left(a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}}\right)\right]}{\left(a_{s}^{11}+2a_{dd}^{11}\right)\left(a_{s}^{22}+2a_{dd}^{22}\right)-\left(a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}}\right)^{2}}\right)} \\$$
(9)

with $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_z^2 \sigma_{z1}^2$, $\mu_2 = \omega_z^2 (\sigma_{z1}^2 - \sigma_{z2}^2) \frac{a_s^{12} + 2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11} a_{dd}^{22}}}{a_s^{11} + 2a_{dd}^{11}} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_z^2 \sigma_{z2}^2$, $\sigma_{z1} = [\frac{\rho_{2D}(a_s^{11} + a_s^{12} + 2a_{dd}^{11} + 2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11} a_{dd}^{22}})}{2a_{dd}^{11} \omega_z^2}]^{1/3}$, $\sigma_{z2} = [\frac{\rho_{2D}(a_s^{11} + a_s^{12} + 2a_{dd}^{11} - a_s^{12} a_{dd}^{12} - 4a_{dd}^{12} \sqrt{a_{dd}^{11} a_{dd}^{22}})}{2a_{dd}^{11} \omega_z^2}]^{1/3}$. Here we assumed the same average 2D density $\rho_1^{2D} = \rho_2^{2D} = \rho_{2D}$ for the two

 $\left[\frac{\rho_{DO(s_{s}, u_{s})} + 2a_{s}^{2}u_{dd}(u_{s}, u_{s})}{2a_{dd}^{11}u_{d}^{2}(a_{s}^{11} - a_{s}^{12} + 2a_{dd}^{11} - 2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{2}})}\right]^{1/3}$. Here we assumed the same average 2D density $\rho_{1}^{2D} = \rho_{2}^{2D} = \rho_{2D}$ for the two components. ρ_{2} is the component that remains localized around z = 0, and ρ_{1} gets pushed out in the immiscible regime. That is reflected by the fact that ρ_{1} is a piecewisely defined function. Equation (9) motivates us to introduce the parameter

$$\eta = \frac{a_{\rm s}^{12} + 2\sqrt{a_{\rm dd}^{11} a_{\rm dd}^{22}}}{a_{\rm s}^{22} + 2a_{\rm dd}^{22}}.$$
(10)

 $\eta = 1$ represents the point where the transition from the miscible to the immiscible regime occurs. In the miscible regime ($\eta < 1$), both ρ_1 and ρ_2 reach a maximum value at z = 0 as can be seen from Fig. 7(a). In contrast, for $\eta > 1$ the density of ρ_1 becomes a parabola close to z = 0 [see Eq. (9) and Figs. 7(b) and 7(c)]. Hence, ρ_1 no longer has its maximum at z = 0, but it acquires two maxima at the point where $\rho_2(z)$ becomes zero, while ρ_2 retains the normal Thomas-Fermi profile. In contrast, both ρ_1 and ρ_2 deviate from the normal Thomas-Fermi distribution when $\eta > \mu_1/\mu_2$, where the strong contact interspecies repulsion eventually fully separates the two components as shown in Figs. 7(d) and 7(e)]. In that case, ρ_1 vanishes in a finite region around z = 0. In other words the binary condensates enter the immiscible regime.

In the strong immiscible regime the density profiles of the two species can be expressed as follows:

1

$$\rho_{1}(z) = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } |z| \leq \sigma_{z3} \\
\frac{3a_{dd}^{11}(\mu_{1}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2})}{a_{s}^{11}+2a_{dd}^{11}} & \text{if } \sigma_{z2} \leq |z| \leq \sigma_{z1} \\
\frac{3a_{dd}^{11}(\mu_{1}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2})(a_{s}^{22}+2a_{dd}^{22}) - (\mu_{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2})(a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}})}{(a_{s}^{11}+2a_{dd}^{11})(a_{s}^{22}+2a_{dd}^{22}) - (a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}})^{2}} & \text{if } \sigma_{z3} \leq |z| \leq \sigma_{z2} \\
\rho_{2}(z) = \begin{cases}
\frac{3a_{dd}^{11}(\mu_{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2})}{a_{s}^{22}+2a_{dd}^{22}} & \text{if } |z| \leq \sigma_{z3} \\
\frac{3a_{dd}^{11}(\mu_{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2})(a_{s}^{11}+2a_{dd}^{11}) - (\mu_{1}-\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2})(a_{s}^{12}+2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}})^{2}} & \text{if } \sigma_{z3} \leq |z| \leq \sigma_{z2},
\end{cases}$$
(11)

where the chemical potentials $\mu_{1,2}$ take the same form as in the former case. The critical points $\sigma_{z1,z2,z3}$ can be determined by the constraint $\int \rho_{1,2}(z) dz = \rho_{2D}$. For the case of intermediate cross-interaction [cf. Fig. 8(d)], there remains overlap of the two species in the region $\sigma_{z3} \leq |z| \leq \sigma_{z2}$. However, if the interspecies contact interaction exceeds the critical strength $(a_s^{12})_c = \sqrt{(a_s^{11} + 2a_{dd}^{11})(a_s^{22} + 2a_{dd}^{22})} - 2\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}}$, the overlap between the two components disappears completely [see Fig. 8(e)], and it enters the completely immiscible regime.

2. Excitation spectrum of two-component unmodulated states

For convenience we report here an (approximated) excitation spectrum of two-component unmodulated states as their expressions are useful for the next subsection. The (approximated) excitation spectrum can be easily obtained from linearization of the governing equation of motion (3). For that matter, we use the expression obtained before Eq. (9) for $\rho_1(z)$, $\rho_2(z)$ and integrate out the *z* direction. With that we obtain the following expression that depends only on k_{\perp} :

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{2} \left[\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{2} + \rho_{1}^{2D} g_{11} + \rho_{2}^{2D} g_{22} + \rho_{1}^{2D} v_{11} + \rho_{2}^{2D} v_{22} - \left(\left(\rho_{1}^{2D} g_{11} + \rho_{1}^{2D} v_{11} - \rho_{2}^{2D} g_{22} - \rho_{2}^{2D} v_{22} \right)^{2} + 4\rho_{1}^{2D} \rho_{2}^{2D} (g_{12} + v_{12})^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$
(12)

Here $\rho_{\alpha}^{2D} = \int \rho_{\alpha}(z) dz$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$) denotes the average 2D density of the condensate in the plane perpendicular to the polarization direction and $v_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \sqrt{\frac{a_{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_{\beta\beta}}{a_{dd}\alpha_{\alpha}^2}} \int \mathcal{F}[\rho_{\alpha}(z)]\mathcal{F}[\rho_{\beta}(z)](\frac{k_z^2}{k_{\perp}^2 + k_z^2} - \frac{1}{3})dk_z$. $\mathcal{F}[\rho_{\alpha}]$ corresponds to the Fourier transform of ρ_{α} , and $g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{a_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha\beta}}{3a_{dd}^{11}\rho_{\alpha}^{2D}\rho_{\beta}^{2D}} \int \rho_{\alpha}(z)\rho_{\beta}(z) dz$ represents the effective 2D contact interaction. To obtain the above simple analytical excitation spectrum, we have neglected the LHY correction terms. This is not at all justified and serves for a qualitative discussion only and is certainly quantitatively wrong.

The blue solid line in Fig. 8 shows the position where he roton minimum of the unmodulated state's excitation spectrum touches zero as function of the scattering length a_s^{12} . Remarkably, this line displays distinct behaviors in the weak and strong cross-contact interaction regime. In the case of small scattering length a_s^{12} , the roton instability can be promoted either by the intercomponent interaction a_s^{12} or by the intracomponent interaction a_s^{1i} under a general constraint.

In contrast, in the strong cross-interaction regime, this critical line no longer depends on a_{s}^{12} and is solely determined by the intracomponent interaction a_{dd}^{ii} . This can be understood as follows. As can be seen from Fig. 7(e), there is no overlap between the distributions of the two components at large a_{s}^{12} . Therefore, g_{12} becomes zero and the excitation spectrum ω depends on the intracomponent contact interaction only [see Eq. (12)]. In contrast, in case of small a_{s}^{12} , the two components are miscible and their overlap is determined by their crossinteraction. This is why the excitation spectrum exhibits the two different scalings.



FIG. 8. Phase diagram of two-component dipolar condensates with fixed density of $\rho_1^{2D} = \rho_2^{2D} = 625$ and balanced intracomponent interactions $a_s^{11}/a_{dd}^{11} = a_s^{22}/a_{dd}^{22}$. Again the ring state remains metastable with respect to the stripe phase; however, in the region indicated by the dashed yellow line it is energetically preferred compared to the triangular and honeycomb states. The overall trend of all lines can be estimated via the approximated excitation spectrum (12), which amounts to the blue solid line. The two horizontal lines correspond to the values of a_{s}^{ii}/a_{dd}^{ii} (i = 1, 2) for which the miscibility $\frac{\rho_2(z=0)-\rho_1(z=0)}{\rho_2(z=0)+\rho_1(z=0)}$ is shown in Fig. 7. We find that the ring state persists for a large range of miscibility. Furthermore, for the values at hand, it appears that the miscibility does not affect the existence regions of the states, as despite a dramatic change in the former, the overall trend estimated from the (approximated) excitation spectrum remains. The domain of triangular states is separated into two regions by the white solid line. Left of this line the ground state is the usual triangular lattice, whereas right of this line the ground state features the triangular superlattice that will be discussed further in Sec. III B 5.

The qualitative behavior is confirmed by numerical simulations (see the black solid line in Fig. 8). The significant difference between the full numerical results (black line) and the approximated excitation spectrum (blue line) is clearly visible, and it is clear that for quantitatively correct results the LHY correction has to be taken into account. Since the LHY correction acts like contact repulsive interactions, which tend to stabilize the unmodulated state, the roton-instability critical line would be shifted towards smaller a_s^{ij} by quantum fluctuations as the numerical results show.

3. Rings and ring droplets in two-component systems

We already established that we can find ring states in the single-component system; however, it is unclear whether we can find their analog in two-component systems. That is due to the fact that the different layers interact and thereby might prevent the formation of ring states.

To explore that, consider Fig. 9(a), which displays energy differences to the energy of the stripe phase. Figures 9(b)–9(e) display the densities of the different states involved. We find again that close to where the triangular and honeycomb state become energetically degenerate and the striped state is the ground state, a metastable ring state emerges. Close to the



FIG. 9. Energies (a) for four different (meta-)stable states shown in (b)–(e) for $\frac{a_{s}^{11}}{a_{dd}^{11}} = \frac{a_{s}^{22}}{a_{dd}^{22}} = 0.9$. The ground state for most of the considered region remains a stripe phase. In analogy to the singlecomponent case shown in Fig. 1, we find that in a two-component BEC ring states are possible as well. As in the single-component BEC, these ring states occur in a region close to where honeycomb and triangular lattices become energetically comparable.

aforementioned energy degeneration, this ring state features a lower energy than both the triangular state as well as the honeycomb state, akin to what we already found in the singlecomponent case.

Now that we established that ring states are also possible in dipolar BEC mixtures, let us address whether their existence is promoted or suppressed when changing the miscibility. As we have seen before in Eq. (10), one can alter the miscibility by tuning the value of a_s^{12} . The result is provided in Fig. 8, where we show the phase diagram for a fixed density and varying cross-contact interaction and contact interaction in Fig. 8(a). Here we focus on the case of balanced intracomponent interactions, i.e., $a_s^{11}/a_{dd}^{11} = a_s^{22}/a_{dd}^{22}$.

We see that for this set of parameters the regions of the different phases are practically unaffected despite tuning the miscibility dramatically from 0.3 to 1 (see Fig. 8). In other words, the ring state, rather than ceasing to exist, even retains its domain of existence. This shows that the ring states are robust, as the cross-interaction can be considered as a perturbation to the single-component physics that deforms the energy landscape significantly.

In fact, the opposite is true for the displayed case: The cross-interaction with the other component actually stabilizes the ring state as compare to the single-component case beyond the otherwise critical line of a_s/a_{dd} shown in Fig. 1 of around $a_s/a_{dd} \approx 0.79$, where in the singlecomponent case all modulated states cease to exist in favor of the unmodulated state. Therefore, in a way one might say that these somewhat peculiar states actually emerge in a broad parameter region in both single- as well as twocomponent dipolar BECs. Moreover, the cross-interactions in two-component systems can stabilize the existence of these exotic states.



FIG. 10. Superfluid fraction of different stable states vary with the interspecies contact interaction, while the intraspecies interaction is fixed at $a_s^{11}/a_{dd}^{11} = a_s^{22}/a_{dd}^{22} = 0.9$, where the full (dashed) lines indicate the superfluid fraction of the first (second) component. The red, black, dark yellow, and blue lines represent the corresponding superfluid fraction f_1^s (f_2^s) of the triangular, stripe, ring, and honeycomb states, respectively. The regime of the stripe ground state is denoted by the light gray, while the dark gray shadow illustrates that the ring state is energetically favorable compared to the triangular and honeycomb states in this region.

4. Superfluid fraction of modulated states

Thus far we did not yet consider the superfluid properties of the different patterns we found. We will do that now using Leggett's bound [67,68],

$$f_{\alpha}^{s} = \min_{\theta} \left[\int \frac{L_{x}^{2}}{\int |\psi_{\alpha}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z)|^{-2} dx} dy dz \right], \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, L_x$ is the size of the numerical box along x direction, and we take the minimum with respect to all possible directions defined by the angle θ with $\bar{x} = x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta$ and $\bar{y} = x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta$ [22]. Whereas the Leggett estimator has been found to be quantitatively correct in certain settings, e.g., [69,70], one has to be careful when interpreting the superfluid fraction in higher dimensions [71]. Figure 10 presents the superfluid fraction of the triangular (red lines), stripe (black lines), ring (dark yellow lines), as well as honeycomb (blue lines) states in the vicinity of the critical region from modulated states to a flat state. Here the interspecies interaction is fixed at $a_s^{11}/a_{dd}^{11} = a_s^{22}/a_{dd}^{22} = 0.9$ (i.e., along the black dashed line in Fig. 8). We note that the first component (solid lines) possesses a large superfluid fraction compared to the superfluid fraction of the second component (dashed lines) which is practically negligible for small values of a_s^{12} . However, for sufficiently large values of a_s^{12} , such that the honeycomb can form in the second component (blue dashed line), also the second component is able to increase its superfluid fraction significantly due to the overlapping wave function, i.e., atoms can flow along the bridges of the honeycomb [22].

To gain further insight into why the two components behave so distinctively different, let us consider the singlecomponent case. For the second component this individual species has a much lower dipolar length (i.e., $a_{dd}^{22} = 65.5a_0$ vs $a_{dd}^{11} = 132a_0$), and it would require a much larger average 2D density to reach the second-order point where superfluidity is large and from where new phases with higher superfluid



FIG. 11. Density profiles of the deformed triangular states at strong interspecies contact interaction, i.e., the right side of the white line in Fig. 8(a), where the interactions are fixed at $a_s^{ii}/a_{dd}^{ii} = 0.74$ and $a_s^{12}/\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}} = 0.86$, while the density is the same as Fig. 8(a). Panels (a) and (b) present the 3D density profiles of ρ_1 and ρ_2 , respectively, via the slice plots. To clearly see the geometry of such states, the 2D distributions $\rho_1(z = z_c)$ and $\rho_2(z = 0)$ are shown in panels (c) and (d) as well. Here z_c denotes the position where ρ_1 reaches its maximum in the z direction.

fraction emerge [22]. Thus, for the case of balanced dipolar mixtures considered here, the second component remains almost insulating. Nevertheless, as stated before, the situation changes when the second component enters the honeycomb regime.

5. Deformed triangular states at strong cross-interaction

As discussed in Sec. III B 1, the two-component dipolar mixtures feature a transition from a miscible to an immiscible distribution that can be controlled by the cross-contact interaction a_s^{12} . Thus far, the discussion of miscibility has been limited to polarization direction as we considered states that are unmodulated in the transverse plane (perpendicular to the polarization direction).

What is missing is whether there is a parameter region of modulated states where we can see clear signatures of immiscibility in the transverse plane. As a proof of principle that such regions exist and to illustrate the effect of "transverse immiscibility," we go into the region of deeply crystallized triangular states that appears for large values of a_s^{12} (cf. Fig. 8, right of the white line).

Figure 11 shows an example of deformed triangular states that occur at strong cross-contact interaction $a_s^{12}/\sqrt{a_{dd}^{11}a_{dd}^{22}} = 0.86$. New "droplets" appear at the center of three neighboring regular droplets as shown in Figs. 11(a) and 11(c). Furthermore, these new "droplets" spread across the z = 0 plane and bridge the upper and lower layers of the first component and slightly perturb its periodicity.

It is qualitatively clear that the deformation lowers the energetic cost related to the trap, as less density of ρ_1 is

located at large values of z where the energy related to the trap becomes large. This comes evidently at the price of an increase in kinetic energy, as there are additional oscillations that occur. It is, however, mainly the dipolar energy that renders these deformed triangular states energetically favorable despite the energies related to scattering and LHY being increased.

As mentioned, the phase boundary between regular and deformed triangular states is denoted by the white line in Fig. 8. In contrast, the fully immiscible honeycomb or flat states do not have links in between the outside layers, since the second component possesses a significant superfluid background which prevents the formation of such layer links due to the strong interspecies contact repulsion.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we established that dipolar BECs support unusual metastable robust states featuring multiple length scales. These states have the shape of a ringlike density distribution whose azimuthal density modulation can be modulated via tuning the scattering length. They appear in a domain where the stripe phase is the ground state and in a region around the line where the (metastable) triangular and honeycomb lattice becomes energetically degenerate.

Moreover, these states can be stabilized in a much broader regime in the binary dipolar BECs where only an unmodulated flat state exists in the single-component counterparts. In sharp contrast to other ringlike phenomena induced by gauge fields and ring-shaped confinement in spin-orbit coupled or unbalanced quantum gas mixtures, the ring-lattice state reported here is solely induced by the strong nonlinear effects.

Although such ring states do not emerge as ground states, they underpin the variety of stable self-organized structures in long-range interacting systems, as already featured in, e.g., [46,47,49,72,73]. Therefore, dipolar BECs represent a promising platform to explore metastable-state quantum phase transition as well [40,74].

In addition to the various metastable states emerging close to where the triangular and honeycomb states become energetically degenerate, we also discovered a deformed triangular superlattice ground state in the deeply modulated and immiscible regime. Usually, immiscibility is discussed as a phenomenon occurring in the polarization direction (e.g., [36,37]) rather than the plane transverse to the polarization. In this case the emergence of the triangular superlattices with periodic density bridges displayed in Fig. 11 is a clear new feature due to "transverse immiscibility" of two-component BECs that is different from what has been discussed and has no analog with a single-component dipolar BEC.

As an outlook, we think that further understanding of the topology of this phase diagram can be found by studying the bifurcation diagram [75]. Its dependency on temperature seems to represent an interesting endeavour as well [76–78]. Furthermore, in this work we restricted our consideration to a small subset of parameters, and extending that to, e.g., unequal intracomponent interactions and unequal masses to explore the rich spectrum of metastable states remains to be done.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12104359), National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFA1401700), Shaanxi Academy of Fundamental Sciences (Mathematics, Physics) (Grant No. 22JSY036), the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center of Excellence "CCQ" (Grant No. DNRF156), the Austrian

- I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Many-body physics with ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 885 (2008).
- [2] M. Saffman, T. G. Walker, and K. Mølmer, Quantum information with Rydberg atoms, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2313 (2010).
- [3] T. Lahaye, C. Menotti, L. Santos, M. Lewenstein, and T. Pfau, The physics of dipolar bosonic quantum gases, Rep. Prog. Phys. 72, 126401 (2009).
- [4] L. Chomaz, I. Ferrier-Barbut, F. Ferlaino, B. Laburthe-Tolra, B. L. Lev, and T. Pfau, Dipolar physics: A review of experiments with magnetic quantum gases, Rep. Prog. Phys. 86, 026401 (2023).
- [5] M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, F. Böttcher, I. Ferrier-Barbut, and T. Pfau, Self-bound droplets of a dilute magnetic quantum liquid, Nature (London) 539, 259 (2016).
- [6] I. Ferrier-Barbut, H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, and T. Pfau, Observation of quantum droplets in a strongly dipolar bose gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 215301 (2016).
- [7] F. Böttcher, M. Wenzel, J.-N. Schmidt, M. Guo, T. Langen, I. Ferrier-Barbut, T. Pfau, R. Bombín, J. Sánchez-Baena, J. Boronat, and F. Mazzanti, Dilute dipolar quantum droplets beyond the extended Gross-Pitaevskii equation, Phys. Rev. Res. 1, 033088 (2019).
- [8] H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, C. Wink, T. Maier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, and T. Pfau, Observing the Rosensweig instability of a quantum ferrofluid, Nature (London) 530, 194 (2016).
- [9] L. Chomaz, S. Baier, D. Petter, M. J. Mark, F. Wächtler, L. Santos, and F. Ferlaino, Quantum-fluctuation-driven crossover from a dilute Bose-Einstein condensate to a macrodroplet in a dipolar quantum fluid, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041039 (2016).
- [10] L. Chomaz, D. Petter, P. Ilzhöfer, G. Natale, A. Trautmann, C. Politi, G. Durastante, R. M. W. van Bijnen, A. Patscheider, M. Sohmen, M. J. Mark, and F. Ferlaino, Long-lived and transient supersolid behaviors in dipolar quantum gases, Phys. Rev. X 9, 021012 (2019).
- [11] L. Tanzi, E. Lucioni, F. Famà, J. Catani, A. Fioretti, C. Gabbanini, R. N. Bisset, L. Santos, and G. Modugno, Observation of a dipolar quantum gas with metastable supersolid properties, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 130405 (2019).
- [12] F. Böttcher, J.-N. Schmidt, M. Wenzel, J. Hertkorn, M. Guo, T. Langen, and T. Pfau, Transient supersolid properties in an array of dipolar quantum droplets, Phys. Rev. X 9, 011051 (2019).
- [13] J. Hertkorn, J.-N. Schmidt, F. Böttcher, M. Guo, M. Schmidt, K. S. H. Ng, S. D. Graham, H. P. Büchler, T. Langen, M. Zwierlein, and T. Pfau, Density fluctuations across the superfluid-supersolid phase transition in a dipolar quantum gas, Phys. Rev. X 11, 011037 (2021).

Science Fund (FWF) [10.55776/COE1] and the European Union - NextGenerationEU. Y.C.Z. acknowledges the support of Xi'an Jiaotong University through the "Young Top Talents Support Plan" and Basic Research Funding as well as the High-Performance Computing Platform of Xi'an Jiaotong University for the computing facilities. F.M. acknowledges the funding from the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (PID2021-128910NB-I00).

- [14] L. Tanzi, J. G. Maloberti, G. Biagioni, A. Fioretti, C. Gabbanini, and G. Modugno, Evidence of superfluidity in a dipolar supersolid from nonclassical rotational inertia, Science 371, 1162 (2021).
- [15] T. Bland, E. Poli, C. Politi, L. Klaus, M. A. Norcia, F. Ferlaino, L. Santos, and R. N. Bisset, Two-dimensional supersolid formation in dipolar condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 195302 (2022).
- [16] M. A. Norcia, E. Poli, C. Politi, L. Klaus, T. Bland, M. J. Mark, L. Santos, R. N. Bisset, and F. Ferlaino, Can angular oscillations probe superfluidity in dipolar supersolids? Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 040403 (2022).
- [17] L. Santos, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, Rotonmaxon spectrum and stability of trapped dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 250403 (2003).
- [18] M. Guo, F. Böttcher, J. Hertkorn, J.-N. Schmidt, M. Wenzel, H. P. Büchler, T. Langen, and T. Pfau, The low-energy Goldstone mode in a trapped dipolar supersolid, Nature (London) 574, 386 (2019).
- [19] L. Tanzi, S. M. Roccuzzo, E. Lucioni, F. Famà, A. Fioretti, C. Gabbanini, G. Modugno, A. Recati, and S. Stringari, Supersolid symmetry breaking from compressional oscillations in a dipolar quantum gas, Nature (London) 574, 382 (2019).
- [20] G. Natale, R. M. W. van Bijnen, A. Patscheider, D. Petter, M. J. Mark, L. Chomaz, and F. Ferlaino, Excitation spectrum of a trapped dipolar supersolid and its experimental evidence, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 050402 (2019).
- [21] T. Lahaye, J. Metz, B. Fröhlich, T. Koch, M. Meister, A. Griesmaier, T. Pfau, H. Saito, Y. Kawaguchi, and M. Ueda, *d*-Wave collapse and explosion of a dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 080401 (2008).
- [22] Y.-C. Zhang, F. Maucher, and T. Pohl, Supersolidity around a critical point in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 015301 (2019).
- [23] Y.-C. Zhang, T. Pohl, and F. Maucher, Phases of supersolids in confined dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. A 104, 013310 (2021).
- [24] J. Hertkorn, J.-N. Schmidt, M. Guo, F. Böttcher, K. S. H. Ng, S. D. Graham, P. Uerlings, T. Langen, M. Zwierlein, and T. Pfau, Pattern formation in quantum ferrofluids: From supersolids to superglasses, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 033125 (2021).
- [25] A. Gallemí and L. Santos, Superfluid properties of a honeycomb dipolar supersolid, Phys. Rev. A 106, 063301 (2022).
- [26] B. T. E. Ripley, D. Baillie, and P. B. Blakie, Two-dimensional supersolidity in a planar dipolar Bose gas, Phys. Rev. A 108, 053321 (2023).

- [27] D. J. McCarron, H. W. Cho, D. L. Jenkin, M. P. Köppinger, and S. L. Cornish, Dual-species Bose-Einstein condensate of ⁸⁷Rb and ¹³³Cs, Phys. Rev. A 84, 011603(R) (2011).
- [28] L. Wacker, N. B. Jørgensen, D. Birkmose, R. Horchani, W. Ertmer, C. Klempt, N. Winter, J. Sherson, and J. J. Arlt, Tunable dual-species Bose-Einstein condensates of ³⁹K and ⁸⁷Rb, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 053602 (2015).
- [29] D. S. Petrov, Quantum mechanical stabilization of a collapsing Bose-Bose mixture, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 155302 (2015).
- [30] C. R. Cabrera, L. Tanzi, J. Sanz, B. Naylor, P. Thomas, P. Cheiney, and L. Tarruell, Quantum liquid droplets in a mixture of Bose-Einstein condensates, Science 359, 301 (2018).
- [31] D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Dynamics of component separation in a binary mixture of Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1539 (1998).
- [32] S. B. Papp, J. M. Pino, and C. E. Wieman, Tunable miscibility in a dual-species Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 040402 (2008).
- [33] A. Trautmann, P. Ilzhöfer, G. Durastante, C. Politi, M. Sohmen, M. J. Mark, and F. Ferlaino, Dipolar quantum mixtures of erbium and dysprosium atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 213601 (2018).
- [34] G. Durastante, C. Politi, M. Sohmen, P. Ilzhöfer, M. J. Mark, M. A. Norcia, and F. Ferlaino, Feshbach resonances in an erbium-dysprosium dipolar mixture, Phys. Rev. A 102, 033330 (2020).
- [35] C. Politi, A. Trautmann, P. Ilzhöfer, G. Durastante, M. J. Mark, M. Modugno, and F. Ferlaino, Interspecies interactions in an ultracold dipolar mixture, Phys. Rev. A 105, 023304 (2022).
- [36] R. N. Bisset, L. A. Peña Ardila, and L. Santos, Quantum droplets of dipolar mixtures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 025301 (2021).
- [37] J. C. Smith, D. Baillie, and P. B. Blakie, Quantum droplet states of a binary magnetic gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 025302 (2021).
- [38] A.-C. Lee, D. Baillie, P. B. Blakie, and R. N. Bisset, Miscibility and stability of dipolar bosonic mixtures, Phys. Rev. A 103, 063301 (2021).
- [39] D. Scheiermann, L. A. Peña Ardila, T. Bland, R. N. Bisset, and L. Santos, Catalyzation of supersolidity in binary dipolar condensates, Phys. Rev. A 107, L021302 (2023).
- [40] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1973).
- [41] V. Apaja and M. Saarela, Structure of metastable 2D liquid helium, Europhys. Lett. 84, 40003 (2008).
- [42] V. V. Brazhkin, Metastable phases, phase transformations, and phase diagrams in physics and chemistry, Phys. Usp. 49, 719 (2006).
- [43] M. Yoshida, K. Kudo, M. Nohara, and Y. Iwasa, Metastable superconductivity in two-dimensional IrTe₂ crystals, Nano Lett. 18, 3113 (2018).
- [44] R. Kanamoto, L. D. Carr, and M. Ueda, Topological winding and unwinding in metastable Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 060401 (2008).
- [45] S. Yi, T. Li, and C. P. Sun, Novel quantum phases of dipolar Bose gases in optical lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 260405 (2007).

- [46] C. Menotti, C. Trefzger, and M. Lewenstein, Metastable states of a gas of dipolar bosons in a 2D optical lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 235301 (2007).
- [47] C. Trefzger, C. Menotti, and M. Lewenstein, Ultracold dipolar gas in an optical lattice: The fate of metastable states, Phys. Rev. A 78, 043604 (2008).
- [48] I. Danshita and C. A. R. Sá de Melo, Stability of superfluid and supersolid phases of dipolar bosons in optical lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 225301 (2009).
- [49] B. Blaß, H. Rieger, G. Roósz, and F. Iglói, Quantum relaxation and metastability of lattice bosons with cavity-induced longrange interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 095301 (2018).
- [50] L. Hruby, N. Dogra, M. Landini, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, Metastability and avalanche dynamics in strongly correlated gases with long-range interactions, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, 3279 (2018).
- [51] B. R. de Abreu, F. Cinti, and T. Macrì, Superstripes and quasicrystals in bosonic systems with hard-soft corona interactions, Phys. Rev. B 105, 094505 (2022).
- [52] N. Defenu, T. Donner, T. Macrì, G. Pagano, S. Ruffo, and A. Trombettoni, Long-range interacting quantum systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 95, 035002 (2023).
- [53] A. R. P. Lima and A. Pelster, Quantum fluctuations in dipolar Bose gases, Phys. Rev. A 84, 041604(R) (2011).
- [54] A. R. P. Lima and A. Pelster, Beyond mean-field low-lying excitations of dipolar Bose gases, Phys. Rev. A 86, 063609 (2012).
- [55] D. Baillie, R. M. Wilson, R. N. Bisset, and P. B. Blakie, Selfbound dipolar droplet: A localized matter wave in free space, Phys. Rev. A 94, 021602(R) (2016).
- [56] F. Wächtler and L. Santos, Quantum filaments in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. A 93, 061603(R) (2016).
- [57] R. N. Bisset, R. M. Wilson, D. Baillie, and P. B. Blakie, Groundstate phase diagram of a dipolar condensate with quantum fluctuations, Phys. Rev. A 94, 033619 (2016).
- [58] Y.-C. Zhang and F. Maucher, Variational approaches to twodimensionally symmetry-broken dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, Atoms 11, 102 (2023).
- [59] N. Juergens, The biological underpinnings of Namib desert fairy circles, Science 339, 1618 (2013).
- [60] S. Getzin, H. Yizhaq, B. Bell, T. E. Erickson, A. C. Postle, I. Katra, O. Tzuk, Y. R. Zelnik, K. Wiegand, T. Wiegand, and E. Meron, Discovery of fairy circles in Australia supports self-organization theory, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113, 3551 (2016).
- [61] C. E. Tarnita, J. A. Bonachela, E. Sheffer, J. A. Guyton, T. C. Coverdale, R. A. Long, and R. M. Pringle, A theoretical foundation for multi-scale regular vegetation patterns, Nature (London) 541, 398 (2017).
- [62] S. Getzin, H. Yizhaq, M. Muñoz-Rojas, K. Wiegand, and T. E. Erickson, A multi-scale study of Australian fairy circles using soil excavations and drone-based image analysis, Ecosphere 10, e02620 (2019).
- [63] V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, S. Tung, and E. A. Cornell, Vortex-lattice dynamics in rotating spinor Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 210403 (2004).
- [64] L. Klaus, T. Bland, E. Poli, C. Politi, G. Lamporesi, E. Casotti, R. N. Bisset, M. J. Mark, and F. Ferlaino, Observation of vortices and vortex stripes in a dipolar condensate, Nat. Phys. 18, 1453 (2022).

- [65] Z. Shi and G. Huang, Self-organized structures of twocomponent laser fields and their active control in a cold Rydberg atomic gas, Phys. Rev. A 104, 013511 (2021).
- [66] I. Berenstein, L. Yang, M. Dolnik, A. M. Zhabotinsky, and I. R. Epstein, Superlattice turing structures in a photosensitive reaction-diffusion system, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 058302 (2003).
- [67] A. J. Leggett, Can a solid be "superfluid"? Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1543 (1970).
- [68] A. J. Leggett, On the superfluid fraction of an arbitrary manybody system at t = 0, J. Stat. Phys. **93**, 927 (1998).
- [69] N. Henkel, F. Cinti, P. Jain, G. Pupillo, and T. Pohl, Supersolid vortex crystals in Rydberg-dressed Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 265301 (2012).
- [70] F. Cinti, T. Macrì, W. Lechner, G. Pupillo, and T. Pohl, Defectinduced supersolidity with soft-core bosons, Nat. Commun. 5, 3235 (2014).
- [71] P. B. Blakie, Superfluid fraction tensor of a two-dimensional supersolid, arXiv:2308.14001.
- [72] B. Capogrosso-Sansone, C. Trefzger, M. Lewenstein, P. Zoller, and G. Pupillo, Quantum phases of cold polar

molecules in 2D optical lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 125301 (2010).

- [73] A. Maluckov, G. Gligorić, L. Hadžievski, B. A. Malomed, and T. Pfau, Stable periodic density waves in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates trapped in optical lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 140402 (2012).
- [74] R. Kanamoto, L. D. Carr, and M. Ueda, Metastable quantum phase transitions in a periodic one-dimensional Bose gas. II. Many-body theory, Phys. Rev. A 81, 023625 (2010).
- [75] A. B. Steinberg, F. Maucher, S. V. Gurevich, and U. Thiele, Exploring bifurcations in Bose–Einstein condensates via phase field crystal models, Chaos 32, 113112 (2022).
- [76] E. Aybar and M. O. Oktel, Temperature-dependent density profiles of dipolar droplets, Phys. Rev. A 99, 013620 (2019).
- [77] M. Sohmen, C. Politi, L. Klaus, L. Chomaz, M. J. Mark, M. A. Norcia, and F. Ferlaino, Birth, life, and death of a dipolar supersolid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 233401 (2021).
- [78] J. Sánchez-Baena, C. Politi, F. Maucher, F. Ferlaino, and T. Pohl, Heating a dipolar quantum fluid into a solid, Nat. Commun. 14, 1868 (2023).