

#### Effects of green framing In communications on low-carbon technologies

de Vries, Gerdien

Publication date 2017

**Document Version**Final published version

Published in

International Conference of Environmental Psychology (ICEP 2017)

Citation (APA)

de Vries, G. (2017). Effects of green framing: In communications on low-carbon technologies. In International Conference of Environmental Psychology (ICEP 2017): Theories of change and social innovation in transitions towards sustainability (pp. 1-9)

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# **Effects of green framing**

In communications on low-carbon technologies

#### **Gerdien de Vries**

International Conference on Environmental Psychology Facultad de Ciencias de la Educación, University of A Coruña , Spain 31 August 2017



#### **Based on:**

- de Vries, G. (2017). How positive framing may fuel opposition to low-carbon technologies: The boomerang model. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, *36*(1), 28-44.
- de Vries, G., Terwel, B. W., & Ellemers, N. (2016). Perceptions of manipulation and judgments of illegitimacy: Pitfalls in the use of emphasis framing when communicating about CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage. Environmental Communication, 10, 206-226.
- de Vries, G., Terwel, B. W., Ellemers, N., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2015). Sustainability or
  profitability? How communicated motives for environmental policy affect public perceptions of
  corporate greenwashing. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 22,
  142-154.
- de Vries, G. (October, 2016). PR gone wrong: the backlash effect of window dressing. London
   School of Economics and Political Science Business Review (blog)
   http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/businessreview/2016/10/06/pr-gone-wrong-the-backlash-effect-of-window-dressing/
- de Vries, G. (in preparation). Practice what you preach: Relations between oil industry reputation, environmental policy, and corporate communication.



#### **CCS** pilot project Barendrecht

- Shell refinary Pernis > CO2 storage in depleted gas fields
- Resistance from local community (Barendrecht)
- Project owners try change negative opinion
- E.g. with emphasis on environmental benefits in communication (vs on risks, or economic benefits): green framing
- Green framing was not effective
- 2010: Project was cancelled





#### Why can green framing be ineffective?

- Framing can change opinions. So why ineffective here?
- Boomerang effect (scepticism, boycott, protest, reactance, and opposition) when green framing is perceived as manipulative, as greenwashing.
- So, when perceived as manipulation?
- And is manipulation always unacceptable?
- Might depend on (source) expectations...

So many questions, we need to go to the lab!





# **Experiments and surveys**

- Testing causal effects of green framing (vs other frames: economic, risk, neutral) in lab and (online) surveys
- Revealing underlying psychological mechanisms
- Measures: Perceived manipulation (and greenwashing), perceived strategic behavior, source expectations, acceptability of manipulation, level of dispositional skepticism, CCS attitude
- Role of source: e.g., oil company vs news agency



**BAPTISTE** Oil & Gas

Site Index RSS Help Contact

Site Index: Over Baptiste Oil & Gas > Technologie > CO2 Opslag

Zoeken









Over Baptiste Oil & Gas

Technologie

CO2 Opslag

Innovatie:

Media

Vacatures

Producten & Diensten

BO&G investeert in de ontwikkeling van CO2 afvang- en opslagtechnologie omdat dit past in ons beleid van maatschappelijk verantwoord ondernemen. Als we nu namelijk niet investeren in deze technologie, dan zal de hoeveelheid CO2 in de atmosfeer blijven toenemen, waardoor de gemiddelde temperatuur op aarde steeds hoger wordt. Dit heeft allerlei negatieve gevolgen voor mens en natuur, zoals verstoring van huidige ecosystemen, sterfte van sommige plant- en diersoorten, en stijging van de zeespiegel met alle gevolgen van dien. Door het op grote schaal in zetten van CO2 afvang- en opslagtechnologie komt er veel minder CO2 in de lucht, waardoor deze milieuproblemen voorkomen kunnen worden.

Kortom, wij investeren in de ontwikkeling van CO2 afvang- en opslagtechnologie vanwege het milieu (maatschappelijk verantwoord ondernemen).

Print version @ BO&G France 2010

#### Results

- Green framing can influence attitude towards technology (short-term positive effect).
- However, green framing can be perceived as manipulative (greenwashing).
- Green framing from oil companies seems acceptable because strategic behavior is <u>expected</u>.
- Green framing from objective sources (such as news agencies) is not accepted.



# Discussion on implications

- Source expectations are important
- But should organisations meet or break expectations?
- Dilemma for real "green" organisations in "dirty" domains
  - 1. Meet expectations and stay silent about green activities (i.e., greenhushing)? Then audience does not know...
  - Break expectations and communicate their green activities? Then run the risk of being perceived as greenwashing.



# The End

For questions, ideas or nice remarks: g.devries-2@tudelft.nl

**Twitter: GerdienDeVries** 

