

Delft University of Technology

Benzimidazole linked polymers (BILPs) in mixed-matrix membranes Influence of filler porosity on the CO₂/N₂ separation performance

Shan, Meixia; Seoane, Beatriz; Pustovarenko, Alexey; Wang, Xuerui; Liu, Xinlei; Yarulina, Irina; Abou-Hamad, Edy; Kapteijn, Freek; Gascon, Jorge

DOI

10.1016/j.memsci.2018.08.023

Publication date 2018

Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Published in Journal of Membrane Science

Citation (APA)

Shan, M., Seoane, B., Pustovarenko, A., Wang, X., Liu, X., Yarulina, I., Abou-Hamad, E., Kapteijn, F., & Gascon, J. (2018). Benzimidazole linked polymers (BILPs) in mixed-matrix membranes: Influence of filler porosity on the CO /N separation performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *566*, 213-222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.08.023

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

© 2018 Manuscript version made available under CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

 Benzimidazole linked polymers (BILPs) in mixed-matrix membranes: influence of filler porosity on the CO₂/N₂ separation performance

 Mexia Sian'

 Mexia Sian'

 Beatriz Seoane'

 b.seoanedelacuesta@uu.nl

 Alexey Eustovarente'

 Xuerui Wang'

 Xuerui Wang'

 Kuerui Wang'

 Prek Kantelin'

 Jonge Gascon's'

 Jorge Gascon's'

 Jorge Gascon's'

 Actalysis Engineering. Chemical Engineering Department, Delhy University of Technology, van der Maasweg. Big 2629 HZ Delh, The Netherlands

^cKing Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST Catalysis Center, Advanced Catalytic Materials, Materials, Thuwal 2395523955, Saudi Arabia

^dKing Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Core Labs, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

The performance of mixed-matrix membranes (MMMs) based on Matrimid[®] Matrimid[®] and benzimidazole-linked polymers (BILPs) have been investigated for the separation CO_2/N_2 and the dependency on the filler porosity. BILPs with two different porosities (BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101) were synthesized through controlling the initial polymerization rate and further characterized by several techniques (DRIFTs, ¹³C CP/MAS NMR, SEM, TEM, N₂ and CO₂ adsorption). To investigate the influence of porosity, the two types of fillers were incorporated into Matrimid[®] to prepare MMMs at varied loadings (8, 16 and ²⁴²⁴ wt%). SEM confirmed the<u>at both</u> well dispersion of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 <u>are well dispersed</u> indicating their good compatibility with <u>the</u> polymeric matrix. The partial pore blockage in the membrane was verified by CO₂ adsorption isotherms on the prepared membranes. In the separation of CO₂ from a 15:85 CO₂:N₂ mixture at ^{308308 K}, the incorporation of both BILPs fillers resulted in an enhancement in gas permeability together with constant selectivity owing to the fast transport pathways introduced by the porous network. It was noteworthy that the initial porosity of the filler had a large impact in separation permeability. The best improvement was achieved by ^{2424 wt%} RT-BILP-101 MMMs, for which the CO₂ permeability increases up to 2.8-fold (from 9.6 to 27 Barrer) compared to the bare Matrimid[®].

Keywords: Benzimidazole-linked polymers; Mixed-matrix membranes; CO₂ separation

1 Introduction

The soaring increase in CO_2 emissions has caused great public concern due to its accompanying greenhouse effect. In this sense, the capture of CO_2 from flue gas or natural gas has become necessary from the perspective of energy and the environment [1]. Flue gas is composed of $CO_{22,N}$, $N_{22,CO,O}$, $CO, O_{22,N}$, water vapor and sulfur oxide, where N_{22} and CO and CO_{22} are the main components with a

 e_{Θ} are the main components with a $CO_{=2-M}/N_{=2}$ ratio around 15:85. ratio around 15:85. Traditional technologies using amines or solvents to absorb CO_2 are environmentally unfriendly and energy intensive. Membrane-based gas separation on the other hand is a promising alternative owing to its attractive features, such as smaller footprints, easy operation and energy efficiency. Although many novel membrane materials have been proposed, polymeric membranes still dominate the current membrane market given their good processability, mechanical stability and low price. Nevertheless, conventional polymeric membranes suffer from a universal 'trade-off' relation between permeability and selectivity, known as the Robeson upper bound [2,3]. Extensive efforts have been devoted to improve the gas separation performance (permeability and selectivity) of polymeric membranes, which include polymer blending [4], post-treatment of the membranes via cross-linking [5] or thermal rearrangement [6] and the development of novel nanoporous polymers, such as polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs) [7,8]. In this line, one of the most promising approaches to overcome polymers' gas separation performance is to use mixed-matrix membranes (MMMs), consisting of a blend of selected fillers dispersed in a continuous polymeric matrix. This strategy allows to combine into one membrane the better separation performance of the selected fillers with the good processability and low price of polymeric membranes. Numerous porous fillers such as zeolites [9,10] and <u>Mm</u>etal-<u>Oo</u>rganic **F**frameworks (MOFs) [11,12] have been successfully incorporated into different polymers to prepare MMMs, resulting in an improved separation performance compared to the bare polymer membranes.

Porous organic frameworks (POFs) [13], such as covalent organic frameworks (COFs) [14,15], conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs) [16], porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs) [17] and covalent triazine-based frameworks (CTFs) [18], are a relatively new category of porous materials constructed from covalent bonds. Given their diversified porosities, tunable chemical properties, inherent light weight and exceptional chemical and thermal stabilities, POFs are promising candidates to be used as fillers in MMMs for CO₂ separation [19,20]. For example, Jin et al al [21] studied the effect of dispersing COF nanosheets in polyether-block-amide (PEBA) and prepared PEBA-based MMMs, which showed a 56% improvement in the ideal selectivity towards CO₂/N₂ compared to the pure PEBA membrane with only 1 wt% COF loading. Zhu et al [22] developed a new synthesis protocol to prepare self-supported PAF-56P@PSF composite hollow fibre membranes with a CO₂ over N₂ selectivity of 38.9 together with good thermal and mechanical stabilities. Recently, our group incorporated ACOF-1 in three different polymers to prepare MMMs for CO₂ separation [23]. The best improvement was observed for Matrimid[®] based MMMs, for which a 20% and 87% increase in CO₂/N₂ selectivity and CO₂ permeability<u>respectively</u> was obtained for 16 wt% COF loading, respectively. These examples underscore the compatibility between POFs with different polymer matrices resulting in MMMs with improved performance when compared to the pure polymers. The fully organic nature of POFs has been reported to account for this good compatibility, in contrast to other inorganic fillers, such as zeolites, for which a poor compatibility resulting in the formation of voids at the filler-polymer interface has often been encountered.

Benzimidazole-linked polymers (BILPs) were first reported in 2011 [24]. BILPs have shown high CO_2 uptakes (up to ~ 6 mmol g⁻¹ at 273 K for BILP-19 [25]) together with high CO_2/N_2 and CO_2/CH_4 adsorption selectivities [26]. This preference for CO_2 has been related to the presence of imidazole functionalities in the POF framework. Further, the excellent thermal and chemical stabilities of BILPs make them desirable for practical separations. Sekizkardes et al. [27] successfully incorporated BILP-101 nanoparticles into the high free volume PIM-1 matrix. The obtained MMMs achieved a 53% enhancement in the CO_2 permeability together with good stability under harsh conditions upon 30 wt% POF loading, further pproving the feasibility of using BILPs as fillers in the the preparation of MMMs.

In terms of fillers, porosity is an important factor affecting their CO_2 uptake and selectivity, playing a key role on the MMMs performance [28,29]. Several studies have been devoted to the influence of the filler particle size and morphology [12,30-33] as well as filler functionalities [34] on the membrane performance. However, the role of the fillers' porosity has remained largely unexplored. Herein, therefore, the effect of filler porosity on the separation performance of POFs-containing MMMs is studied. BILP-101 particles with different porosities were synthesized by controlling the initial polymerization rate and used as fillers in the polyimide Matrimid® Matrimid® 5218. Matrimid® Matrimid® 5218 was chosen as polymer matrix owing to its good CO_2 selectivity together with its high thermal and chemical stabilities and commercial availability. The prepared membranes were tested for CO_2/N_2 separation at 306308 K and the effect of filler porosity, filler loading, and feed pressure on membrane performance was assessed.

2 Experimental Sectionsection

2.1 Materials

Benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde (97%), 1,2,4,5-benzenetetramine tetrahydrochloride, hydrochloric acid (37%), sodium hydroxide (99.99% metals basis), acetone (99.9%) and tetrahydrofuran (THF, 99.9%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%, extra dry over molecular sieves) was purchased from Thermo Fisher. All these starting materials and solvents were used without further purification. Matrimide 5218 ($M_w \approx$ 123,000 g mol⁺⁻¹, $M_n \approx$ 11,000 g mol⁺⁻¹) was kindly supplied by Huntsman Advanced Materials. Matrimide was dried prior to use to remove the adsorbed moisture under vacuum at 453 K for 48 h.

2.2 Synthesis of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101

BILP-101 was synthesized following the same procedure as previously reported by Sekizkardes et al. [35] In a typical synthesis, a 100 mL round bottom flask was charged with 1,2,4,5-benzenetetramine tetrahydrochloride (100 mg, 0.35 mmol), 60 mL anhydrous DMF, and a stirring bar. The resultant homogeneous solution was degassed under N₂ for 1 h, cooled to approximately 243 K and treated drop-wise with a solution of 1,3,5-triformylbenzene (40 mg, 0.23 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (15 mL). The temperature was maintained at *ca.* 243 K for 1 h during which a dark-brown solid formed. The resultant slurry solution was then left to warm to room temperature overnight, flushed with air for 30 min, and further heated to 403 K in an oven, temperature at which the reaction mixture was kept for 2 days. The solid was then collected by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 10 min for 2 cycles and subsequently washed with DMF, acetone, water, 1 M HCl, 1 M NaOH, water and acetone. Finally, the product was dried overnight at 393 K under vacuum.

RT-BILP-101 was prepared following the same procedure as described for BILP-101, but without the low temperature treatment, *i.e.*, 1,3,5-triformylbenzene was added to the 1,2,4,5-benzenetetramine tetrahydrochloride solution at room temperature (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Schematic of the procedure followed for the synthesis of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101.

alt-text: Scheme 1

2.3 Preparation of mixed-matrix membranes (MMMs)

To prepare the MMMs, the required amount of BILP-101 particles (or RT-BILP-101) was first dispersed in THF and sonicated for $\frac{3030 \text{ min.}}{3030 \text{ min.}}$ To this suspension, $\frac{0.20.2 \text{ q}}{0.20.2 \text{ q}}$ of dried $\frac{\text{Matrimid@Mat$

The prepared suspensions were then casted onto a clean glass plate with the help of a Doctor Blade knife. The cast membranes were immediately covered with a small watch glass to prevent too fast evaporation of the solvent. The glass plate was further covered with a square box and together with four small vials containing THF to create a saturated THF atmosphere. All these measures were taken to slow down the evaporation rate of THF, thereby preventing the formation of defects during membrane drying. The membranes were first left to dry overnight at room temperature and then dried under vacuum for 2424 h at 423423K.

The pure polymer membranes were prepared following the same procedure as the MMMs, but without the extra step of dispersing BILP-101 or RT-BILP-101 particles. The final thickness of the resulting membranes was the average value of several measurements from various positions individually evaluated by a digital micrometer (Mitutoyo, IP54 Absolute Digimatic Micrometer, MDQ-30, range <u>0-300-30 mm, mm, accuracy ± 0.001 mm</u>).

2.4 Filler and membrane characterization

Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectra of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 powders were acquired in a Nicolet 8700 FT-IR (Thermo Scientific) spectrometer equipped with a high temperature cell with CaF₂ windows. The samples were pretreated in a He flow at 393393 K for 55 min prior to collecting the spectra.

One-dimensional ¹³C CP/MAS solid state NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III spectrometer operating at 600600 MHz resonance frequencies for ¹H. Experiments at 600600 MHz employed a conventional doubleresonance 3.23.2 mm CP/MAS probe or a 2.52.5 mm double-resonance probe. Dry nitrogen gas was used for sample spinning to prevent degradation. NMR chemical shifts are reported with respect to the external references TMStetramethylsilane and adamantane. For ¹³C CP/MAS NMR experiments, the following sequence was used: 90° pulse on the proton (pulse length 2.42.4 s), then a cross-polarization step with contact time of typically 2 ms, 2 ms, and finally acquisition of the ¹³C NMR signal under high-power proton decoupling. The delay between the scans was set to 55 to allow the complete relaxation of the ¹H nuclei, and the number of scans ranged between 10,000 and 20,000. An exponential apodization function corresponding to a line broadening of 6080 Hz was applied prior to Fourier transformation. The sample spinning frequency was 4515 kHz and 20 KHz.

Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD) patterns of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 were recorded using a Bruker-D8 Advanced diffractometer with Co- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.78897$ Å). The samples were scanned in the $2\frac{1}{2}\theta$ range of 5-80° using a step size of 0.02° and a scan speed of 0.4 s per step in a continuous scanning mode.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) micrographs were acquired using a JEOL JSM-6010LA InTouchScope microscope equipped with an integrated SDD EDS detector. BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 particles were directly put on

the sample holder and cross-section of the prepared membranes were obtained by cryo-fracturing in liquid nitrogen. All the samples were sputtered with gold for 6060s prior to acquiring SEM micrographs.

 N_2 physisorption (77(7) K) and CO_2 adsorption isotherms (273(273 K) of BILP-101, RT-BILP-101 and the resulting MMMs were recorded in a Tristar II 3020 (Micromeritics). Argon adsorption isotherm was performed in 3Flex (Micromeritics) instrument. Prior to the gas adsorption measurements, the samples were degassed at 423423 K under N_2 flow for 1616 h to remove any solvent or moisture trapped in the polymer network. The pore size distribution (PSD) curves of the prepared BILPs fillers and corresponding MMMs was estimated by CO_{a2} . prr (Density functional theory) model, using a non-negative regularization method with a factor of 0.03160. The standard deviation of the fit is 0.002057, 0.001954, 0.001904 and 0.002594 mmol g^{-1} for RT BILP-101, BILP-101, BILP-101 MMMs and 16wt% RT BILP-101 MMMs, respectively. For the PSD calculation based on Ar adsorption, a Non Local DFT (NLDFT) model was employed with the assumption of cylindrical pores, using a non-negative regularization method with a factor of 0.03160. The standard deviation of the fit is 0.36770 and 0.52406 cm^{-3.3(611)} (STP) g⁻¹⁻¹ for BILP-101, BILP-101, and RT BILP-101, respectively. for BILP-101 and RT BILP-101, respectively.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed in a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851e apparatus by measuring the mass loss of the sample while heating BILPs and the prepared membranes under air flow (100 mL min⁴⁻¹) from room temperature to 10731073K at a heating rate of 55 K min⁴⁻¹.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) measurements were carried out using a Perkin Elmer DSC 7 equipment to assess the glass transition temperature (T_g) of the neat membrane and the MMMs. The scanning range was 298-698 K at a heating rate of 10 K min⁴²¹ under nitrogen atmosphere. Two consecutive runs were performed. <u>AThe</u> first DSC cycle was performed to remove thermal history and adsorbed water from the samples. After cooling, a second cycle was performed following the same procedure. The glass transition temperature (T_g) value was calculated as the middle point of the slope transition in the DSC curve.

2.5 Gas permeation experiments

Membrane areas of 3.14 cm^2 were cut from the casted membrane films, placed on a macroporous support and mounted in a flange between Vitons origins. This flange fits in a permeation module, which was placed inside an oven in the permeation home-made setup described elsewhere [36]. The CO_2/N_2 separation measurements were performed employing a $15:85 \ CO_2:N_2$ gas mixture $(23 \text{ mLmin}^{+-1} \ CO_2$ and 130 mLmin^{+-1} of N_2) as feed. Helium $(4.6 \text{ mLmin}^{+-1})$ was used as sweep gas at the permeate side. The absolute pressure of the feed stream was adjusted in a range of 2-5 bar using a back-pressure controller at the retentate side, while keeping the permeate side at atmospheric pressure. The temperature in the permeation module was kept at 308K when collecting the data at different feed pressures for fresh membranes. The aged membranes were first tested at different feed pressures (up to 5bar) and then at different temperatures. The temperature in the permeation module was kept at 308K when collecting the data at different feed pressures for fresh membranes. The aged membranes were first tested at different feed pressures (up to 5bar) and then at different temperatures. The temperature in the permeation module was kept at 308 K when collecting the data at different feed pressures for fresh membranes. The aged membranes were first tested at different feed pressures (up to 5bar) and then at different temperatures. The aged membranes were first tested at different feed pressures (up to 5bar) and then at different temperatures. The aged membranes were first tested at different feed pressures (up to 5bar) and then at different temperatures.

An on-line gas chromatograph (Interscience Compact GC) equipped with a packed Carboxen 1010 PLOT (30 m × 0.32 mm) column and TCD detector was used to periodically analyse the permeate stream. Each membrane was fabricated and measured 2-3 times to ensure reproducibility of the reported data. In all cases, gas separation performance was evaluated after ensuring steady operation.

Gas separation performance was defined by the separation factor (a) and the gas permeability (P) of the individual components. The permeability for the i-component (Pi) was calculated as follows (Eq. (1)):

$$P_i = \frac{F_i \cdot l}{\Delta p_i \cdot A} \tag{1}$$

where F_i denotes the molar flow rate of compound *i*, *l* is the thickness of the membrane measured by a digital micrometer and *A* is the membrane area. Δp_i is the partial pressure difference of the component *i* across the membrane and it can be calculated according to Eq. (2).

$$\Delta p_i = p_{feed} \times Y_{i, feed} - p_{perm} \times X_{i, perm}$$

where p_{leed} and p_{perm} represent the pressures at the feed and permeate sides and Y_{i, feed} and X_{i, perm} are the molar fractions of the component i in the feed and permeate gas streams, respectively.

The SI unit for the permeability is molemols^{4_1m} m^{4_12n} Pa^{4_1}. However, gas permeabilities are commonly reported in the widely used non-SI unit Barrer, where 1 Barrer=3.35×10⁻¹⁶mole^{4_1m} m^{4_12n} Pa^{4_1}

The separation factor or mixed gas selectivity (a) was calculated as the ratio of the permeability of the more permeable compound (CO₂) to the permeability of the less permeable compound (N₂) (Eq. (3)).

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{CO2}}{P_{N2}}$$

(2)

3 Results and discussion 3.1 Characterization of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101

BILP-101 particles were synthesized by a condensation reaction following the synthetic route recently <u>developed-reported</u> for BILP [26,35,37]. This approach requires the slow addition of aldehyde at low temperatures (243 K) during the initial polymerization stages to control the overall porosity of the final product (*vida supra*). In this work, we synthesized two different POFs: BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101. BILP-101 was obtained following the procedure previously reported by Sekizkardes <u>et al</u>et al. [35], in which the 1,2,4,5-benzenetetramine tetrahydrochloride solution was cooled to 243 K prior to drop-wise adding the aldehyde-containing solution (see experimental section). Aiming at tuning the polymer porosity, RT-BILP-101 was prepared following the same procedure as described for BILP-101, but at room temperature (Scheme 1). This influences the early stages of the condensation reaction, affecting[tering the initial polymerization rate and ultimately affectsing the porosity of the resulting product.

Both products were characterized by DRIFTS, solid-state ¹³C cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (CP/MAS) NMR spectroscopy, XRD and N₂ and CO₂ adsorption. The DRIFT spectra acquired for BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 (Fig. 1a) indicate the same chemical connectivity for these two polymers and confirmed the imidazole ring formation. Particularly, the bands at 1611 cm⁺⁻¹ (-C

N-) and 1499 and 1440 cm⁻¹ (assigned to the skeleton vibration of the imidazole ring) [26,38] point to the successful imidazole ring closure upon poly-condensation. These observations are further supported by the bands at 1362 cm⁻¹ (C-N stretching) and 1641 cm⁻¹ (N-H bending), which confirmed the formation of the benzimidazole ring. Also, the absence of a band at 1700 cm⁴⁻¹, which could be ascribed to the residual C

O stretching of the aldehyde moieties, points to a complete consumption of the starting aldehyde functional groups. This was corroborated by ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectroscopy. For both materials, BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101, the ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectra show a peak at δ =151 ppm (Fig. 1b), corresponding to the N=C-N imidazole carbon.



Fig. 1 🚓 (a) DRIFT spectra of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 acquired at 39333 K under He atmosphere and (b) assignments of the ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectra obtained for BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101.

alt-text: Fig. 1

The thermal stability of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 was supported by TGA under air atmosphere. The results show that BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 are stable up to 635635 K (Fig. S1), while the initial weight losses, before 373373 K, can be attributed to the removal of the adsorbed moisture. Transmission electron and scanning electron microscopy micrographs (Figs. 2a and b, 3a and 4a) reveal the formation of homogenous spherical-shaped particles for both BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101. In the case of RT-BILP-101, however, a decrease in the particle size can be observed compared to BILP-101 (from $240 \pm 10240 \pm 1010$ m to $310 \pm 10310 \pm 1000$ m. for the former and the latter case, respectively, Fig. 2c and d). We relate this to the higher initial reaction rate at room temperature than at 243243 K, favouring nucleation and resulting in the observed decrease of particle size. As expected, both BILPs are amorphous as determined by powder X-ray diffraction (Fig. S2).



Fig. 2 TEM micrographs (a and b), and particle size distribution (c and d) of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101, respectively. N₂ (e) and CO₂ (f) adsorption / desorption isotherms of BILP particles. Closed symbols represent the adsorption and open symbols represent the desorption

branches. -Black and red correspond to BILP 101 and RTBILP 101, respectively. Inset in (f) shows the pore size distribution (PSD) of BILP 101 and RTBILP 101 calculated from the COBlack and red correspond to BILP-101 and RTBILP-101, respectively. Inset in (f) shows the pore size

distribution (PSD) of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 calculated from the CO₋₂₂ -adsorption isotherms.adsorption isotherms.

alt-text: Fig. 2



Fig. 3 SEM micrographs of (a) BILP-101 and the cross-section of (b) 88 wt%wt.% BILP-101@Matrimid@, BILP-101@Matrimid@, c) 1616 wt%wt.% BILP-101@Matrimid@ and (d) 2424 wt%wt.% BILP-101@Matrimid@.

alt-text: Fig. 3



Fig. 4 SEM micrographs of (a) RT-BILP-101 and the cross-section of (b) <u>B8wt%wt.% RT-BILP-101@Matrimid®, RT-BILP-101@Matrimid®</u> (c) <u>1616wt%wt.% RT-BILP-101@Matrimid® RT-BILP-101@Matrimid®</u> and (d) <u>2424wt%wt.% RT-BILP-101@Matrimid®, RT-BILP-101@Matrimid®</u>.

BILPs' porosity was investigated via N_2 adsorption acquired at 77 K (Fig. 2e). The isotherms exhibit a steep uptake at low relative pressures ($p/p_0 < 0.1$), indicating the microporous nature of the two prepared polymers. The calculated Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) areas of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 are 460 and 180 m² g⁺⁼¹, respectively. As expected, BILP-101 possesses a higher BET surface area, which can indeed be ascribed to its slower initial formation rate (*vide supra*), This slower initial polymerization preventings the premature precipitation of oligomers during the initial polymerization stages and allowings for a better pore formation resulting in an overall enhanced porosity [39,40]. Furthermore, Fig. 2f shows the low-pressure CO₂ adsorption isotherms acquired for RT-BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 are 273 K. At 1 bar, the CO₂ uptake observed for BILP-101 reach up to 3.2 mmol g⁺⁼¹, which is comparable to other POFs [26,41-45]. RT-BILP-101 on the other hand exhibits relatively lower CO₂ adsorption capacity (2.2 mmol g⁺⁼¹ at 1 bar and 273 K), in line with N₂ adsorption results. The inset in Fig. 2f presents the pore size distribution (PSD) curves calculated from the adsorption branch of the CO₂ isotherms. Both BILPs show a bimodal pore structure with pores centered around 5.2Å and a broader range of pores between Both BILPs show a bimodal pore structure with pores centered around 5.2Å and a broader range of pores between 7 and 9Å. BILP-101 presents more open pore volume than RT-BILP-101, being more prone to the penetration of the polymer chains, as discussed below. 7 and 9Å. BILP-101

however presentspossesses more open pore volume than RT-BILP-101, being more prone to the penetration of the polymer chains, as discussed below.

All in all, two different BILPs were successfully synthesized with the same chemical connectivity, but with different textural properties. This was achieved by tuning the temperature in the early polymerization stages, influencing the initial reaction rate and allowing for a certain degree of control over the overall BILP porosity. In order to further evaluate the potential of these two BILPs for post-combustion CO₁₂ capture, the adsorption selectivities of CO_{capture}, the adsorption selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with reported values selectivity of 89 and 60 at 273 K, respectively. These results are in line with r

3.2 Characterization of BILPs MMMs

In order to study the influence of the filler porosity on the performance of MMMs in gas separation, MMMs were prepared with both BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 particles. SEM micrographs of the surface (Fig. S5) and cross-section (Figs. 3 and 4) of the prepared membranes were acquired. The images show that both BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 are randomly dispersed in the polymer matrix at low weight loading (8(8 wt%) and began to undergo slightly aggregation at higher loadings. No evident interfacial voids or pinholes could be observed even at 2424 wt% BILP loading. In addition, both fillers retain their spherical morphology upon membrane preparation. In comparison with BILP-101 MMMs, RT-BILP-101 MMMs exhibit better filler dispersion and a more integrated morphology, where RT-BILP-101 particles are uniformely wrapped by the polymer chains are observed. Fig. S6 presents the thermogravimetric analyse (TGA) acquired for the bare Matrimid@ Matrimid@ membranes. together with those obtained for the BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101-based MMMs. The TGA results of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 were also included for comparison. As mentioned above, BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 were stable up to 635635 K, temperature at which itheyt starts decomposing. This decomposition temperature is higher for the pure Matrimid@ Matrimid@ and the resulting MMMs for which decomposition starts at around 750750 K. Further, the absence of weight loss at lower temperatures, for both MMMs and pure membranes, ensures the complete removal of solvents after the selected membrane drying procedure. Further Noteworthy, the absence of weight loss at lower temperatures, ensures the complete removal of solvents after the selected membrane drying procedure.

DSC and CO_2 adsorption measurements were performed on the MMMs to gain further insight into the filler-polymer interface. DSC results show that the T_g of all the MMMs (Table S2) are similar to that of bare Matrimid[®] (~ 594 K), suggesting that there is no significant rigidification of the polymeric chains around the BILP particles, This is in line with like previously reported results on POF-MMMs [20,46]. To check whether the BILP pores are still available in the MMMs, CO_2 adsorption isotherms were acquired at 273 K for both bare Matrimid[®] and the prepared MMMs. The incorporation of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 into the polymeric matrix results in an enhanced CO_2 uptake, achieving higher CO_2 uptakes compared to pure Matrimid[®] in all cases (Fig. S7). As expected, the higher the filler loading, the higher the maximum amount of CO_2 that can be adsorbed, indicating that the filler pores are still accessible to CO_2 once the BILP particles have been embedded in the polymeric matrix. In addition, the RT-BILP-101 MMMs can reach almost the same CO_2 uptake compared to BILP-101 MMMs at the same loading. In order to gain further the influence of the filler on the adsorption capacity of the resulting MMMs, the estimated CO_2 uptake for the 16 wt% MMMs calculated as a linear combination of those uptakes for the pure components is shown in Fig. 5, together with the experimentally measured isotherms for that filler loading. Experimentally obtained CO_2 uptakes are somewhat lower than those calculated for both BILP-101 MMMs, pointing to a partial pore blockage of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 by the polymer chains [23,46]. The difference between the estimated CO_2 uptake and the experimental one is larger for BILP-101 MMMs (33.1% porosity loss for BILP-101) than for RT-BILP-101 MMMs (25.8% loss), suggesting that the bigger porosity of BILP-101 is more easily blocked by the polymer.



(New figure has been provided for Fig. 5 in which the Y axis is changed) Fig. 5 CO₂ adsorption isotherms acquired for BILP-101, RT-BILP-101 and the prepared membranes at 273273K. The calculated value was obtained by a linear combination of those uptakes for the pure

components.

This is further supported by the pore size distribution curves of the MMMs calculated from the adsorption branch of CO This is further supported by the pore size distribution curves of the MMMs calculated from the adsorption branch of the CO 22 isotherms (Fig. S8 should read Fig. S8) (Fig. S8). Both, BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 based MMMs showed a drastic reduction in the pore volume once the filler is embedded in Matrimid®, given the dense nature of the latter). Both, BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 once incorporated in the polymeric matrix. We hypothesize that, together with a reduction in the size of the bigger pores and in the expected CO shows however a more pronounced reduction of the pore volume for BILP-101 than for RT-BILP-101 once incorporated in the polymeric matrix. We hypothesize that, together with a reduction in the size of the bigger pores and in the expected CO 22 inptake (*vide supravide supra)*, this points to the partial blockage of the filler pores, which may take place together with a partial collapse of the BILP-101 porous polymer. As already observed for the CO 22 isotherms, this effect is more prominent for BILP-101.

3.3 Gas separation performance

The flat sheet blace Matrimid[®] membranes and MMMs containing different loadings (0, 8, 16 and 24 wt%) of BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 particles were shaped in the form of flat sheets membranes and tested in the separation of CO₂ from a 15:85 CO₂/N₂ gas mixture at 308 K. Besides the effect of the filler porosity on the membrane performance, the influence of the feed pressure was also investigated, and thus varied between 2 and 5 bar. At least 2-3 membranes were tested for each BILP loading in order to provide reliable error estimations. All the prepared membranes can withstand the permeation test conditions, indicating a good mechanical stability of the membranes under relevant conditions for post-combustion capture. Further, in line with the measured $\frac{1}{2}\pi_{gr}$ values, the membranes remain flexible upon 24% BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loading (values, the membranes remain flexible upon 24% BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loading (values, the membranes remain flexible upon 24% BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loading (values, the membranes remain flexible upon 24% BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loading (values, the membranes remain flexible upon 24% BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loading (values, the membranes were tested to the he enhanced CO₂ permeability preserving the original CO₂/N₂ mixed gas selectivities (Fig. 6). The gas permeability increases with increasing BILP loading, for both BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loading (values, the membranes were tested to the hermostane test with increasing BILP loading, for both BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 and RT-BILP-1



Fig. 6 Performance of MMMs with different BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 loadings in the separation of CO₂ from a 15:85 CO₂/N₂ mixture at 200308 K and a feed pressure of 22 bar Grey, green and purple colour correspond to the pure Matrimid®, Matrimid®, BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101 MMMs, respectively. Error bars correspond to the standard deviation of different membranes. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

alt-text: Fig. 6

It is noteworthy that MMMs fabricated with BILP-101, which possesses a higher BET surface area, showed a lower CO₂ permeability than the RT-BILP-101 MMMs at the same loading. This may originate from the pore blocking by the polymer chains as indicated by CO₂ adsorption (Fig. 5), being more significant for BILP-101 than RT-BILP-101 due to the big porosity and more open pore volume.

The gas permeability increases with increasing BILP loading, for both BILP-101 and RTBILP-101, attributed to the additional transport pathways introduced by the incorporation of the filler. Particularly, for the 2424 wt% RTBILP-101 MMMs, the CO_2 permeability increased by a factor of 2.8 (from 9.6 to 27 Barrer) as compared to bare Matrimid@Matrimid@Matrimid@with constant CO_2/N_2 selectivity. Fig. 7 shows the influence of feed pressure on the gas permeation performance of the membrane. Both the CO_2 and N_2 permeability of the BILP MMMs are higher than the pure Matrimid@Matrimid@ through the whole pressure range except for $\frac{68 \text{ wt}\%}{68 \text{ wt}\%}$ loading, which is close to Matrimid@-Matrimid@. The CO_2 permeability decreases with increasing feed pressure. This is a well-known for components adsorbing in the nonlinear pressure range. Saturation of Langmuir adsorption sites in zeolites [47,48] and glassy polymers [23,46,49] at higher pressures results in a decrease in the membrane permeability. For the case of $\frac{2424 \text{ wt}\%}{2424 \text{ wt}\%}$ loading BILP-101 MMMs (see Table S3 for the detailed value, only one low pressure data was put in Fig. 7a for clarity), the presences of small defects play a role at high pressures, leading to the fast diffusion of N_2 and thereby decrease the CO_2/N_2 selectivity significantly.





alt-text: Fig. 7

To gain further insight into the long-term membrane performance, 16wt.% loading MMMs (BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101) were re-tested after 4 months (To gain further insight into the long-term membrane performance, 16wt% loading MMMs (BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101) were re-tested after 4 months (Table S4), indicating that the separation performance was maintained. As expected, a slight decrease in the membrane permeability was observed, from 17.0 to 15.1 Barrer and from 13.0 to 11.3 Barrer for RT-BILP-101 and BILP-101 based MMMs, respectively, while keeping a relatively constant selectivity. This decrease in permeability is related to a decrease in the excess free volume of glassy polymers after physical aging.), indicating that the separation performance was maintained. As expected, a slight decrease in the membrane permeability are observed, from 13.0 to 11.3 Barrer for RT-BILP-101 and BILP-101 based MMMs, respectively, while keeping a relatively constant selectivity. This decrease in the membrane permeability was observed, from 17.0 to 15.1 Barrer and from 13.0 to 11.3 Barrer for RT-BILP-101 and BILP-101 and BILP-101 based MMMs, respectively, while keeping a relatively constant selectivity. This decrease in the excess free volume of glassy polymers after physical aging.

Considering the practical post-combustion CO_C considering the practical post-combustion $CO_{=2}^{2}$ capture process, the flue gas needs to be reheated to prevent corrosion problems caused by water vapour condensation in the stack [50]. Thus, the effect of temperature (308-358K) on gas separation performance was further investigated to better understand the actual performance of BILP MMMs in real industrial applications. The gas permeability increases with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease with increasing temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompanied by a decrease in the CO. Thus, the effect of temperature while this is accompany to the te

$$P_i = P_{i,0} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{P,i}}{RT}\right) \tag{4}$$

where E_{P_i} , P_i and $P_{i,0}$ represent the activation energy, the gas permeability and the pre-exponential factor of a gas component *i*, respectively, *T* is the absolute temperature and *R* is the ideal gas constant. Similarly to zeolite membranes [51], the activation energy, E_{p_i} is determined by two principlemain factors: the penetrants molecular size, which affects diffusivity, and the interaction with the polymer matrix, related to the penetrants' solubility] and is given by the following equation:

$$E_p = E_d + \Delta H_s$$

where E_d is the required energy for diffusion and increases with the penetrants' molecular size, being positive for a diffusion activated processes, and ΔH_s is the heat of adsorption, being more negative for more soluble gases.

(5)

An increase of temperature therefore results in two counteractive effects: on the one hand side, the gas solubility decreases, being this effect more pronounced for the more soluble gas, *i.e.* CO₂; on the other hand, the diffusivity increases, being this enhancement larger for the penetrant with the higher molecular size, *i.e.* N₂. This increase in diffusivity is related to a positive E_{d^2} implying a diffusion activated processes, together with a higher mobility of the polymer segmental chains [52,53]. Overall, the diffusivity increase dominates, resulting in an enhanced permeability for both, CO₂ and N₂. This permeability increase is higher for N₂, leading to a decrease in the resultant permselectivity (Table S5). This is in line with the calculated E_p activation energy values (Table 1): the positive values results into an increase of the gas permeability with temperature, this enhancement being larger for the penetrant with a higher E_{q_2} *i.e.* N₂.



alt-text: Fig. 8

Table 1 Activation energies for the permeation of CO_2 and N_2 in aged $\frac{1616 \text{ wt}\%}{1616 \text{ wt}\%}$ BILP MMMs at $\frac{22 \text{ bar}}{1620 \text{ cm}}$ feed pressure.

alt-text: Table 1

Membrane	E _p <mark>(kJ mol</mark> (kJ mol ^{™4} -1)	
	CO ₂	N_2
Aged <u>1616 wt%</u> BILP-101 MMMs @ <u>Matrimid®Matrimid®</u>	7.1	22.6
Aged <u>1616 wt%wt.% RT-BILP-101 @_RT-BILP-101</u> @ Matrimid	7.7	21.9

Finally, the separation performance of BILP 101 and RTBILP 101 based MMMs were compared with the Robeson upper bound together with the performance of other reported POF containing MMMs (Fig. 9 and and Table S6). The performance of the MMMs prepared in this study is located in the same region as the membranes reported with other POF fillers, such as ACOF 1 or BILP 4. Although a significant increase in CO). The performance of the MMMs prepared in this study is located in the same region as the membranes reported with other POF fillers, such as ACOF 1 or BILP 4. Although a significant increase in CO are permeability was achieved by incorporating RTBILP 101 fillers in Matrimid®, the overall performance still stays below the upper bound limit due to the low permeability of pure Matrimid®. Our results are therefore in line with other POF based MMMs prepared with low permeable polymers, for which by adding the filler the gas permeability of pure Matrimid®. Our results are therefore in Matrimid®, the overall performance still stays below the upper bound limit due to the low permeability was achieved by incorporating RT-BILP-101 fillers in Matrimid®. Our results are therefore in line with other POF based MMMs prepared with low permeable polymers, for which by adding the filler the gas permeability of pure Matrimid®. Our results are therefore in line with other POF based MMMs prepared with low permeability can be typically increased while scarifying or maintaining the selectivity.



Fig. 9 Robeson plot for CO_2/N_2 separation, showing the gas separation performance of the prepared BILPs-based MMMs (represented by red triangles and corresponding to 16 and 2424 wt% filler loading). Most relevant results reported in literature for POF-based MMMs are also included for comparison (Table S6). The black line corresponds to the 2008 Robeson bound line and the arrows indicate the trend of separation performance from pure polymer to POF based MMMs. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

alt-text: Fig. 9

4 Conclusions

Porous BILPs with different porosities (BILP-101 and RT-BILP-101) were prepared and dispersed in Matrimid@Matrimid@ to prepare MMMs. Their structures and performance were compared in this study. Through controlling the initial polymerization rate, RT-BILP-101 with lower porosity than BILP-101 was synthesized than BILP 101. DRIFTS and ¹³C CP-CMS NMR measurements confirms the same chemical connectivity of twofor both BILPs. In the MMMs, a good dispersion and adhesion between the fillers and the polymer matrix was obtained. No rigidification was observed for the polymer chains after incorporating both BILPs the different fillers. The incorporation of both BILPs into Matrimid@Matrimid@ enhanced the gas permeability for both CO_2 and N_2 , while keeping the mixed gas selectivity constant. The permeability increases with increasing the filler loading attributed to the fast diffusion pathways introduced by the porous BILP network, while the polymer still controls the selectivity. Besides, MMMs fabricated with RT-BILP-101 exhibits higher gas permeability than BILP-101 MMMs at the same loading, due to the lower partial pore blockage of the porosity by the polymer in the MMMs.

Overall, our results demonstrate that the porosity of the filler has an impact on the MMM's permeation performance and should be helpful for the design of POF-based MMMs considering that the relatively large POF pores for gas separation.

Acknowledgements

B.S. gratefully acknowledges the Netherlands National Science Foundation (NWO) for her personal VENI grant. M.S. gratefully acknowledges the support from the China Scholarship Council.

Appendix A. Supporting information Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2018.08.023.

References

- [1] Y.-S. Bae and R.Q. Snurr, Development and evaluation of porous materials for carbon dioxide separation and capture, *Angewandte Chemie International EditionAngew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 2011, 11586-11596.
- [2] L.M. Robeson, The upper bound revisited, Journal of Membrane Science J. Membr. Sci. 320, 2008, 390-400.
- [3] H.B. Park, J. Kamcev, L.M. Robeson, M. Elimelech and B.D. Freeman, Maximizing the right stuff: The trade-off between membrane permeability and selectivity, Science 356, 2017, eaab0530.
- [4] A. Bos, I. Pünt, H. Strathmann and M. Wessling, Suppression of gas separation membrane plasticization by homogeneous polymer blending, AIChE Journal, 47, 2001, 1088-1093.
- [5] X.Y. Chen, V.-T. Hoang, D. Rodrigue and S. Kaliaguine, Optimization of continuous phase in amino-functionalized metal-organic framework (MIL-53) based co-polyimide mixed matrix membranes for CO₂/CH₄ separation RSC <u>AdvancesAdv</u>, 3, 2013, 24266-24279.

[6] S.H. Han, J.E. Lee, K.-J. Lee, H.B. Park and Y.M. Lee, Highly gas permeable and microporous polybenzimidazole membrane by thermal rearrangement, Journal of Membrane Science J. Membr. Sci. 357, 2010, 143-151.

- [7] P. Gorgojo, S. Karan, H.C. Wong, M.F. Jimenez-Solomon, J.T. Cabral and A.G. Livingston, Ultrathin polymer films with intrinsic microporosity: <u>Anomalous anomalous</u> solvent permeation and high flux membranes, <u>Advanced Functional Materials</u> Adv. Funct. Mater. 24, 2014, 4729-4737.
- [8] M. Carta, R. Malpass-Evans, M. Croad, Y. Rogan, J.C. Jansen, P. Bernardo, F. Bazzarelli and N.B. McKeown, An efficient polymer molecular sieve for membrane gas separations, Science 339, 2013, 303-307.
- [9] I. Kiesow, D. Marczewski, L. Reinhardt, M. Mühlmann, M. Possiwan and W.A. Goedel, Bicontinuous zeolite polymer composite membranes prepared via float casting, *Journal of the American Chemical Society J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 2013, 4380-4388.
- [10] T.W. Pechar, S. Kim, B. Vaughan, E. Marand, M. Tsapatsis, H.K. Jeong and C.J. Cornelius, Fabrication and characterization of polyimide-zeolite L mixed matrix membranes for gas separations, *Journal of Membrane Science*, <u>Membr. Sci.</u> 277, 2006, 195-202.
- [11] T. Rodenas, M. van Dalen, E. García-Pérez, P. Serra-Crespo, B. Zornoza, F. Kapteijn and J. Gascon, Visualizing MOF mixed matrix membranes at the nanoscale: towards structure-performance relationships in CO₂/CH₄ separation over NH₂-MIL-53(Al)@PI, <u>Advanced Functional MaterialsAdv. Funct. Mater</u> 24, 2014, 249-256.
- [12] T. Rodenas, I. Luz, G. Prieto, B. Seoane, H. Miro, A. Corma, F. Kapteijn, F.X. Llabrés, I. Xamena and J. Gascon, Metal-organic framework nanosheets in polymer composite materials for gas separation, <u>Nature Materials Nat.</u> <u>Mater.</u> 14, 2015, 48–55.
- [13] L. Zou, Y. Sun, S. Che, X. Yang, X. Wang, M. Bosch, Q. Wang, H. Li, M. Smith, S. Yuan, Z. Perry and H.C. Zhou, Porous organic polymers for post-combustion carbon capture, Advanced Materials Adv. Mater. 29, 2017, 1700229.

[14] X. Feng, X. Ding and D. Jiang, Covalent organic frameworks, *Chemical Society Reviews Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41, 2012, 6010-6022.

- [15] S.-Y. Ding and W. Wang, Covalent organic frameworks (COFs): from design to applications, *Chemical Society Reviews, Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 2013, 548-568.
- [16] Y. Xu, S. Jin, H. Xu, A. Nagai and D. Jiang, Conjugated microporous polymers: design, synthesis and application, *Chemical Society ReviewsChem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 2013, 8012–8031.
- [17] H. Ma, H. Ren, X. Zou, S. Meng, F. Sun and G. Zhu, Post-metalation of porous aromatic frameworks for highly efficient carbon capture from CO₂+N₂ and CH₄+N₂ mixtures, Polymer Chemistrymixtures, Polym. Chem., 5, 2014, 144-152.
- [18] A. Bhunia, I. Boldog, A. Moller and C. Janiak, Highly stable nanoporous covalent triazine-based frameworks with an adamantane core for carbon dioxide sorption and separation, *Journal of Materials Chemistry J. Mater.* <u>Chem.</u> A 1, 2013, 14990-14999.
- [19] X. Wu, Z. Tian, S. Wang, D. Peng, L. Yang, Y. Wu, Q. Xin, H. Wu and Z. Jiang, Mixed matrix membranes comprising polymers of intrinsic microporosity and covalent organic framework for gas separation, *Journal of Membrane ScienceJ. Membr. Sci.* 528, 2017, 273–283.
- [20] T.D.M. Tessema, S.R. Venna, G. Dahe, D.P. Hopkinson, H.M. El-Kaderi and A.K. Sekizkardes, Incorporation of benzimidazole linked polymers into Matrimid to yield mixed matrix membranes with enhanced CO₂/N₂ selectivity, *Journal of Membrane ScienceJ. Membr. Sci.* 554, 2018, 90-96.
- [21] C. Zou, Q. Li, Y. Hua, B. Zhou, J. Duan and W. Jin, Mechanical synthesis of COF nanosheet cluster and its mixed matrix membrane for efficient CO₂ removal, ACS <u>Applied Materials & Appl. Mater.</u> Interfaces 9, 2017, 29093-29100.
- [22] L. Meng, X. Zou, S. Guo, H. Ma, Y. Zhao and G. Zhu, Self-Supported Self-supported fibrous porous aromatic membranes for efficient CO₂/N₂ separations, ACS Applied Materials & Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015.
- [23] M. Shan, B. Seoane, E. Rozhko, A. Dikhtiarenko, G. Clet, F. Kapteijn and J. Gascon, Azine-linked covalent organic framework (COF)-based mixed-matrix membranes for CO₂/CH₄ separation, *Chemistry-A European Journal Chem.-Eur. J.* 22, 2016, 14467-14470.
- [24] M.G. Rabbani and H.M. El-Kaderi, Template-free synthesis of a highly porous benzimidazole-linked polymer for CO₂ capture and H₂ storage, *Chemistry of Materials Chem. Mater.* 23, 2011, 1650-1653.

- [25] C. Klumpen, F. Radakovitsch, A. Jess and J. Senker, BILP–19–An ultramicroporous organic network with exceptional carbon dioxide uptake, Molecules 22, 2017, 1343.
- [26] M.G. Rabbani and H.M. El-Kaderi, Synthesis and characterization of porous benzimidazole-linked polymers and their performance in small gas storage and selective uptake, *Chemistry of Materials Chem. Mater.* 24, 2012, 1511–1517.
- [27] A.K. Sekizkardes, V.A. Kusuma, G. Dahe, E.A. Roth, L.J. Hill, A. Marti, M. Macala, S.R. Venna and D. Hopkinson, Separation of carbon dioxide from flue gas by mixed matrix membranes using dual phase microporous polymeric constituents, *Chemical CommunicationsChem. Commun.* 52, 2016, 11768-11771.

[28] L. Zou, Y. Sun, S. Che, X. Yang, X. Wang, M. Bosch, Q. Wang, H. Li, M. Smith, S. Yuan, Z. Perry and H.-C. Zhou, Porous organic polymers for post-combustion carbon capture, Advanced Materials Adv. Mater. 29, 2017, 1700229

- [29] X. Zou, G. Zhu, Microporous organic materials for membrane-based gas separation, Advanced Materials, Adv. Mater., p. 1700750.
- [30] L. Ge, W. Zhou, V. Rudolph and Z. Zhu, Mixed matrix membranes incorporated with size-reduced Cu-BTC for improved gas separation, Journal of Materials Chemistry J. Mater. Chem. A 1, 2013, 6350-6358.
- [31] J. Dechnik, J. Gascon, C. Doonan, C. Janiak and C.J. Sumby, Mixed-matrix membranes, Angewandte Chemie International EditionAngew. Chem. Int. Ed. 129, 2017, 9420-9439.
- [32] J. Sánchez-Laínez, B. Zornoza, S. Friebe, J. Caro, S. Cao, A. Sabetghadam, B. Seoane, J. Gascon, F. Kapteijn, C. Le Guillouzer, G. Clet, M. Daturi, C. Téllez and J. Coronas, Influence of ZIF-8 particle size in the performance of polybenzimidazole mixed matrix membranes for pre-combustion CO₂ capture and its validation through interlaboratory test, *Journal of Membrane ScienceJ. Membr. Sci.* 515, 2016, 45-53.
- [33] A. Sabetghadam, B. Seoane, D. Keskin, N. Duim, T. Rodenas, S. Shahid, S. Sorribas, C.L. Guillouzer, G. Clet and C. Tellez, Metal Organic Framework Crystalsorganic framework crystals in Mixed Matrix Membranes: Impactmixed-matrix membranes: impact of the Filler Morphology filler morphology on the Gas Separation Performance gas separation performance, Advanced Functional Materials Adv. Funct. Mater. 26, 2016, 3154-3163.
- [34] M.W. Anjum, F. Vermoortele, A.L. Khan, B. Bueken, D.E. De Vos and I.F.J. Vankelecom, Modulated UiO-66 based Mixed Matrix Membranesmixed matrix membranes for CO₂ Separation separation, ACS Applied Materials & Appl. Mater. Interfaces 45, 2015, 25193-25201.
- [35] A.K. Sekizkardes, J.T. Culp, T. Islamoglu, A. Marti, D. Hopkinson, C. Myers, H.M. El-Kaderi and H.B. Nulwala, An ultra-microporous organic polymer for high performance carbon dioxide capture and separation, *Communications Chem. Commun.* 51, 2015, 13393-13396.
- [36] B. Zornoza, A. Martinez-Joaristi, P. Serra-Crespo, C. Tellez, J. Coronas, J. Gascon and F. Kapteijn, Functionalized flexible MOFs as fillers in mixed matrix membranes for highly selective separation of CO₂ from CH₄ at elevated pressures, <u>Chemical CommunicationsChem. Commun.</u> 47, 2011, 9522-9524.
- [37] S. Altarawneh, T. İslamoğlu, A.K. Sekizkardes and H.M. El-Kaderi, Effect of acid-catalyzed formation rates of benzimidazole-linked polymers on porosity and selective CO₂ capture from gas mixtures, *Environmental Science & Technology Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 2015, 4715–4723.
- [38] P. Totsatitpaisan, S.P. Nunes, K. Tashiro and S. Chirachanchai, Investigation of the role of benzimidazole-based model compounds on thermal stability and anhydrous proton conductivity of sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone)ether ketone], Solid State IonicsIon. 180, 2009, 738-745.
- [39] P. Pandey, A.P. Katsoulidis, I. Eryazici, Y. Wu, M.G. Kanatzidis and S.T. Nguyen, Imine-linked microporous polymer organic frameworks, Chemistry of Materials Chem. Mater. 22, 2010, 4974–4979.
- [40] F. Svec and J.M. Frechet, Kinetic control of pore formation in macroporous polymers. Formation of "molded" porous materials with high flow characteristics for separations or catalysis, *Chemistry of Materials Chem. Mater.* 7, 1995, 707-715.
- [41] H. Furukawa and O.M. Yaghi, Storage of hydrogen, methane, and carbon dioxide in highly porous covalent organic frameworks for clean energy applications, *Journal of the American Chemical Society J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 2009, 8875–8883.
- [42] M. Zhang, Z. Perry, J. Park and H.-C. Zhou, Stable benzimidazole-incorporated porous polymer network for carbon capture with high efficiency and low cost, Polymer 55, 2014, 335-339.
- [43] T. Ben, C. Pei, D. Zhang, J. Xu, F. Deng, X. Jing and S. Qiu, Gas storage in porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs), Energy & Environmental Science Environ. Sci. 4, 2011, 3991-3999.

- [44] R. Dawson, D.J. Adams and A.I. Cooper, Chemical tuning of CO₂ sorption in robust nanoporous organic polymers, *Chemical Science* Chem. Sci. 2, 2011, 1173-1177.
- [45] A.K. Sekizkardes, T. Islamoglu, Z. Kahveci and H.M. El-Kaderi, Application of pyrene-derived benzimidazole-linked polymers to CO₂ separation under pressure and vacuum swing adsorption settings, <u>*Journal of Materials*</u> <u>*Chemistry J. Mater. Chem. A* 2, 2014, 12492–12500.</u>
- [46] M. Shan, B. Seoane, E. Andres-Garcia, F. Kapteijn and J. Gascon, Mixed-matrix membranes containing an azine-linked covalent organic framework: Influence influence of the polymeric matrix on post-combustion CO₂capture, Journal of Membrane Science J. Membr. Sci. 549, 2018, 377-384.
- [47] J.M. van de Graaf, F. Kapteijn and J.A. Moulijn, Methodological and operational aspects of permeation measurements on silicalite-1 membranes, Journal of Membrane Science J. Membr. Sci. 144, 1998, 87-104.
- [48] A. Cybulski and J.A. Moulijn, Structured catalysts Catalysts and reactors Reactors, 2005, CRC press; Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- [49] T. Li, Y. Pan, K.-V. Peinemann and Z. Lai, Carbon dioxide selective mixed matrix composite membrane containing ZIF-7 nano-fillers, Journal of Membrane Science J. Membr. Sci. 425-426, 2013, 235-242.
- [50] H.Z. Chen, Z. Thong, P. Li and T.-S. Chung, High performance composite hollow fiber membranes for CO₂/H₂ and CO₂/N₂ separation, *International Journal of Hydrogen Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 39, 2014, 5043–5053.
- [51] K. Freek, vdG.J.v.d.G.I. M.M and M.J. A.A. One-component permeation maximum: Diagnostic diagnostic tool for silicalite -1 membranes?, AIChE Journal, 46, 2000, 1096-1100.
- [52] A.L. Khan, X. Li and I.F.J. Vankelecom, SPEEK/Matrimid SPEEK/matrimid blend membranes for CO₂ separation, Journal of Membrane Science J. Membr. Sci., 380, 2011, 55-62.
- [53] A. Ebadi Amooghin, M. Omidkhah and A. Kargari, Enhanced CO₂ transport properties of membranes by embedding nano-porous zeolite particles into Matrimid®5218 matrix, RSC AdvancesAdv 5, 2015, 8552-8565.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Multimedia Component 1

Supplementary material. (Replace Figure S7 (see attached))

Graphical abstract

Filler porosity plays a key role in designing benzimidazole linked polymers (BILPs)-MMMs for CO₂/N₂ separationseparation.



Highlights

- Benzimidazole-linked polymers (BILPs) with two different porosities were successfully synthesized through controlling the initial polymerization rate.
- Both BILPs showed good adhesion with the Matrimid@Matrimid@ polymeric matrix as confirmed by SEM.

- The incorporation of both BILP fillers into Matrimid@Matrimid@ enhanced the gas permeability at constant selectivity.
- This study investigates for the first time the influence of the filler porosity on the performance of POF-containing mixed matrix membranes.

Queries and Answers

Query:

Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly and are presented in the desired order, and please carefully verify the spelling of all authors.

Answer: Done

Query:

Your article is registered as a regular item and is being processed for inclusion in a regular issue of the journal. If this is NOT correct and your article belongs to a Special Issue/Collection please contact k.narasimhan@elsevier.com immediately prior to returning your corrections.

Answer: It is correct, this article is a regular item

Query:

Corresponding author has not been indicated in the author group. Kindly provide the corresponding author's information.

Answer: Corresponding authors: Beatriz Seoane (b.seoanedelacuesta@uu.nl, Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis group, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, Universiteitsweg 99, Utrecht 3584 CG, The Netherlands) and Jorge Gascon (jorge.gascon@kaust.edu.sa, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST Catalysis Center, Advanced Catalytic Materials, Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia)

Query:

Highlights should only consist of 85 characters per bullet point, including spaces. The highlights provided are too long; please edit them to meet the requirement.

Answer: 1. Benzimidazole-linked polymers (BILPs) with two different porosities were synthesized.

- 2. Both BILPs showed good adhesion with the Matrimid® polymeric matrix.
- 3. The incorporation of both BILP fillers into Matrimid® enhanced the gas permeability.
- 4. The filler porosity plays a role in the performance of POF-containing MMMs.

Attachments: Highlights.doc

Query:

"Figs. 6 and 9" have been submitted as color images; however, the captions have been reworded to ensure that they are meaningful when your article is reproduced both in color and in black and white. Please validate if the changes made are ok.

Answer: ok

Query:

Please type the full funder name and country, plus grant IDs in the text, if available. Correctly acknowledging the primary funders and grant IDs of your research is important to ensure compliance with funder policies. Have we correctly interpreted the following funding source(s) you cited in your article: "Netherlands National Science Foundation, The Netherlands" and "China Scholarship Council, China"?

Answer: The acknowledgements should read: B.S. gratefully acknowledges the Dutch National Science Foundation (NWO), The Netherlands, for her personal VENI grant 722.015.007. M. S. gratefully acknowledges the support from the Cina Scholarship Council.