

Delft University of Technology

Quantized Majorana conductance

Zhang, Hao; Liu, Chun Xiao; Gazibegovic, Sasa; Xu, Di; Logan, John A.; Wang, Guanzhong; Van Loo, Nick; Bommer, Jouri D.S.; De Moor, Michiel W.A.; Car, Diana

DOI 10.1038/nature26142

Publication date 2018

Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Published in Nature

Citation (APA) Zhang, H., Liu, C. X., Gazibegovic, S., Xu, D., Logan, J. A., Wang, G., Van Loo, N., Bommer, J. D. S., De Moor, M. W. A., Car, D., Op Het Veld, R. L. M., Van Veldhoven, P. J., Koelling, S., Verheijen, M. A., Pendharkar, M., Pennachio, D. J., Shojaei, B., Lee, J. S., Palmstrøm, C. J., ... Kouwenhoven, L. P. (2018). Quantized Majorana conductance. *Nature*, *556*(7699), 74-79. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature26142

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Quantized Majorana Conductance
2 3	Hao Zhang, Di Xu, Guanzhong Wang, Nick van Loo, Jouri D.S. Bommer, Michiel W.A. de Moor, Leo P. Kouwenhoven ¹
4 5 6	¹ QuTech and Kavli Institute of NanoScience, Delft University of Technology, 2600 GA Delft, the Netherlands Microsoft Station Q Delft, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands
7	Chun-Xiao Liu, S. Das Sarma
8 9 10	Condensed Matter Theory Center and Joint Quantum Institute, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
11 12 13	John A. Logan ^{sb1} , Mihir Pendharkar ^{sb2} , Daniel J. Pennachio ^{sb1} , Borzoyeh Shojaei ^{sb1,sb3} , Joon Sue Lee ^{sb3} , Chris J. Palmstrøm ^{sb1,sb2,sb3}
14 15	^{sb1} Materials Engineering, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA 93106
16 17	^{sb2} Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA 93106
18 19 20 21	^{sb3} California NanoSystems Institute, University of California Santa Materials, Santa Barbara, CA, USA 93106
22 23 24	Sasa Gazibegovic,1,2, Diana Car,1,2, Roy L. M. Op het Veld,1,2 Petrus J. van Veldhoven,2 Sebastian Koelling,2 Marcel A. Verheijen,2,7 Erik P.A.M. Bakkers1,2
25 26	1 QuTech and Kavli Institute of NanoScience, Delft University of Technology, 2600 GA Delft, the Netherlands
27 28	2 Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, the Netherlands
29 30 31	7 Philips Innovation Services Eindhoven, High Tech Campus 11, 5656AE Eindhoven, the Netherlands
27	

33 Majorana zero-modes hold great promise for topological quantum computing. Tunnelling spectroscopy in electrical transport is the primary tool to identify the presence of Majorana 34 zero-modes, for instance as a zero-bias peak (ZBP) in differential-conductance. The 35 36 Majorana ZBP-height is predicted to be quantized at the universal conductance value of 37 $2e^{2}/h$ at zero temperature. Interestingly, this quantization is a direct consequence of the famous Majorana symmetry, "particle equals antiparticle". The Majorana symmetry 38 39 protects the quantization against disorder, interactions, and variations in the tunnel coupling. Previous experiments, however, have shown ZBPs much smaller than $2e^2/h$, with 40 a recent observation of a peak-height close to $2e^2/h$. Here, we report a quantized 41 42 conductance plateau at $2e^2/h$ in the zero-bias conductance measured in InSb semiconductor nanowires covered with an Al superconducting shell. Our ZBP-height 43 44 remains constant despite changing parameters such as the magnetic field and tunnel 45 coupling, i.e. a quantized conductance plateau. We distinguish this guantized Majorana 46 peak from possible non-Majorana origins, by investigating its robustness on electric and magnetic fields as well as its temperature dependence. A fully-developed quantized 47 48 plateau is a necessary step towards demonstrating non-Abelian braiding statistics with 49 Majorana zero-modes.

A semiconductor nanowire coupled to a superconductor can be tuned into a topological superconductor with two Majorana zero-modes localized at the wire ends¹⁻³. Tunnelling into a Majorana mode will show a zero-energy state in the tunnelling density-of-states, i.e. a zero-bias peak (ZBP) in the differential conductance $(dl/dV)^{4.5}$. This tunnelling process is a so-called Andreev reflection, where an incoming electron is reflected as a hole. Particle-hole symmetry dictates that the zero-energy tunnelling amplitudes of electrons and holes are equal, resulting in a perfect resonant transmission with a ZBP-height quantized at $2e^2/h^{6-8}$, irrespective of the precise

tunnelling strength⁹. The Majorana-nature of this perfect Andreev reflection is a direct result of the
well-known Majorana symmetry property "particle equals antiparticle"^{10,11}.

59 Such a predicted robust conductance quantization has not yet been observed^{4,5,12-14}. Instead, most of the ZBPs have a height significantly less than $2e^2/h$. This discrepancy was first 60 explained by thermal averaging¹⁵⁻¹⁸. This explanation, however, does not hold when the peak-61 width exceeds the thermal broadening ($\sim 3.5 k_B T$)^{12,13}. In that case, other averaging mechanisms, 62 such as dissipation¹⁹, have been invoked. The main source of dissipation is a finite quasi-particle 63 64 density-of-states within the superconducting gap, often referred to as a 'soft gap'. Substantial 65 advances have been achieved in 'hardening' the gap by improving materials guality, eliminating disorder and interface roughness^{20,21}, and better control during device processing^{22,23}, all guided 66 by a more detailed theoretical understanding²⁴. We have recently solved all these dissipation and 67 disorder issues²¹, and here we report the resulting improvements in electrical transport leading to 68 69 the so-far elusive guantization of the Majorana ZBP.

Fig.1a shows a micrograph of a fabricated device and schematics of the measurement set-up. An InSb nanowire (grey) is partially covered (two out of six facets) by a thin superconducting AI shell (green)²¹. The 'tunnel-gates' (coral red) are used to induce a tunnel barrier in the non-covered segment between the left electrical contact (yellow) and the AI shell. The right contact is used to drain the current to ground. The chemical potential in the segment proximitized by AI can be tuned by applying voltages to the two long 'super-gates' (purple).

Transport spectroscopy is shown in Fig.1b displaying d/dV as a function of voltage bias, V, and magnetic field, *B* (aligned with the nanowire axis), while applying fixed voltages to the tunnel- and super-gates. As *B* increases, two levels detach from the gap edge (at ~0.2 meV), merge at zero bias, and form a robust ZBP. This is consistent with the Majorana theory: a ZBP is formed after the Zeeman energy closes the trivial superconducting gap and re-opens a topological

81 gap^{2,3}. The gap re-opening is not visible in a measurement of the local density-of-states since the 82 tunnel coupling to these bulk states is small²⁵. Moreover, the finite length of the proximitized segment (~1.2 µm) results in discrete energy states, turning the trivial-to-topological phase 83 transition into a smooth cross-over²⁶. Fig.1c shows two line-cuts from Fig.1b extracted at 0 and 84 85 0.88 T. Importantly, the height of the ZBP reaches the quantized value of $2e^{2}/h$. The line-cut at 86 zero-bias in the lower panel of Fig.1b shows that the ZBP-height remains close to $2e^2/h$ over a sizable range in B-field (0.75 - 0.92 T). Beyond this range, the height drops, most likely caused 87 by a closure of the superconducting gap in the bulk AI contact. 88

89 We note that the sub-gap conductance at B = 0 (black curve, left panel, Fig.1c) is not 90 completely suppressed down to zero, reminiscent of a soft gap. In this case, this finite sub-gap 91 conductance, however, does not reflect any finite sub-gap density-of-states in the proximitized 92 wire. It arises from Andreev reflection (i.e. transport by dissipationless Cooper pairs) due to a high 93 tunnelling transmission, which is evident from the above-gap conductance (d//dV for V > 0.2 V) being larger than e^{2}/h . Since this softness does not result from dissipation, the Majorana peak-94 95 height should still reach the guantized value²⁷. In Extended Data Fig. 1, we show that this device 96 tuned into a low transmission regime, where dl/dV does reflect the density-of-states, indeed displays a hard gap. For further understanding we use experimental parameters in a theoretical 97 Majorana nanowire model²⁸ (see Methods for more information). Fig. 1d shows a simulation with 98 99 two line-cuts in Fig. 1c (right panel). Besides the ZBP, other discrete sub-gap states are visible, 100 which are due to the finite wire length. Such discrete lines are only faintly resolved in the 101 experimental panels of Fig. 1b. Overall, we find good qualitative agreement between the 102 experimental and simulation panels in Fig. 1b and 1d. We note that an exact quantitative 103 agreement is not feasible since the precise experimental values for the parameters going into the 104 theory (e.g. chemical potential, tunnel coupling, Zeeman splitting, spin-orbit coupling, etc.) are 105 unknown for our hybrid wire-superconductor structure.

Next, we fix *B* at 0.8 T and investigate the robustness of the quantized ZBP against variations in the transmission by varying the voltage on the tunnel-gate. Fig. 2a shows d/dV while varying *V* and tunnel-gate voltage. Fig. 2b shows that the ZBP-height remains close to the quantized value. Importantly, the above-gap conductance measured at |V| = 0.2 meV varies by more than 50% (Fig. 2c and 2d), implying that the transmission is changing significantly over this range while the ZBP remains quantized. Note that the minor conductance switches in Fig. 2a-c are due to unstable jumps of trapped charges in the surroundings.

113 Fig. 2d (red curves) shows several line-cuts of the quantized ZBP. The extracted height 114 and width are plotted in Fig. 2e (upper panel) as a function of above-gap conductance $G_N = T \times e^2 / h$ where T is the transmission probability for a spin-resolved channel. While the ZBP-width does 115 116 change with G_N , the quantized height remains unaffected. Note that the ZBP-width ranges from ~50 to ~100 µeV, which is significantly wider than the thermal width ~6 µeV at 20 mK. The ZBP-117 118 width is thus broadened by tunnel coupling, instead of thermal broadening, i.e. fulfilling a 119 necessary condition to observe a quantized Majorana peak. In Extended Data Fig. 2, we show 120 that in the low transmission regime where thermal broadening dominates over tunnel broadening, the ZBP-height drops below $2e^2/h^{15-18}$. We emphasize that the robustness of the ZBP quantization 121 122 to a variation in the tunnel barrier is an important finding of our work.

123 A more negative tunnel-gate voltage (< -8 V) eventually splits the ZBP, which may be 124 explained by an overlapping of the two localized Majorana wave-functions from the two wire ends. 125 The tunnel-gate not only tunes the transmission of the barrier, but also influences the potential profile in the proximitized wire part near the tunnel barrier. A more negative gate voltage 126 127 effectively pushes the nearby Majorana mode away, towards the remote Majorana on the other 128 end of the wire, thus reducing the length of the effective topological wire. This leads to the wave-129 function overlap between the two Majoranas, causing the ZBP to split¹⁶ (black curves in Fig. 2d). 130 This splitting is also captured in our simulations shown in Fig. 2f, where we have checked that the

splitting originates from Majorana wave-function overlap. Note that the simulated ZBP-height (red curve in middle panel in Fig. 2f) remains close to the 2*e*²/*h* plateau over a large range, while the above-gap conductance (black curve in lower panel in Fig. 2f) changes substantially. Also, the height and width dependence in the simulation is in qualitative agreement with our experimental observation (Fig. 2e). To complete the comparison, we show in Fig. 2g the simulated line-cuts of several quantized ZBP's (red curves) and split peaks (black curves), consistent with the experimental data in Fig. 2d.

138 Pushing Majoranas toward each other is one mechanism for splitting. Another way is by 139 changing the chemical potential through the transition from a topological to a trivial phase^{2,3}—the 140 topological quantum phase transition from the trivial to the topological phase can be equivalently 141 caused by tuning either the Zeeman energy (i.e. the magnetic field) or the chemical potential. 142 Splitting at the phase transition occurs since the Majorana wave-functions start to spread out over 143 the entire wire length. For long wires the transition is abrupt, whereas in shorter wires a smooth 144 transition is expected²⁶. We investigate the dependence of the quantized ZBP on chemical 145 potential by varying the voltage on the super-gate. Fig. 3a shows a nearly-quantized ZBP that 146 remains non-split over a large range in super-gate voltage. More positive voltage applied to the 147 super-gates corresponds to a higher chemical potential, and eventually we find a ZBP-splitting (> 148 -5 V) and consequently a suppression of the zero-bias conductance below the quantized value. 149 Although the relation between gate voltage and chemical potential is unknown in our devices, this splitting suggests a transition to the trivial phase caused by a tuning of the chemical potential 150 151 induced by the changing super-gate voltage.

In a lower *B*-field and different gate settings (Fig. 3b), the splitting of the quantized ZBP
shows oscillatory behaviour as a function of the super-gate voltage. The five line-cuts on the right
panel highlight this back-and-forth behaviour between quantized and suppressed ZBPs.

Remarkably, the ZBP-height comes back up to the quantized value and, importantly, does notcross through it.

We find similar behaviour in the theoretical simulations of Fig. 3c. In these simulations we have confirmed that for the chosen parameters, the Majorana wave-functions oscillate in their overlap, thus giving rise to the back-and-forth behaviour of quantized and split ZBPs²⁹. In the experiment it may also be that non-homogeneity, possibly somewhere in the middle of the wire, causes overlap of Majorana wave-functions. Again, we note that the conversion from gate voltage to chemical potential is unknown, preventing a direct quantitative comparison between experiment and simulation.

164 To demonstrate the reproducibility of ZBP quantization, we show in Fig. 4a the quantized 165 ZBP data from a second device. In this second device the length of the proximitized section is $\sim 0.9 \,\mu$ m, which is $\sim 0.3 \,\mu$ m shorter than the previous device. This second device allows to transmit 166 more than one channel through the tunnel barrier, which we deduce from the above-gap 167 conductance value (Fig. 4b, lower panel, black curve) exceeding e^2/h for voltages larger than 168 ~ -0.55 V on the tunnel-gates. Correspondingly, the zero-bias conductance can now exceed $2e^2/h$ 169 (Fig. 4b, middle panel) for such an open tunnel barrier⁹. We note that tunnelling through the 170 171 second channel in the barrier region results in an additional background conductance, thus leading to the zero-bias conductance rising above $2e^2/h$. We find, however, from a rough estimate 172 173 of this background contribution that the net ZBP-height (above background) never exceeds $2e^2/h$, 174 consistent with Majorana theory⁹.

We next fix the *B*-field and study temperature dependence. Fig. 4c shows a line-cut of this quantized ZBP from Fig. 4a. First, the base temperature trace in Fig. 4c (red data points) fits well to a Lorentzian line-shape with 20 mK thermal broadening, expected for Majoranas as well as for any type of resonant transmission. The ZBP temperature dependence is shown in line traces in

179 Fig. 4d and in colour scale in Fig. 4e (with the corresponding simulation in the lower panel of 4e). Fig. 4f shows the extracted ZBP-height and ZBP-width (i.e. full-width-half-maximum, FWHM) from 180 181 both the experimental and simulational traces. At low temperatures, the ZBP-width (red data 182 points) exceeds the thermal width defined as $3.5k_BT$ (blue line). In agreement with theory^{15,17,30}, 183 the ZBP-height (black data points) reaches and saturates at $2e^2/h$ when the FWHM exceeds 184 $3.5k_BT$. For higher temperatures, thermal averaging starts suppressing the ZBP-height below the 185 quantized value. We note that the simulated data is calculated by a convolution integration of the derivative of the Fermi distribution function and the dl/dV trace at base temperature of 20 mK. 186 This procedure of incorporating thermal effects holds if the temperature of the calculated dl/dV 187 188 curve is significantly larger than base temperature (which can then be assumed to be the effective 189 zero-temperature conductance value). Indeed, we find excellent agreement between experiment 190 and simulation for T > 50 mK (Fig. 4f). See Extended Data Fig. 3 for detailed temperature 191 dependence.

Recent theoretical work²⁸ has shown numerically for experimentally relevant parameters 192 193 that ZBPs can also arise from local and non-topological Andreev bound states (ABS)^{16,31-34}. These 194 local ABS appear remarkably similar in tunnelling spectroscopy as the ZBPs arising from 195 Majorana zero-modes. In a third device, we have been able to find such non-topological states 196 by fine-tuning gate voltages. Figure 5 shows the similarities and differences between ABS and 197 Majorana ZBPs. First of all, Fig. 5a shows a ZBP in tunnelling spectroscopy versus B-field. At a particular B-field (0.7 T, red bar) the ZBP-height reaches $2e^2/h$. In this device, we next vary the 198 199 chemical potential via a voltage applied to a back-gate, showing a fairly stable (non-split) ZBP in 200 Fig. 5b. In contrast, the ZBP is unstable against variations in tunnel-gate voltage. Fig. 5c shows 201 that now the ZBP appears as level crossings instead of being rigidly bound to zero bias. The two 202 different behaviours between back-gate and tunnel-gate are expected for ABSs that are localized near the tunnel barrier, as was modelled explicitly in Ref. 28 (see also Extended Data Fig. 5). Liu 203

et al.²⁸ show that local ABSs can have near-zero energy, which in a *B*-field is remarkably robust against variations in chemical potential; in our experiment tuned by the back-gate. However, this is only the case for the tunnel-gate voltage fine-tuned to level crossing points at zero bias. The local tunnel-gate and the global back-gate thus have distinguishably different effects. For the Majorana case, instead of level crossing, the ZBP should remain non-split over sizable changes in tunnel-gate voltage¹³, as shown in Fig. 2a and Fig. 4b.

210 The second fundamental difference is that the non-topological ABS ZBP-height is not expected to be robustly quantized at $2e^2/h^{9,28}$. Fig. 5d and 5e show that the ZBP-height varies 211 212 smoothly as a function of the back-gate voltage without any particular feature at $2e^2/h$. Also, the ZBP-height in Fig. 5a at $2e^2/h$ is just a tuned coincidence (see Extended Data Fig. 6). Note that 213 214 the ZBP line-shape or temperature dependence does not discriminate between topological and 215 non-topological cases. Both fit a Lorentzian line-shape as shown explicitly for the non-topological 216 ABS in Fig. 5f. Thus, the temperature dependence alone cannot distinguish a Majorana origin 217 from ABS^{14,30,31}. Only a stable quantized tunnel-conductance plateau, robust against variations in 218 all gate voltages and magnetic field strength, can uniquely identify a topological Majorana zero-219 mode.

220 References 221 222 1 Kitaev, A. Y. Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires. *Physics-Uspekhi* 44, 131 (2001). 223 2 Lutchyn, R. M., Sau, J. D. & Das Sarma, S. Majorana Fermions and a Topological Phase Transition 224 in Semiconductor-Superconductor Heterostructures. Physical Review Letters 105, 077001 (2010). 225 Oreg, Y., Refael, G. & von Oppen, F. Helical Liquids and Majorana Bound States in Quantum 3 Wires. Physical Review Letters 105, 177002 (2010). 226 227 Mourik, V. et al. Signatures of Majorana Fermions in Hybrid Superconductor-Semiconductor 4 Nanowire Devices. Science 336, 1003-1007 (2012). 228 229 5 Lutchyn, R. M. et al. Realizing Majorana zero modes in superconductor-semiconductor 230 heterostructures. arXiv:1707.04899 (2017). Sengupta, K., Žutić, I., Kwon, H.-J., Yakovenko, V. M. & Das Sarma, S. Midgap edge states and 231 6 232 pairing symmetry of quasi-one-dimensional organic superconductors. Physical Review B 63, 233 144531 (2001). Law, K. T., Lee, P. A. & Ng, T. K. Majorana Fermion Induced Resonant Andreev Reflection. 234 7 235 *Physical Review Letters* **103**, 237001 (2009).

236	8	Flensberg, K. Tunneling characteristics of a chain of Majorana bound states. <i>Physical Review B</i> 82,
237	<u>_</u>	180516 (2010).
238	9	Wimmer, M., Akhmerov, A. R., Dahlhaus, J. P. & Beenakker, C. W. J. Quantum point contact as
239		a probe of a topological superconductor. New Journal of Physics 13, 053016 (2011).
240	10	Majorana, E. A symmetric theory of electrons and positrons. Soryushiron Kenkyu (English
241		translation) 63, 149, doi:[translation from Nuovo Cimento 14, 171 (1937)] (1981).
242	11	Read, N. & Green, D. Paired states of fermions in two dimensions with breaking of parity and time-
243		reversal symmetries and the fractional quantum Hall effect. Physical Review B 61, 10267-10297
244		(2000).
245	12	Deng, M. T. et al. Majorana bound state in a coupled quantum-dot hybrid-nanowire system. Science
246		354 , 1557 (2016).
247	13	Gül, Ö. et al. Ballistic Majorana Nanowire Devices. Nature Nanotechnology (in Press) (2017).
248	14	Nichele, F. et al. Scaling of Majorana Zero-Bias Conductance Peaks. Physical Review Letters 119,
249		136803 (2017).
250	15	Pientka, F., Kells, G., Romito, A., Brouwer, P. W. & von Oppen, F. Enhanced Zero-Bias Majorana
251		Peak in the Differential Tunneling Conductance of Disordered Multisubband Quantum-
252		Wire/Superconductor Junctions. Physical Review Letters 109, 227006 (2012).
253	16	Prada, E., San-Jose, P. & Aguado, R. Transport spectroscopy of \$NS\$ nanowire junctions with
254		Majorana fermions. Physical Review B 86, 180503 (2012).
255	17	Lin, CH., Sau, J. D. & Das Sarma, S. Zero-bias conductance peak in Majorana wires made of
256		semiconductor/superconductor hybrid structures. Physical Review B 86, 224511 (2012).
257	18	Rainis, D., Trifunovic, L., Klinovaja, J. & Loss, D. Towards a realistic transport modeling in a
258		superconducting nanowire with Majorana fermions. Physical Review B 87, 024515 (2013).
259	19	Liu, CX., Sau, J. D. & Das Sarma, S. Role of dissipation in realistic Majorana nanowires. <i>Physical</i>
260		<i>Review B</i> 95 , 054502 (2017).
261	20	Krogstrup, P. et al. Epitaxy of semiconductor-superconductor nanowires. Nat Mater 14, 400-406
262		(2015).
263	21	Gazibegovic, S. et al. Epitaxy of advanced nanowire quantum devices. Nature 548, 434-438 (2017).
264	22	Gül, Ö. et al. Hard Superconducting Gap in InSb Nanowires. Nano Letters 17, 2690-2696 (2017).
265	23	Zhang, H. et al. Ballistic superconductivity in semiconductor nanowires. Nature Communications
266		8 , 16025 (2017).
267	24	Takei, S., Fregoso, B. M., Hui, HY., Lobos, A. M. & Das Sarma, S. Soft Superconducting Gap in
268		Semiconductor Majorana Nanowires. Physical Review Letters 110, 186803 (2013).
269	25	Stanescu, T. D., Tewari, S., Sau, J. D. & Das Sarma, S. To Close or Not to Close: The Fate of the
270		Superconducting Gap Across the Topological Quantum Phase Transition in Majorana-Carrying
271		Semiconductor Nanowires. Physical Review Letters 109, 266402 (2012).
272	26	Mishmash, R. V., Aasen, D., Higginbotham, A. P. & Alicea, J. Approaching a topological phase
273		transition in Majorana nanowires. Physical Review B 93, 245404 (2016).
274	27	Liu, CX., Setiawan, F., Sau, J. D. & Das Sarma, S. Phenomenology of the soft gap, zero-bias
275		peak, and zero-mode splitting in ideal Majorana nanowires. <i>Physical Review B</i> 96, 054520 (2017).
276	28	Liu, CX., Sau, J. D., Stanescu, T. D. & Das Sarma, S. Andreev bound states versus Majorana
277		bound states in quantum dot-nanowire-superconductor hybrid structures: Trivial versus topological
278		zero-bias conductance peaks. Physical Review B 96, 075161 (2017).
279	29	Das Sarma, S., Sau, J. D. & Stanescu, T. D. Splitting of the zero-bias conductance peak as smoking
280		gun evidence for the existence of the Majorana mode in a superconductor-semiconductor nanowire.
281		<i>Physical Review B</i> 86 , 220506 (2012).
282	30	Setiawan, F., Liu, CX., Sau, J. D. & Das Sarma, S. Electron temperature and tunnel coupling
283		dependence of zero-bias and almost-zero-bias conductance peaks in Majorana nanowires.
284		arXiv:1708.09039 (2017).

285 286 287	31	Kells, G., Meidan, D. & Brouwer, P. W. Near-zero-energy end states in topologically trivial spin- orbit coupled superconducting nanowires with a smooth confinement. <i>Physical Review B</i> 86 , 100503 (2012).	
288	32	Lee, E. J. H. et al. Zero-Bias Anomaly in a Nanowire Quantum Dot Coupled to Superconductors.	
289		<i>Physical Review Letters</i> 109 , 186802 (2012).	
290	33	Pikulin, D. I., Dahlhaus, J. P., Wimmer, M., Schomerus, H. & Beenakker, C. W. J. A zero-voltage	
291		conductance peak from weak antilocalization in a Majorana nanowire. New Journal of Physics 14,	
292		125011 (2012).	
293	34	Stanescu, T. D. & Tewari, S. Disentangling Majorana fermions from topologically trivial low-	
294		energy states in semiconductor Majorana wires. Physical Review B 87, 140504 (2013).	
295			
296	Acknowledgments We acknowledge stimulating discussions with Michael Wimmer and Önde		
297	Gül. This work has been supported by the European Research Council, the Dutch Organization		

²⁹⁸ for Scientific Research (NWO) and Microsoft Corporation Station-Q.

299

Author Contributions

301 The teams in Eindhoven and Santa Barbara have grown the nanowires with epitaxial AI and

302 performed the nanowire deposition. The team in Delft fabricated the devices, performed electrical

303 measurements, and analysed the experimental data. The Maryland team performed the numerical

304 simulations. The manuscript was written by HZ and LPK with comments from all authors.

305

306 Method

Theory model. We use the theoretical model from reference 28 to perform numerical simulations with experimentally relevant parameters, such as the effective mass $m^* = 0.015 m_e$, the spinorbit coupling $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ eVÅ}$, the chemical potential of the lead $\mu_{\text{lead}} = 25 \text{ meV}$, the Landé *g*-factor

- 310 g = 20 such that the Zeeman energy V_Z [meV] = 1.2 B [T], and the length of the nanowire L = 1.0
- μ m. Note that the collapse of the bulk AI superconducting gap is included explicitly in the theory
- to be consistent with the experimental situation where the bulk gap collapses ~ 1T.

Lorentzian fit. We fit our ZBP line-shape with the Lorentzian formula: $G(V) = \frac{2e^2}{h} \times \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Gamma^2 + (eV)^2}$, where Γ defines the tunnel coupling and FWHM of the peak, i.e. 2Γ . Then we do convolution integration with the derivative of the Fermi distribution function (at 20 mK) to fit our ZBP shape.

316 Since the FWHM of our ZBP is much larger than the thermal width, we took Γ to be roughly equal

to half of the FWHM for all the fittings in Fig. 4c and Fig. 5f.



Figure 1: Quantized Majorana zero bias peak. a False-color scanning electron micrograph of device A (upper panel) and its schematics (lower panel). The scale bar is 500nm. Side gates and contacts are Cr/Au (10nm/100nm), labeled by different colors. The substrate is p-doped Si, acting as a global back gate, covered by 285nm SiO₂. The two tunnel (super) gates are short externally as one tunnel (super) gate. b Magnetic field dependence of the quantized ZBP with the zero bias line-cut in the lower panel. Magnetic field direction is aligned with the nanowire axis for all the measurement. Super (tunnel) gate voltage is fixed at -6.5V (-7.7V), while back gate is kept grounded. Temperature is 20mK unless specified. c Comparison between experiment and theory. Left (right) panel is the vertical line-cuts from b (d) at 0T and 0.88T (1.07meV). d Majorana simulation of Device A, qualitative agreeing with the experiment data. A small dissipation term (~ 30mK) is introduced to account for the effect of finite temperature and small lock-in excitation voltage ($8\mu V$).



Figure 2: Quantized Majorana conductance plateau. a Tunnel gate dependence of the quantized ZBP at B=0.8T. Super (back) gate voltage is fixed at -6.5V (0V). The ZBP remains robust (un-split) with the tunnel gate voltage changing from -7.6V to -7.95V. Until the more negative tunnel gate voltage splits the ZBP. **b**,**c** Horizontal line-cuts from **a**, showing zero bias conductance and above-gap conductance, respectively. The above-gap conductance (transmission) changes from $0.35 \times 2e^2/h$ to $0.55 \times 2e^2/h$, while the ZBP height remains close to $2e^2/h$, i.e. a quantized plateau. **d** Several vertical line-cuts from **a**, showing quantized ZBP curves (red). The above-gap conductance is changed by more than 50%, while the ZBP height sticks at $2e^2/h$. The black curves show the zero bias conductance drops from the quantized value when the ZBP starts to split. **e** The ZBP peak height (red dots) and width (black dots) extracted from **d** (red curves), as a function of above-gap conductance(G_N). The width is defined by the bias voltage value at which the dI/dV is e^2/h . **f** Majorana simulation on the tunnel gate dependence, qualitatively agrees with the experiment data. **g** Vertical line-cuts from **f** in both linear and log scale showing the quantized ZBP (red) and split-peaks (black).



Figure 3: **Majorana splitting and oscillations. a-c** Super gate dependence of the quantized ZBP at difference fixed mangentic fields: 0.9T, 0.8T and 0.7T respectively. The tunnel gate voltage is adjusted simultaneously when sweeping the super gate voltage, to compensate for the cross coupling between the two gates. The transmission (above-gap conductance) is kept roughly as a constant. The super gate mainly tunes the chemical potential in the proximitized nanowire part. Lower panel is the zero bias line-cut, while the right panel shows vertical line-cuts at gate voltage indicated by the corresponding color bars. The ZBP remains unsplit over a large super gate voltage range, with its peak height close to $2e^2/h$. More positive super gate voltage increases the chemical potential, which splits the ZBP by driving the system from topological into trivial regime. Switches in the color maps are due to charge jumps in the gate dielectric. **c** shows oscillatory behavior of the ZBP, i.e. increasing the super gate voltage gives a ZBP-split peak-ZBP-split peak-ZBP pattern, as clearly shown in the line-cuts. The two split peak regions correspond to the two valleys in the zero bias line-cut (indicated by the back arrows). This could be explained by the oscillation of Majorana wavefunciton overlaping, as shown in **d**. **d** Theory simulation of Majorana conductance showing oscillatory splitting behavior as a function of chemical potential.



Figure 4: Majorana plateau reproduced, and its temperature dependence. a Tunnel gate dependence of the ZBP in Device B at B=0.83T. For tunnel gate voltage between -0.62V and -0.54V (the two green dashed lines), the above-gap conductance changes from $0.8 \times e^2/h$ to $1.06 \times e^2/h$, by 33%. In the meantime, the ZBP height remains constant at ~ $0.9 \times 2e^2/h$, resolving a plateau close to the quantized value. More positive gate voltage pushes the zero bias conductance exceeding $2e^2/h$, due to the increase of background, contributed by other populated channels. After the right green dashed line, the above-gap conductance is larger than e^2/h , meaning more than one channel is populated. The net ZBP height (after subtracting background) never exceeds $2e^2/h$. b Magnetic field dependence of the quantized ZBP. c Vertical line-cuts from b at 0T and 0.84T. The ZBP line-shape fits quite well with the Majorana theory (blue line), by assuming a tunnel coupling $\Gamma = 13.7 \mu eV$ and temperature of 20mK. d Temperature dependence of this quantized ZBP with temperature varies from 20mK to 600mK with 10mK step. e Color plot of the temperature dependence in d. At each temperature the conductance is renomalized for clarity by setting the minimum 0 and maximum 1. As the temperature increases, the ZBP is thermal broadened and smeared out at \sim 500mK. Lower panel is the theory simulation, agreeing perfectly well with the experiment. **f** ZBP height and FWHM as a function of temperature (extracted from \mathbf{e}). As the temperature decreases, the ZBP height saturates at $2e^2/h$, while the ZBP width becomes wider than thermal broadening (above the $3.5k_BT$ blue line). See Extended data for more information.



Extended Data Figure 1 | Dissipationless 'soft gap' due to large Andreev reflection. a dI/dV of Device A as a function bias voltage. Different curves are for different fixed tunnel gate voltages (transmissions). In the deep tunneling regime (red curve), where the above-gap conductance ($\sim 0.03 \times 2e^2/h$) is much less than $2e^2/h$, dI/dV is proportional to the density of states in the proximitized wire part, resolving a hard superconducting gap. In the open regime (black curve), where the above-gap conductance (transmission) is comparable with $2e^2/h$ (unity), the sub-gap conductance is non-zero, reminiscent of soft gap. But the finite sub-gap conductance is contributed by Andreev reflection, instead of dissipation (a real soft gap). This fake soft gap does not affect the quantized ZBP height. b Re-plot of the two curves from **a**.



Extended Data Figure 2 | Thermal broadened low ZBP coexists with hard gap. a dI/dV of device C, as a function of magnetic field, resolving a stable ZBP. b Vertical line-cuts from a, at three different magnetic fields. At B=0T, the above-gap conductance (~ $0.05 \times 2e^2/h$) is much less than $2e^2/h$ (deep tunneling regime), resolving a hard gap. The residue sub-gap conductance is due to the small Andreev reflection and noise background from the measurement equipment. The small transmission leads to a narrow width of the ZBP, whose height is greatly affected (decreased) by thermal broadening. The sub-gap conductance at the finite magnetic field where ZBP exists, is the same with the sug-gap conductance at zero field, suggesting that the gap remains hard at the magnetic field where we create Majoranas. c A zoom-in image shows the FWHM of the ZBP is ~ $14\mu eV$, consistent with the sum of the thermal broadening width ($3.5k_BT$, $6\mu eV$ at 20mK), the lock-in bias voltage excitation ($5\mu eV$) and the original peak width at T=0K.



Extended Data Figure 3 | Perfect ballistic Andreev transport in InSb-Al nanowires. a False-color scanning electron micrograph of device D. The scale bar is 500nm. Electrical contacts and top gates are Cr/Au and labeled with different colors. Lower panel shows the device schematic and measurement set up. The two top gates (tunnel gate and super gate) are separated from the nanowire by 30nm thick SiN dielectric. The global back gate is p-dopes Si covered by 285nm thick SiO₂ dielectric. **b** Differential conductance (dI/dV) of Device D, as a function of bias voltage (V) and tunnel gate voltage. **c** Vertical line-cuts from **b** at tunnel gate voltage = -0.18V (lower panel) and -0.12V (upper panel), resolving hard superconducting gap in the tunneling regime (lower panel) and strong Andreev enhancement on the plateau (upper panel). **d** Horizontal line-cuts from **c** for V=0mV (pink, sub-gap conductance, G_S) and V=0.45mV (green, above-gap conductance, G_N). The blue curve is the calculated sub-gap conductance: $G_N = 2e^2/h \times T^2/(2-T)^2$, where transmission T is extracted from the above-gap conductance: $G_N = 2e^2/h \times T$. **e** G_S as a function of G_N (black dots) and its comparison with the theory fit: $G_S = 2 * G_N^2/(2 - G_N)^2$, with G_S and G_N in unit of $2e^2/h$. **f** Magnetic field dependence of the hard gap. Lower panel shows the zero bias line-cut. The gap remains hard until 1 Tesla where the superconducting gap closes.



Extended Data Figure 4 | Trivial zero bias peaks from Andreev bound states. a dI/dV of Device D, as a function of back gate voltage which tunes the chemical potential in the proximitized wire part. Tunnel and super gate voltages are fixed at -0.03V and 0V, respectively. B=0.74T. b Tunnel gate dependence of this ZBP. The super and back gate voltages are fixed at 0V and -5.6V, respectively. B=0.74T. The zero bias peak does not remains stable (un-split) over tunnel gate voltage change, and only exists at the crossing points of the Andreev levels. This indicates that the ZBP has a trivial origin which is from Andreev bound states localized near the tunnel barrier due to the potential fluctuations there. Thus the tunnel gate voltage change can modify the potential fluctuations, chaning the Andreev bound state energy. c Magnetic field dependence of this trivial ZBP at different back gate voltages (-5.6V, -7.5V and -11.1V). The tunnel (super) gate voltages are -0.05V (0.05V), -0.05V (0.05V) and 0.01V (-0.44V), respectively. **d** line-cuts of the ZBPs from **c**, showing the trivial ZBP height varies with different gate setting. It can be exactly at $2e^2/h$, or much less (larger) than this quantized value. e Back gate dependence of the ZBP at 0.35T, for tunnel and super gate voltages fixed at 0.01V and 0V, respectively. Lower panel shows the zero bias line-cut, where the zero bias conductance continues to increase across $2e^2/h$. f Vertical line-cuts from e (with only half the lines plotted for clarity), showing a continuous change of zero bias peak height. This trivial ZBP height continuously varies with gate voltage change and does not resolve a plateau like the Majorana case (Figure 2 and Figure 4). g Lorentzian fit (red curve) of the trivial ZBPs (black dots) taken from **f**. We assumed a temperature broadening of 20mK. This Lorentzian fit applied equally well for trivial ZBPs here and the Majorana case in Figure 4c. This means that the ZBP shape can not be used to distinguish Majoranas from ABS.



Extended Data Figure 5 | Temperature dependence simulation of the quantized Majorana zero bias peak in Device B. We take the dI/dV curve at 20mK as the input, and assume this as the zero temperature dI/dV. Then we perform the convolution of the derivative of Fermi distribution function to calculate the $dI/dV = G(V,T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon G(\epsilon, 0) \frac{df(eV-\epsilon,T)}{d\epsilon}$ at any temperature T and bias voltage V, f(E,T) is the Fermi function. Because we use 20mK data as the zero temperature data, our model only works for T sufficiently larger than 20mK, e.g. (T > 50mK). a Comparison of the experimental data (left, taken from Fig.4d) and theory simulations, for different temperatures. b Comparison of the extracted peak height and width as a function of temperature between experiment (left panel, taken from Fig.4f) and theory simulations (right panel). c Several typical curves at different temperatures, black dots are the experimental data while the red curves are the theory simulations. The perfect agreement between simulation and experiment indicates that thermal averaging effect is the dominating effect that smears out our Majorana ZBP in our temperature dependence. d Temperature dependence of the theory simulated zero bias peak take from Figure 1c (right panel). The temperature is from 25mK to 700mK with 23 mK step.