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Hypothesis: entatic versus ecstatic states in metalloproteins

Wilfred R. Hagen

Over half a century ago the hypothesis was put forth that redox-active metal ions and multidentate protein ligands may combine to form a local state of entasis: an irregular symmetry intermediate between those dictated by coordination chemistry for the two redox states involved. Such an energetically poised domain would be at the basis of high activity (notably electron-transfer rates) in biological systems. Today the concept of the entatic state has become textbook material. Based on EPR spectroscopic data it is proposed here that poised, entatic states may only be of marginal existence; rather the occurrence of relatively wide distributions of coordination geometries (or: ecstatic states) afford a stochastic tuning of structure towards low-energy unimolecular transition states.

Null hypothesis: entatic states in proteins, in particular in electron-transfer metalloproteins

In 1968 F. A. Cotton communicated a seminal paper by B. L. Vallee and R. J. P. Williams to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA entitled “Metalloenzymes: the entatic nature of their active sites”.1 The authors proposed that “Enzymatic catalysis... would be facilitated by a state of entasis: the existence in the enzyme of an area with energy, closer to that of a unimolecular transition state than to that of a conventional, stable molecule, thereby constituting an energetically poised domain” (their italicization). The key motive that inspired them to the formulation of this hypothesis was a number of perceived peculiarities in the optical and EPR spectroscopic properties of metalloproteins when compared to synthetic metal complexes, pointing to unusual environments in the former. Fig. 1 gives a schematic representation of what became over time the most well-known instance of an entatic state.

Clearly the most conspicuous case was the biological “blue copper” centers with their extremely high absorption in the visible spectrum combined with their unprecedentedly small parallel hyperfine splitting from the copper nucleus in the EPR spectrum. A second case brought forward, that of the non-heme iron proteins with their $g$ values without parallel amongst synthetic mononuclear octahedral iron complexes, was later de facto falsified when the structure and exchange coupling of iron–sulfur clusters was established.2 The third case in point, the observation of shoulders in the optical spectra of Co(II)-substituted Zn enzymes, which were not observed in simple high-spin Co(II) compounds of regular symmetry, was perhaps the least convincing argument. Indeed, it has never been repeated in the ensuing discussion on entatic states up to this date. Taken together, of the three spectroscopic cases that incited the formulation of the entatic-state hypothesis, only the blue-copper case has stood the test of time.

The original hypothesis speaks of ‘enzyme’, and not of electron-transfer proteins. In the mind of the authors the entatic-state concept was applicable to all active centers including catalytic metal sites, catalytic radical sites, and catalytic sites formed by amino-acids without or with additional non-metal prosthetic groups or cofactors [1]. It is informative to briefly consider the
development over time of the application of the entatic-state concept to these different classes of proteins. Vallee and Williams note that “Metalloenzymes would seem exceptionally well suited for the examination of the physicochemical basis of enzymatic properties, for the physical and chemical characteristics of certain metals constitute intrinsic probes of their protein environments.” On the contrary, they decide that, by 1968, with the class of nonmetallo-enzymes “it has not been feasible to examine the possibility that enzymes might be poised for catalytic action in the absence of substrate, i.e., are in an entatic state”.\(^1\) From a view to the literature on entatic states it transpires that the validity of these two statements has not been affected over the last five decades.

Fig. 1 A schematic representation of a typical case of entasis in a metalloprotein. Depending on its redox state a transition ion has preference for a particular coordination geometry in small model complexes. In this example the metal ion is in a tetrahedron when reduced and in a planar structure when oxidized. Binding of the complex to a macromolecular structure (in this case a protein) creates a strained, or entatic state with a geometry that is intermediate between tetrahedral and planar. The extra energy input required to create this local strain in both redox states is mandatorily more than compensated for by a (distribution of) conformational changes in the rest of the protein here symbolized by the action of a set of cogs. Note the impossibility, under this description, for a coordination complex to be in an entatic state in the absence of a macromolecular ligand.

The situation is similar for enzymes with active sites made of amino-acid side chains only. Vallee’s and Williams above cited original assertion that looking for an entatic state in a protein without a metal had not been feasible, returned in a statement by Williams at a 1971 Cold Spring Harbour Symposium on Quantitative Biology as “It is not clear whether or not similar discussion is suitable in the case of enzymes which do not contain metals”.\(^7\) However, at the 1976 Solvay meeting Williams proposed that “a peculiar interaction” between a glutamate and a tryptophane in lysozyme, and also “a peptide bond that is distorted into a form close to the transitions state of the trypsin reaction” in trypsin inhibitor, are manifestations of entatic states.\(^3\) Subsequently, in his 1995 review he draws the specific example of an entatic state in a serine protease in the absence of substrate in which the serine –OH group is activated by a set of hydrogen bonds afforded by the overall protein structure.\(^4\) And this is where the thread appears to be running out. Once more, no studies have appeared on non-metal enzymes in which the concept of the entatic state has been explored experimentally or computationally. Equally, the original invitation to apply the concept to catalytic sites formed by amino-acids with additional non-metal prosthetic groups or cofactors appears to have never been picked up.

And so we are bound to stick to the metalloproteins as putative test systems. However, here a clear-cut distinction has been made from the onset between metalloenzymes and electron-transferring metalloproteins. For the latter the entatic-state discussion is, and indeed always has been, cast in terms of a structural and/or electronic configuration that is somewhere intermediate between respective coordination chemical dictates for the two redox states involved. In other words, the two structures have been ‘deformed’, each in a different way, by strain imposition of the coordinating protein, in order to minimize reorganization energy associated with the redox event. To apply this concept to a description of the workings of a metalloenzyme active site in not trivial. Whether or not the catalysis is a redox reaction, there is always the substrate looming around the corner. Vallee and Williams originally tried to resolve this problem by explicitly excluding the substrate from participation: “The ideas here suggested rest upon the properties of the enzyme itself and are not intended to bear upon the role of substrate; hence, they make no contribution to the manner in which the substrate will subsequently affect its complex with the enzyme or lower the activation energy further”.\(^1\) The implication here is that the combination of metal ion and apo-enzyme results in an active site that is entatically prepared (that is, of unusual geometry) to react with the substrate, however, how this state can be experimentally identified as entatic without the involvement of the substrate remains somewhat of a logical trap. A few years later Williams re-visits the problem by reviewing literature data on the structure of active sites in metalloproteins in the context of entasis. This is the approach he takes: “In each case the ‘curious’ nature of the coordination site (if it exists) will be proved or suggested before a discussion is undertaken as to whether or not this could have catalytic significance”.\(^8\) The outcome of this test is
not encouraging: although the review is entitled “Catalysis by metalloenzymes: the entatic state”, the word entatic does not re-appear anywhere else in the paper.

Nevertheless in the ensuing years a discussion develops in the literature around the question whether the active site of carboxypeptidase A (CPA) is entatic or not. Vallee’s group kicks off by interpreting its finding that substitution of the active-site Zn(II) with Co(II) leads to higher activity, as a proof that the protein imposes an entatic state on the metal before substrate activation.\(^7\) Gray’s group replies in the negative based on its finding of regular coordination geometries in active M(II)CPA and they conclude that “very ordinary metal-ion ground states are not easily reconciled with the entatic state concept.”\(^10\) In a subsequent paper they add that “The observed variation of coordination number from four to six while full peptidase activity is retained is not easily reconciled with the entatic state hypothesis.”\(^11\) Some years later Libscomb’s group publishes corrections to the previously reported metal coordinations but in a bottom-line statement they find it ‘unlikely that the differences in peptidase and esterase activities can be explained in terms of the entatic state hypothesis.’\(^12\) With this another thread has run out, although the entatic Co(II) returns in recent times in its low-spin form as part of the adenosylcobalamin cofactor. The latter is present in the enzyme methylmalonyl-coenzyme A mutase in Propionibacterium shermanii for which Evans’ group determined the crystal structure in the presence of substrate analog desulfo-coenzymeA. When they found an ‘unusually long’ bond of ca. 2.5 Å between the axial histidine nitrogen and the cobalt they concluded that “the protein holds the His side chain too far from the corrin, putting the cobalt into a strained or ‘entatic’ state.”\(^13\) Note that in his early work Williams has repeatedly questioned the validity of protein crystallography for the identification of entatic states because “Studying single crystals… will give data on the structure of greatest stability in the lattice under consideration”;\(^8\) and also because of the static nature of the data and of a lack of resolution (of the fine details associated with the formation of an entatic state).\(^7\) There is also the problem of separating the influence of the binding of substrate (or substrate analog) on protein conformation from the entatic state formation by the protein. Indeed, when Evans’ group later determined the structure of the free enzyme\(^14\) and the natural substrate bound enzyme\(^15\) they concluded that substrate binding induces a large conformational change, and the entatic state went offstage.

When the joint groups of Oppermann and Yue later determined the structure of the human enzyme they found that substrate binding induces structural rearrangement in the cofactor as well as in the protein.\(^16\) Once more we find no reason to call for entatic states in enzymes.

So it transpires that in our quest for test systems of the entatic-state hypothesis we appear to be limited to a subclass of the metalloproteins, namely, the non-enzymatic electron-transfer proteins. However, before we address this theme we are incited to make a brief detour into the realm of non-protein coordination compounds. Very recently the entatic cobalamin resurfaced but now as a free cofactor in the absence of protein.

The joint groups of Watter and Kräutler reported the biosynthesis of the cobalt-free B12 corrin and concluded from its X-ray structure that “the corrin ligand coordinates cobalt ions in de-symmetrized ‘entatic’ states.”\(^5\) This assignment actually presents a head-on collision with the original entatic-state hypothesis which mandatorily required the presence of a protein (or a similarly large structure with a flattened energy landscape). The entatic cobalamin is actually a present-day mark of an increasing trend that developed over the last two decades in which ever more non-protein, free coordination compounds were stickered as entatic-state complexes.

### Bootstrapping free coordination compounds into entatic states

The entatic-state hypothesis was originally formulated by Vallee and Williams\(^1\) to apply to proteins (enzymes or electron-transfer proteins), and Williams, in all his subsequent work, never explicitly extended applicability to include non-biological model compounds. This does not exclude the possibility that model systems might be entatic, but if we want to include them then we have the obligation to re-formulate the hypothesis and to be clear about what entasis might mean in relatively small molecules. To the best of my knowledge such an explication has yet to be made. Imposition of a local entatic state (a local increase in energy) can be energetically compensated in a macromolecular ligand by virtue of its wide conformational landscape. As this property is restricted in smaller molecules the realm of their putative entatic states is also restricted possibly to the extent of being insignificant. Fig. 2 reproduces two versions of a simple energy scheme for the reaction of an entatic-state complex. At first sight the two representations appear to be essentially identical, however, their interpretations in the accompanying texts are very different.

The left-hand panel of Fig. 2 is a drawing by Williams that he published twice, originally in Inorganica Chimica Acta Reviews,\(^8\) and later in his contribution to the 1971 Cold Spring Harbour Symposium\(^7\) with a different figure legend, in which he seeks to convey the basic idea of an entatic state by juxtaposing it to a figure describing classical lowering of transition-state energy in enzymology. The crucial point is that, in comparing an enzyme with a small molecule homogeneous catalyst, “the raised energy of E resides in a part of an enzyme and is due to its unimolecular activation through the internal energy of the large protein which support it” (my italizations). In other words: “This strain is considered to be due to the conformational demands which gave stability to other parts of the protein, the whole being at a minimum free energy”.\(^7\) In yet other (my) words: no protein, no significant entatic state. The right-hand panel is from a 2018 paper in Coordination Chemistry Reviews by the group of Herres-Pawlis entitled “Renaissance of the entatic state principle”.\(^17\) The figure is clearly inspired by the early work, but where Williams remarks that “No special significance is attached to the coincidental placing of the transition states”, in 2018 this becomes:
“The reactant and product energies as well as the degree of energization are arbitrarily chosen. Now we have a problem: the raising of energies may be ‘arbitrary’, but where do they come from in the absence of a macromolecule with a wide conformational distribution? Just raising them as a deus ex machina for a free coordination compound would be like lifting oneself from a swamp by pulling one’s own hair (or in a different part of the world: pulling oneself up by one’s bootstraps). Under this ‘definition’ any 3d ion coordinated by anything else than six H2O ligands would be entatic to some extent. But without quantification of the extent would this not sentence the whole concept of entatis to meaninglessness?”

The review builds on a series of earlier reviews by Comba around 2000, also in Coordination Chemistry Reviews, in which the idea of entatic states in simple coordination compounds is conceived.18–20 In his 2000 review “Coordination compounds in the entatic state” Comba proclaims that “entasis is not confined to metalloproteins; reactions induced by metal-free enzymes or by small coordination compounds may also involve strained, that is entatic states”.19 In his 2003 review “Fit and misfit in metal ligand interactions” Comba gives a detailed view on entasis20 which is too long to be reproduced here, but which nowhere addresses the question where the energy comes from to create entatic states. This notion of entatic coordination compounds is perhaps retraceable to an earlier paper in which Comba et al. formulate the concept of ‘preorganization’ of macrocyclic ligands.21 Originally, Artz and Cram had defined ‘the principle of preorganization’ as “the most highly host and guest are organized for binding and for low solvation prior to their complexation, the more stable will be their complexes”.22 In this context host is a macrocyclic ligand and guest is a metal ion. Comba et al. subsequently venture that “in contrast to the original definition of ligand preorganization, we analyze the extent of preorganization as a continuum involving partially and fully preorganized systems”.21 The claimed contrast is not obvious: in the original definition the words “the most highly organized” and “the most stable” equally well define a continuum of preorganization. Be that as it may, the point of key relevance here is that ‘preorganization’ and ‘entasis’ are orthogonal concepts. Quantified preorganization of a ligand may hold information on the subsequent stability, or the lack thereof, of a metal–ligand complex, and it may have some predictive value for the complex as a homogeneous catalyst. But to equate ‘preorganization’ to ‘entatis’ would reduce the meaning of the latter to that of a synonym.

**Entatic states ascribed to coordination complexes**

Possibly by inspiration from Comba’s work, the literature of the ensuing years holds an increasing number of studies in which entasis is ascribed to coordination complexes. [FeFe]hydrogenase have an unusual active site consisting of a [4Fe–4S] cubane Cys-thiol-bridged to a low valent di-iron cluster with CN and CO ligands and a bridging aza-dithiolate ligand.23 Darensbourg’s group synthesizes model compounds that should mimic this active site. In structural dinuclear FeFeI models24,25 and FeFeII models26,27 they found a rotation of one of the irons and its external ligands. Interestingly, this ‘rotated’ or ‘twisted’ conformation is also found in the crystal structure of the hydrogenase enzyme, from which one would conclude that apparently no entasis is involved since the protein does not change the geometry of the cofactor. Contrarily, the authors posit that the active site in hydrogenase is entatic and from there conclude that the ‘rotated’ model compounds are entatic. And they add that: “Desirable properties as are elusive in thermodynamically stable model compound, the structures of
which are dictated solely by first coordination sphere
requirements of metal and ligand, require structural forms of higher
energy, similar to intermediates or transition states along
reaction paths or molecular rearrangement profiles. This is a
statement of the entatic-state principle as expressed by Vallee
and Williams in 1968.\textsuperscript{17} I would rather venture that this is a
statement that has no bearing on the entatic-state hypothesis.

Numerous reports have appeared over the last two decades
on presumed entatic states in copper coordination compounds.\textsuperscript{17}
It is beyond our scope to give a comprehensive evaluation of these
studies, but we look at a few salient examples of particular
relevance to our present discourse. Rorabacher’s group claims
to have identified “A definitive example of a geometric entatic
state effect” when they find that in the combination of Cu(u)/
Cu(i) with a particular macrocyclic ligand providing NNSSS
ligation the geometries of the two redox states are nearly
identical and the electron self-exchange rate is very high and
on a par with electron-transferring blue copper proteins.\textsuperscript{28} Let’s
try to re-phrase their argument: the entatic-state hypothesis
states that proteins may impose a deformed geometry on
a metal site so as to bring it closer to the geometry of a
unimolecular transition state thereby affording an increase in
activity, that is in reaction rate. Therefore, if we find/make a
coordination complex with an unusual geometry that is similar
in the initial and the final state of a catalytic reaction while the
reaction rate is unusually high, then we have identified an
entatic-state complex. Why, however, do we need to invoke the
concept of a local strain compensated by the conformational
redistribution of a macromolecular ligand (i.e. entasis) when
we simply optimize a coordination geometry, by synthetic
design, in order to optimize a reaction rate? Interestingly, the
paper cites earlier work by the group of Stanbury, who also
made Cu(u)/i complexes with similar geometries for the two
redox states, but who found very low electron self-exchange
rates.\textsuperscript{29,30} Should we now conclude that we have identified a
definitive example of a geometric anti entatic state effect?
The interpretation of Stanbury’s group goes into a different
direction: “Thus it is clear that the entatic hypothesis is not
totally correct in its implication that the fastest electron-
transfer reactions should occur for systems having the least
structural change”.\textsuperscript{29} Two notions are mixed up in this conclu-
sion: for one, electron-transfer rates of coordination complexes
are not only determined by structural change but also by several
other factors such as solvation. Secondly, and more funda-
mentally, properties of free coordination compounds simply
have no bearing on the testing of the entatic-state hypothesis
because they were never included in the hypothesis in the
first place.

Entatic electron-transfer proteins and the
definition of testability

Let us now focus on the class of proteins that has become the
main protagonist in half a century of discussion on entasis and
whose members are generally believed to be good candidates to
develop entatic states: the electron-transfer metalloproteins. Right
from the onset\textsuperscript{1} the blue-copper proteins took center
stage with their unusual color and magnetism, but several other
classes are lined up. In some, e.g., the Fe(n)/Fe(ii) containing
cytochromes and iron–sulfur proteins entasis may be expected to
materialize mainly as a suppression of change in coordination
bond lengths, but the Cu(u)/i case is clearly one to which the
cartoon of Fig. 1 would apply. X-ray structures of blue copper
proteins were not known at the time of the formulation of
the entatic state hypothesis, so when the structure of poplar
plastocyanin became available with ever increasing resolution
in the period 1977–1994,\textsuperscript{31–36} showing a remarkable invariance
of the copper coordination with redox state (Fig. 3) this finding
was taken as solid support for the hypothesis by the crystal-
lographers (“Plastocyanin provides a good example of
‘entasis’”)\textsuperscript{31} and by Williams\textsuperscript{32,33} and the concept found its
way into the textbooks of bioinorganic chemistry (e.g., ref. 40–44)
although its treatment was in general admittedly somewhat of
an anecdotal aside rather than a systematic framework, and
indeed some textbook writers decided to ignore the subject
altogether.\textsuperscript{45,46} Note, however, that the reduced protein was
never independently crystallized; structures were determined
on reduced crystals of the oxidized plastocyanin.\textsuperscript{34} Also, the
oxidation state of the metal in the oxidized protein was never
checked after X-ray data collection, although later EXAFS
studies clearly showed reduction of the Cu(u) in the synchro-
tron beam\textsuperscript{47,48} (see also ref. 49).

Additional support for entasis was in the finding that
the copper ligands in the apoprotein (i.e. no copper) were in
similar positions as in the holoprotein.\textsuperscript{32,33} In the words of the
crystallographers: “In particular, the positions of the copper-
binding residues in the apo- and holoproteins differ by
only 0.1–0.3 Å. This indicates that the irregular geometry of
the “type 1” copper site is imposed upon the metal atom by
the polypeptide moiety”.\textsuperscript{33} How hard is this conclusion? Let’s make
a simple overlay of the first coordination sphere of the copper
site in the oxidized and the apo-plastocyanin (Fig. 4A).
Distributed states in metalloproteins

In their initial launching of the hypothesis Vallee and Williams described the entatic state of a metal site as a single unique geometry.\(^1\) No suggestion was made that the state might be, in any way, distributed, let alone that this distribution might be differential with respect to beginning and end (redox) states. Things started to turn a few years down the line when Williams discussed ‘organized systems’: “The central chemical factor to be kept in mind is that the metal/ligand bonding is held in a particular geometry which is open to modification by small energy increments and that this is also true of the protein to which it is bound. In other words there may well be a series of structures closely related in energy for many individual proteins”.\(^8\) A year later he extends this concept of static distribution to a time-dependent one when discussing spin-state equilibria in hemoproteins: “There is a ready flow of energy to and from the more stable and the entatic region of the protein”.\(^7\) Perhaps the most specific allusion to distributed entatic states can be found in the transcript of a discussion at
The theme of distributed (entatic or otherwise) states does not appear to have been picked up to any significant degree by the bioinorganic chemical community at large with the exception of the theoretical chemists. Early QM/MM calculations by Ryde and collaborators indicated the Cu–S-methionine bond in oxidized and reduced plastocyanin to be changed by the protein by no more than \(< 5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\), and this led them to conclude that “Our results show that the proteins have exploited the floppiness of the Cu–SMe bond; they have selected a ligand that has a very flat potential surface and they have ensured that it does not cost much energy to change this bond in the protein either”. Their bottom line is “The blue copper site is in a floppy state, rather than in an entatic state”,\(^5\)\(^2\) (see also ref. 53 and 54), where we can read ‘floppy’ as ‘distributed in time’ (but not necessarily entatically distributed). In a more recent QM/MM study on plastocyanin Hurd et al. calculate much higher strain energies of 12.8–14.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the complete metal site which they then conclude to be consistent with the concept of an entatic state.\(^5\)\(^5\) Perhaps more consequential, however, is their finding that “There is considerable variation in the computed instantaneous energy difference between the oxidized and the reduced forms of the protein arising from the thermal fluctuations in the structure at room temperature. This necessitates some averaging over conformation in determining the reorganization energy, and means that the view of the protein constraining the active site in a structure that is optimal for electron transfer does not provide the complete picture of the electron transfer process”.\(^5\)\(^5\) Once more we see the emergence of a distribution in time, this time of an entatic nature. But here we get stuck with the fact that ‘some averaging’ appears to be a bridge too far: “This illustrates the challenge of modeling the electron transfer in these systems with a QM/MM framework. Treating a very large region of the protein surrounding the active site at the QM level is desirable for a reliable estimate of the reorganization energy. However, these calculations are very computationally demanding and are not practical for the study of many conformations or the use in molecular dynamics simulations”.\(^5\)\(^5\) And so the distributed state seems to fall into the same trap as the entatic state: an unstable concept has minimal value in experimental science. Let us, therefore, try to formulate the concept a little bit more clearly, and then go and look for possible, and practical tests for its existence.

**Ecstatic states in metalloproteins**

Consider the following simple model of a distributed metal site: the 3D coordinates of each first-coordination sphere atom are distributed with respect to the metal ion which is fixed in space. In order to create a human-comprehensible picture we make the further, non-fundamental simplification to assume that only a single atom is subject to a significant distribution and only so in a single dimension. The approach is not completely unrealistic; it would be an approximate description of the ‘entatic’ state of ferromyoglobin which is mainly determined by the length of the bond between the axial histidine nitrogen and the ferrous ion.\(^8\) With some reductive imagination we could even take the presumed entatic state of the copper site in plastocyanin as being dominated by the bond length between the methionine sulfur and the copper ion.\(^5\)\(^2\) This dumbing-down of the distribution will allow us its envisioning in a plot of density of states versus a single molecular geometric parameter, that is a single bond length. For the sake of the argument let us further assume that the distribution happens to be normal, that is one fully defined by its mean and standard deviation. Then the geometric distributions of the initial and the final state (e.g., high-spin and low-spin Fe(II) in myoglobin; Cu(I) and Cu(II) in plastocyanin) will look like the plots in Fig. 5.

In line with the indications from theoretical chemists cited above, the import of Fig. 5 is that it may not be meaningful to consider the rigorous, strained, unique geometry of an entatic state without also taking into consideration the distributed nature of that geometry. Let’s call the latter the ‘ecstatic’ (from the Greek ‘ekstatikos’, ἐκστατικός, meaning unstable, inclined to depart from) character of the state, which is obviously related in a linear manner to the standard deviation of the distribution. The entatic character, on the other hand, is rather inversely related to the distribution width. It is also inversely related to the difference, \(\rho\), in mean value for the initial and the final state. So in pseudo-mathematics, when \(\sigma_i\) and \(\sigma_f\) are the
standard deviations of the distributions for the initial and final state and ρ is the difference between their mean:

\[ \text{entasis} \propto \rho^{-1} \sigma^2 \]

\[ \text{ecstasy} \propto \sigma \rho \]

and so the two characteristics are each other’s inverse

\[ \text{ecstasy} \times \text{entasis} \propto \rho^{-1} \]

In other words, a metal site that is not particularly entatic can compensate by being ecstatic, that is having available many conformations to the extent that there is always significant overlap between the geometries of the initial and the final state, and the reorganization energy of the transition is small.

A key advantage of this rudimentary description is that it points us to an experimental way, not to verify, but to falsify the occurrence of entasis: identifying wide distributions that eliminate the need for an entatic state. But how do we measure distributions and how do we determine their breadth? Pdb files of protein structure contain information about displacement of atoms from their mean positions in the form of the temperature factor (or: displacement factor) and – if available – in the ANISOU records as the elements of the mean square displacement tensor. For example, the temperature factors of the ligand atoms in apo-plastocyanin (2PCy.pdb) are, on average, 2.6 times greater than the corresponding factors for oxidized plastocyanin (1PCL.pdb), which once more attests to the notion that the apoprotein is not really very much pre-arranged at all to strain the metal ion. Mutual comparison between different proteins, with the aim to discover trends in distribution widths rather than absolute values, is unfortunately less straightforward because the temperature factor is the result of structure refinement procedures, which are model dependent. Temperature factors also encompass differences between molecules in the unit cell. More fundamentally, crystallization is likely to force a protein into a distribution with mean and width whose values differ significantly from those in random aqueous solution. The alternative structure-determination method of high-resolution NMR produces bundles of slightly different structures (also known as ‘uncertainty ensembles’) based on uncertainties in distance constraints from which a root-mean-square-displacement parameter can be deduced. The latter, unfortunately, is a global parameter, and also depends strongly on the quality of the experimental data. Its value (typically 1–3 Å) is usually much greater than what we would expect for a local bond variation. More sophisticated approaches to deal with conformational distributions, with emphasis on paramagnetic proteins, are under development and may eventually provide practical tools to address our present theme.

EPR spectra of frozen solutions of metalloproteins have long been known to exhibit ‘inhomogeneous broadening’ of the resonance line reflecting a normal distribution in g values about a mean. The phenomenon is generally known under the name of ‘g-strain’ and it has been proposed to be directly related to a geometric distribution of the metal site in turn connected to a – frozen in – conformational distribution of the surrounding protein. Let us, therefore, explore to what extent a study of g-strain can provide us with criteria, qualitative or quantitative, to probe the balance between ecstasy and entasis in metalloproteins.

**g-strain as a measure for ecstasy**

Fig. 6A shows EPR data from plastocyanin taken at two microwave frequencies that differ by an order of magnitude, namely 34.4 GHz (Q-band) and 3.29 GHz (S-band). The S-band spectrum is dominated by hyperfine interaction from the copper nucleus (I = 3/2). Super-hyperfine splitting from the N_j’s of the two histidine ligands is not resolved. This is consistent with a reported isotropic splitting of 22 MHz (ca. 8 gauss) for both histidines from ENDOR spectroscopy where the linewidth (fwhh) in the S-band spectrum is ca. 20–30 gauss. The copper parallel hyperfine splitting, A_p, is ca. 60 gauss. In Q-band this splitting is unresolved since the linewidth in the parallel direction is here ca. 90 gauss. Taken together this means that in the parallel direction broadening of the resonance line should be completely determined by g-strain at both frequencies. That this is indeed the case can be seen from the normalized plot in Fig. 6 in which the first hyperfine line in S-band and the parallel line in Q-band have been plotted on a g-value scale. The two lines overlap and, furthermore, they are approximately Gaussian. This implies that they can be taken to represent a normal distribution in g-values corresponding to a distribution in conformations at the copper site. The mean g value is 2.247. Going out one or two standard deviations affords shifts in the g values of ca. 0.008 and 0.015, respectively. Sinnecker and Neese have attempted to reproduce the experimental g values of plastocyanin in QM/MM calculations. They used three levels of approximation which they called ‘gas’, ‘continuum’, and ‘protein’, which stands for neglecting the protein surrounding, including it as an unstructured, polarizable continuum, or treated by including the net charges of all MM atoms in the single point calculations. For g, the difference between ‘continuum’ and ‘protein’ corresponded to a shift of 0.006–0.007; the difference between ‘gas’ and ‘protein’ made a shift of 0.011–0.012. Although the experimental g-strain distribution may not leave room for a change of the distorted tetrahedral coordination into something completely different, it does indicate that a significant fraction of the molecules differ from the mean by an amount that would correspond to replacing the surrounding protein structure by a ‘continuum’, or even by ignoring the protein altogether. It seems only fair to conclude that the copper site in plastocyanin has significant ecstatic character, which, by definition, goes at the expense of its entatic character.

How is the ecstatic-entatic balance influenced by the magnitude of the macromolecular ligand? We expect larger proteins to have increased capacity to compensate the extra energy required for local entatic strain by accommodation of backbone structure distribution. We should look for EPR data of simple S = 1/2 systems dominated by g strain. Low-spin heme proteins are a case in point as they typically have constant linewidths...
61 In Table 1 some literature data are collected for azido and hydroxo derivatives of heme proteins of different size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Subunit (kDa)</th>
<th>Azide</th>
<th>Hydroxy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horse microperoxidase-11</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.329d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse cytochrome c</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse hemoglobin</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm whale myoglobin</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine cytochrome aa3 (COX-1)</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>0.067c</td>
<td>0.037a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Full width at half height in g-value units. b All data taken from ref. 65. c Ref. 66. d Ref. 67.

More ecstatic than metal sites in larger enzymes. Possibly an extreme case of ecstasis may be found in the copper amyloid beta peptide complex (truncated to 16 residues) for which it has been proposed that electron transfer occurs via a rare (circa 0.1%) conformation of both the oxidized and the reduced state.

New hypothesis: entatic–ecstatic product states in metalloproteins

Time for a change. Blue copper proteins have always been the paradigm of the entatic state, however, plastocyanin is not very entatic at all. The apoprotein is not set to strain the metal, and the metal site itself is rather more distributed, or ecstatic, or, in Ryde’s words, in a floppy state, than strained. And half a century of tossing the idea of entasis around does not seem to have given bioinorganic chemistry its longed for unifying concept. It certainly hasn’t provided an easily measurable and testable criterion for the existence of states strained to higher biological activity. In the meantime evidence has been building up that the distributed nature of the active site, which was an early concept in Williams’ discourse, but which he later abandoned for unknown reasons, may actually be a fundamental
and all-important intrinsic property of entasis if only as its inverse manifestation. And while theoretical chemists and protein NMR spectroscopists are anticipating a necessary quantum leap in availability of CPU time to deal with distributions in a realistic manner, a few basic observations in metallo-protein EPR spectroscopy may have given the first intimation that this inverse entasis may actually be straightforwardly detectable in experiments. So now would seem to be the right time indeed to formulate new working hypotheses on entasis. Here is one:

To boost the biocatalytic activity of a metal center (and perhaps even of any activity center) nature builds it into a protein to, in addition to the creation of binding specificity, harmonize geometric and electronic properties of its initial and final catalytic states. This can be achieved either by creating broad, low amplitude distributions of site conformation (ecstatic states), characterized by flexibility, with sufficient overlap for the two states, or, inversely, by creating sharp, high amplitude distributions (entatic states), characterized by strain, with near coincidence of mean values. In practice any implementation will be somewhere in between, that is it will encompass a combination of geometric/electronic distribution and strain. In other words, ecstasis and entasis are inseparable sites of the same coin. In all cases the protein is a mandatory scaffold since any energy increase inherent in the creation of the local entatic-ecstatic state is compensated for in a global re-distribution of backbone conformations. This vibrational re-distribution potential is greater in proteins of greater mass. In the absence of a protein the potential is null.

And for those who prefer a practical rule of thumb (but still a working hypothesis):

(i) Model compounds in the absence of a protein ligand are presumably neither entatic nor ecstatic to any significant extent; (ii) electron-transferring proteins are only mildly entatic, but they are quite floppy/ecstatic. This is a favorable property for fast electron transfer; (iii) larger enzymes can have sites of notable entatic character, and they are less floppy/ecstatic. This is a favorable property for catalysis.

It is my hope and expectation that it will take less than the next half century to subject this working hypothesis to quantitative experimental and computational scrutiny.
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