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Abstract—For many networked games, such as the Defense of the Ancients and StarCraft series, the unofficial leagues created by players themselves greatly enhance user-experience, and extend the success of each game. Understanding the social structure that players of these games implicitly form helps to create innovative gaming services to the benefit of both players and game operators. But how to extract and analyse the implicit social structure? We address this question by first proposing a formalism consisting of various ways to map interaction to social structure, and apply this to real-world data collected from three different game genres. We analyse the implications of these mappings for in-game and gaming-related services, ranging from network and socially-aware matchmaking of players, to an investigation of social network robustness against player departure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Networked games are games that use advances in networking and a variety of socio-technical elements to entertain hundreds of millions of people world-wide. Unsurprisingly, such games naturally evolve into Social Networked Games (SNGs): the many people involved organize, often spontaneously and without the help of in-game services, into gaming communities. While typical online social networks revolve around friendship relations, new classes of prosocial emotions appear in SNGs. For instance, adversaries motivate each other and together may remain long-term customers in an SNG. Adversarial relationships are one of the implicitly formed in-game relationships we study. Understanding in-game communities and social relationships could help improve existing gaming services such as team formation, planning and scheduling of networking resources, and even retaining the game population.

Few games exhibit a greater need for socially-aware services than the relatively new genre of multiplayer online battle arenas (MOBAs) considered in Section II. Derived from Real-Time Strategy (RTS) games, MOBAs are a class of advanced networked games in which equally-sized teams confront each other on a map. In-game team-play, rather than individual heroics, is required from any but the most amateur players. Outside the game, social relationships and etiquette are required to be part of the successful clans (self-organized groups of players). Players can find partners for a game instance through the use of community websites, which may include services that matchmake players to a game instance, yet are not affiliated with the game developer.

In the absence of explicitly expressed relationships, understanding the social networks of current SNGs must rely on extracting the implicit social structure indicated by regular player activity. However, in contrast to general social networks, a set of meaningful interactions has not yet been defined for SNGs. Moreover, in MOBAs, activities are match- and team-oriented, rather than individual. We address these challenges, in Section III, through a formalism for extracting implicit social structure from a set of SNG-related, meaningful interactions. We extend our previous work [4] by showing that the implicit social structure of SNGs is strong, rather than the result of chance encounters, and that, for MOBAs, the core of the network (the high-degree nodes) is robust over time.

In addition, we apply our formalism to RTS and Massively Multiplayer Online First-Person Shooter (MMOFPS) games, and, in Section IV, show evidence that RTS games exhibit even stronger team structure than MOBAs and indicate that modern
MMOFPSs may require operator-side mechanisms to spur the formation of meaningful social structure.

Connecting theory to practice, we also show how the extracted implicit social graphs can be useful for improving gameplay experience, and for player and group retention (Section V), for tuning the technological platform on which the games operate, etc.

Last, we identify several challenges and future opportunities for SNGs, in Section VI.

### II. SNGs Without an Explicit S

Defense of the Ancients (DotA) is an archetypal MOBA game. For DotA, social relationships, such as same-clan membership and friendship, can improve the gameplay experience [1]. DotA is a 5v5-player game. Each player controls an in-game avatar, and teams try to conquer the opposite side’s main building. Each game lasts about 40 minutes and includes many strategic elements, ranging from team operation to micro-management of resources.

To examine implicit relationships in DotA, we have collected data for the DotA communities Dota-League and DotAlicious. Both communities, independently from the game developer, run their own game servers, maintain lists of tournaments and results, and publish information such as player rankings. We have obtained from these communities, via their websites, all the unique matches, and for each match the start time, the duration, and the community identifiers of the participating players. After sanitizing the data, we have obtained for Dota-League (DotAlicious) a dataset containing 1,470,786 (617,069) matches that took place between Nov. 2008 and Jul. 2011 (Apr. 2010 and Feb. 2012).

### III. A Formalism for Identifying Implicit Social Relationships

#### A. Social Relationships in SNGs

A mapping is a set of rules that define the nodes and links in a graph. Formally, a dataset $D$ is mapped onto a graph $G$ via a mapping function $M(D)$, which maps individual players to nodes (graph vertices) and relationships between players to links (graph edges).

Instead of proposing a graph model, we focus on formalizing mappings that extract graphs from real data. Because many metrics of social networks only apply to unweighted graphs, relations are often considered as links only if their weight exceeds a threshold. Thresholding, therefore, has an important impact on the resulting graph.

Related to our work¹, interaction graphs [5] map users of social applications to nodes, and events involving pairs of users to links via a threshold-based rule.

#### B. Interaction Graphs in MOBAs

A mapping is meaningful if it leads to distinct yet reasonable views of implicit social networks appearing in networked games. We identify six types of player-to-player interactions:

- **SM**: two players present in the Same Match.
- **SS**: two players present on the Same Side of a match.
- **OS**: two players present on Opposing Sides of a match.
- **MW**: two players who Won a match together.
- **ML**: two players who Lost a match together.
- **PP**: (directed) for a player, when present in at least $x\%$ of another player’s matches ($x = 10\%$ in this article). This interaction is effectively PP(SM). Similarly, we can define PP(SS), etc.

To extract the social networks corresponding to various types of relationships, we extract for each mapping a graph by using a threshold $n$, which reflects the minimum number of events that need to have occurred between two users for a relationship to exist; e.g., for $SM(n = 2)$, a link exists between a pair of players if they were both present in at least two matches in the input dataset. A second threshold, $t$, limiting the duration-of-effect for any interaction, is less relevant, as explained in Section III-C.

The set of mappings proposed here is not exhaustive. For example, this formalism can support more complex mappings, such as “played against each other at least 10 times, connected through ADSL2, while located in the same country”. The interactions in the set are also not independent. For example, the SS mapping can be seen as a specialization of the SM mapping.

¹Related work is discussed throughout this article and in [4].
C. Application to the Examples

We focus in this article on three methodological questions:

**Q1. Are the relationships we identify the result of players being simultaneously online by chance?**

To answer this question, we first create a reference model by randomizing, for any window of length \( w \) minutes, the interactions observed in the MOBA datasets. The randomization of, for example, the SM mapping is done by taking the players from all matches that started within the current time window and randomly assigning them to matches. Since the SM mapping does not take team information into account, the match assignment comes down to forming random groups of 10 players from the entire list who were active in the time window. A single player can be in the list multiple times and the random groups have to consist of 10 different players.

We run the parameter \( w \) from 1 to \( \infty \) and depict the results, together with the original data, in Figure 1a. Whereas the results for \( w = 1 \) leave little room for randomization, the results for \( w = \infty \) randomize the entire dataset. In Figure 1a, the curves for \( w = 1 \) and the original data have a powerlaw-like shape. The curves for various values of \( w \) follow the \( w = 1 \) (original) curve for link weights of up to about 15 matches played together, but take afterwards an exponential-like shape, which indicates they are
more likely to be the result of chance than of intended user behavior. The fact that curves are markedly different for small time windows shows that it is very unlikely that players play together often simply because they happen to be online at the same time.

The results for the other game genres (genres introduced in Section IV, results depicted in Figures 1b and 1c) show similar, yet not so pronounced behavior. Although players do not play nearly as often together in other genres’ datasets as in the MOBA datasets, randomization within only small time windows lowers the link weights. We conclude that it is unlikely that the relationships we identify are the result of chance encounters between players and, instead, indicate conscious, possibly out-of-game agreements between players.

Q2. Are players (nodes) preserving their high-degree property over time? If so, then the networks these players form may be robust against natural degradation, with implications for the long-term retention of the most active players. For each MOBA-community, we first divide its last-year’s gaming relationships into two parts: the first half year as training data and the second half year as testing data. We only use players who appear in both datasets—about 60% of the training-data players. Then, we plot in Figure 1d, for different degrees of players in the training dataset, the average number of links formed by these players in the testing dataset. From the high-value and positive correlation-coefficient (0.6233 for Dota-League), we derive that players with higher degrees in the training dataset robustly establish more new links in the testing dataset than the other players.

Q3. Are the mappings we propose meaningful for MOBAs? To answer this question, we first extract the interaction graphs for each of our mappings, compute for each a variety of graph metrics, and summarize the results in Table I. We find that:

- Side-specific interactions (SS and OS) are meaningful. For example, playing on the opposing side (OS) is more likely than playing on the same side (SS), in Dota-League (for example, higher $N$ and $L$ in Table I); for DotAlicious, the reverse is true. Game designers could enable OS links by allowing players to explicitly identify their foes.
- Outcome-specific interactions (MW and ML) are meaningful. For example, only for DotAlicious, MW leads to more relationships being formed. Game operators could exploit this in matchmaking services.
- Relative joint participation (PP) is meaningful. For example, for PP(SM), the number of nodes in the graph decreases quickly with the $n$ threshold. Identifying the players who play almost exclusively together can be key to player retention.

IV. Application to Other Game Genres

Among the most popular genres today, RTS games ask players to balance strategic and tactical decisions, often every second, while competing for resources with other players. Although faster-paced, MMOFPS games test the tactical team-work of players disputing a territory. We could expect RTS and MMOFPS games to lead to similar interaction graphs as MOBAs: naturally emerging social structures centered around highly active players. However, these game genres also have different match-scales and team-vs-team balance than MOBAs. Moreover, RTS games can stimulate individualistic gameplay, while MMOFPS games may have teams that are too large to be robust.

We collect then analyze two additional datasets: for the RTS game StarCraft II (SC2) from Mar. 2012 to Aug. 2013, and for the MMOFPS game World of Tanks (WoT) from Aug. 2010 to Jul. 2013. For each of these popular games, we have collected over 75,000 matches, played by over 80,000 SC2 and over 900,000 WoT players. SC2 matches are not generally played in equally-sized teams, and 92% of our dataset’s matches are 1v1-player. In contrast, 98% of WoT matches are 15v15-player, but such large teams can be much harder to maintain over time than the teams found in typical MOBAs, due to inevitable player-churn.

Alone or together? For SC2, the mappings lead to small graphs, with many small connected components. The majority of players participate in 1v1-player matches, but the 8% of players who do play in larger groups tend to play against each other more than together ($N = 611$ for the OS mapping, versus 314 for SS). When players do play on the same side, winning tends to strengthen the teams ($N = 212$ for the MW mapping, versus 95 for ML), just as we saw for the DotaLicious dataset. The connected components are strongly connected, yet
TABLE I: Results for methodological question Q3. Metrics [4] for \(n = 10\): (top) Data for MOBA games: the Dota-League and DotAlicious datasets [4]. (bottom) Data for other game genres: StarCraft II (RTS) and World of Tanks (MMO and FPS). The metrics we present: number of nodes \(N\), number of nodes in largest connected component \(N_{lc}\), number of links \(L\), number of links in largest connected component \(L_{lc}\), link density \(d\), link density of largest connected component \(d_{lc}\), algebraic connectivity \(\mu\), average hop count \(h\), diameter \(D\), average clustering coefficient \(\bar{C}\), assortativity \(\rho\), maximum betweenness \(B_m\), and maximum coreness \(c_m\).

We conclude that our formalism can be applied to other game-genres, for which it leads to new findings vs MOBAs, and suggest that even communities of popular networked games could benefit from new mechanisms that foster denser interaction graphs.

V. APPLICATION TO SNG SERVICES

“How can social-networking elements be leveraged to improve gaming services?” We present in this section two exemplary answers.
to matches, trying to ensure that players in the same social, rather than latency-based, cluster play together. We revisit the example of a socially-aware matchmaking service presented in [4], by also considering network latency.

**Socially-aware matchmaking algorithm** First, for each sliding window ($\tau = 10$ min. interval), the algorithm builds a list of all the players who are online. Second, from the social graph the algorithm computes the cluster membership for each player. Third, from the largest online players’ cluster to the smallest, all online players from the same cluster are assigned to new matches if size permits; otherwise, the cluster will be divided into two parts and players from one part will be assigned into new scheduled matches. Figure 2a sketches the algorithm for computing the score for an exemplary match. To favour small clusters, which can lead to novel human emotions [3], our scoring system does not consider the largest cluster when assigning points.

We compare our matchmaking algorithm with the algorithms observed in practice in MOBAs in terms of average scores (utility), and show selected results in Figure 2.

Expectedly, random matchmaking, which is still employed by many gaming communities, leads to very low utility. Surprisingly, our simple socially-aware matchmaking algorithm also exceeds the performance of the matchmaking algorithm employed by the operators of DotAlicious; this is because the limited community tools available in practice do not make all players aware that some of their friends are online and thus allow them to join other, lower-utility, matches.

**Including network characteristics** We use the geographical location gleaned from MOBA datasets to estimate possible latency conflicts, e.g., same-match players located in Germany and Asia. We analyze the impact of network latency on the score of our matchmaking algorithm and depict the resulting score in Figure 2b. In this scenario, a significant part of the matchmaking score is lost due to recommendations not taking into account network latency (yet our matchmaking algorithm still outperforms the original matchmaking). We conclude that combining social and network awareness is important for networked gaming services.

Fig. 2: Matchmaking results for MOBAs.

**A. Socially and Network-Aware Matchmaking**

Matchmaking players at the start of a game can significantly impact the gameplay experience. Gaming services that perform matchmaking while taking into consideration network latency are already deployed by game operators. In contrast, a *socially-aware* matchmaking service assigns players
Fig. 3: Results of match and hub attacks on the social network, for \( n = 28 \) and \( K \in [1,1000] \).

**B. Assessing Social Network Robustness**

Because social relationships are important in player retention [3], the strength of the social structure may be indicative for the survival chances of the community. If the network starts dismantling, people might lose interest in the game and stop playing. Operators need to assess both the strengths and weaknesses of their games’ social structure, to be able to stimulate growth or to prevent a collapse. Conversely, competitors could try to lure away key players (hubs), who in turn could sway others.

The anatomy of an attack: To assess the social-network robustness, we conduct a threshold-based degree attack on the network: for each mapping, we iteratively remove the top-\( K \) players, according to their degrees in the extracted graph, in decreasing order. Removing a player either also removes their matches (match-attack) or also removes their entire connected component (hub-attack). Then, we re-apply the mapping to the remaining matches to get a new network, and output the size of the new network and largest component. We perform match and hub-attacks on DotAlicious and Dota-League and depict selected results in Figure 3. (We do not conduct experiments in which players form new clans (network rewiring), which represents the opposite of our scenario; in our experience as gamers, when a member of a strongly connected group leaves (for another game), the whole group departs as well.)

The aftermath of an attack: We find that both match and hub-attacks on MOBAs are very efficient. For match-attacks (Figure 3a), removing the top-1,000 players (1.5%) can reduce the size of the network by 15% up to 60% of its initial size, and the size of largest component to below 10. For hub-attacks (Figure 3b), removing only the top-100 players can cause the network to implode. A social-network collapse also implies the collapse of network traffic, which may lead to waste of pre-provisioned networked resources.

We conclude that understanding the social relationships between players can help a game operator improve the social-network robustness, by identifying and motivating the key players. Our formalism provides important tools for the former, but the latter remains open.

**VI. On Current and Future SNGs**

Many current networked games provide limited social-networking features, yet rely on their players to self-organize. For example, games in the popular class of MOBA-networked games have fostered the creation of many communities of players. In this work, we have shown how a general formalism can be used to extract social relationships from the interactions that occur between networked-game players. We have investigated their implicit social structures based on six types of interactions, using community traces that characterize the operation of four popular MOBA, RTS, and MMOFPS games, and provided hints on improving gaming-experience through two socially-aware services.

The field of social-networks research applied to networked games is rich and could lead to important improvements in gameplay, with direct repercussions to networked-resource consumption and quality-of-experience. We identify several challenges and opportunities related to our study:
1) **Expanding the formalism**: The mappings-set could be expanded, to provide a richer framework for implicit relationships. The framework could focus on temporal aspects such as loose (dense) interactions over long (short) periods of time.

2) **Complementing our work with social/other theory**: The pro-social emotions appearing in games may have important implications. It would be beneficial to explore them. From our datasets one could infer finer-grained relationships from the combination of explicit friendship relationships and implicit interaction graphs, and to test them against theories developed for complex networks, sociology and psychology.

3) **Applying the formalism to networked-game services**: The main purpose of this work is to provide support for (future) social game-services. We anticipate use in: player management and retention, through matchmaking recommendations and identification of key players; the design and tuning of capacity planning and management systems, through prediction of graph evolution; etc.

**VII. Datasets**

Our datasets are available through the Game Trace Archive [2].
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