Personalized Messaging Based on Dynamic Context Assessment

Application in An Informing Cyber-Physical System

Li, Yongzhe; Horváth, Imre; Rusák, Zoltán

Important note
To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Personalized Messaging based on Dynamic Context Assessment: Application in an Informing Cyber-Physical System

Yongzhe Li[*], Imre Horváth, and Zoltán Rusák

Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

Abstract Hazard-intense applications of cyber-physical systems (CPSs) such as the evacuation of a building on fire requires optimal management of stakeholders. Personalized message generation and informing of stakeholders based on real-time assessment of the dynamic context of stakeholders is the research and engineering challenge addressed by this paper. Personalized multi-message construction mechanism (MCM) that is enabled by dynamic context modeling, inferring and reasoning is proposed. Dynamic personal context was defined as the total of space- and time-varying situations that are relevant to a stakeholder. The basis of generating messages is a quantitative evaluation of the implications of the relevant situations with regards to the target stakeholders. The concept of impact indicator was used to represent the implications of situations and a personal danger level indicator was used to choose a proper message template for message construction. The algorithms included in the MCM were validated in a (simulated) indoor fire evacuation guiding application. Test people were involved in the practical evaluation of the quality of the generated messages. The conclusion is that the proposed MCM provides more sufficient information about personal context and expected actions than the messages constructed based on static context information.

Keywords: Informing Cyber-Physical Systems, Dynamic Context Information, Context Awareness, Personalized Message Construction, Guiding Indoor Fire Evacuation.

1. Introduction

Cyber-physical systems (CPSs) represent a new generation of systems which offers new affordances for satisfying novel societal needs and or providing sophisticated resources and services (Horváth *et al.*, 2017). Considering their initial conceptualization, the paradigm of CPSs is rapidly advancing from both theoretical and practical perspectives (Baheti & Gill, 2011). The advanced computation, communication, and control technologies, which were characteristics of their first generation, have been extended with context management, reasoning, planning and adapting capabilities. These enable the second generation of CPSs (2G-CPSs) to operate as smart single- or multi-actor type systems. The latter systems can be

---

29 configured in various arrangement according to the tasks they are supposed to complete. 2G-CPSs will be
30 able to combine building self-awareness and reasoning towards self-adaptation, and to act in an
31 anticipating or proactive manner even in varying contexts (Tavčar & Horváth, 2018).

32 Informing cyber-physical systems (I-CPSs) are seen as a specific cluster of 2G-CPSs together with
33 the complementing transforming CPSs (such as a smart cyber-physical manufacturing system). Their
34 smart operation is focused on providing informational services for applications and stakeholders. The
35 possible range of informational services is rather broad (including, e.g. customized action plans, timely-
36 refreshed information, or context-sensitive guidance). Actually, the variety of application opportunities
37 for these systems is constrained only by the imagination of the system designs and by the economics of
38 implementing them for various applications. Usually, the control functionality of I-CPS is extended with
39 data analytics functionality, which is based on multiplexed sensor nodes and pervasive sensor networks,
40 and information modality transformers and message generators. As reported in the literature, typical
41 examples are distributed tourist information systems (Osborn & Hinze, 2014), context-aware navigation
42 systems (Saeedi *et al.*, 2014), healthcare recommendation systems (Shojanoori *et al.*, 2012), patient
43 context monitoring systems (Kataria *et al.*, 2008), and evacuation management systems (Ibrahim *et al.*,
44 2016). The servicing activities of I-CPSs include various messaging functions such as: (i) selecting
45 informing modality, (ii) constructing personalized messages, and (iii) distributing messages to the
46 stakeholders.

47 I-CPSs are supposed to execute messaging operations according to the actual situational context that
48 gives the reference for interpretation of messages and completing actions. Towards this end, two types of
49 messages are normally used in I-CPSs, namely, instructive messages and informative messages.
50 Instructive messages are used to inform stakeholders about "what they should do" and these may manifest
51 as personal recommendations, situated solutions, or action guidance. Informative messages indicate "what
52 the stakeholder should be aware of". These messages are intended to increase the situational awareness of
53 the stakeholder (or in other words, "of what is happening around the stakeholder"). Both types of
54 messages are to be based on a factual description and 'understanding' of the situation or circumstances
55 that are relevant to individual stakeholders. Message generation has both syntactic and semantic aspects.
56 The former is related to information engineering, while the latter is associated with language processing.
57 This gives an interdisciplinary flavor the messaging in I-CPSs.

58 Ultimately, the objective of dynamic context processing is to support the decision-making process of
59 the stakeholders in cases such as hazardous events (evacuation scenarios) or mission-critical applications.
60 Therefore, the messages constructed for stakeholders should (i) be sensitive and tailored to dynamically
61 changing contexts, and (ii) include both descriptive information about the situation the stakeholders are in
62 (or might be troubled with) and instructive information to command or assist their actions. Although
63 many computational message construction mechanisms were developed, most of them consider only
64 static context information, e.g. weather, temperature, daytime or permanent things of a particular location,
65 so as discussed in (Wu et al., 2016), (Lehsten *et al.*, 2014) and (Braunhofer et al., 2013). However, the
66 objective of this paper is to propose a real-time message construction mechanism (MCM) that generates
67 both informative and instructive messages based on a real-time processing of dynamic context
68 information.

69 The rest of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents an overview and concludes about the
70 related research and results. Section 3 discusses the foundational concepts and computational elements of
71 the real-time message construction mechanism. Section 4 presents the testing of the algorithms included
72 in the proposed MCM in a simulated application case. The objective is to validate the functionality and
73 usability of the MCM as the messaging unit of an indoor fire evacuation guiding system. Section 5
74 reflects on the whole of the work, concludes about the results and the observations, and gives a concise
75 overview of future research opportunities.

2.   Related work and results

2.1   Context-Dependent Generation of Natural Language Texts

Text generation is a subfield of natural language processing. Based on the knowledge of computational linguistics and artificial intelligence, it synthesizes texts in natural languages to satisfy certain communication requirements (Zhang & Sun, 2009). Most of the existing context-dependent text generation systems are designed with the assumption of using template-based generators. An example of text generation systems is the shopping assistant system (ISAS) that is able to plan a route within a shopping mall for users who want to buy products according to their shopping list (Wu, et al., 2016). Reported in (Braunhofer, et al., 2013), the context-aware recommender systems (CARS) provides information to users concerning weather conditions or the nearby surroundings depending on the location of the users. In this line of systems, we should mention the context-aware tourist informing system (CATIS), which provides information to the users based on location, time of day, speed, the direction of travel, personal references, and device type (Pashtan et al., 2003). A location-based recommendation system was proposed by Bagci & Karagoz (2016) that generates a list of locations for the user to visit and supports social networking of the user. In these and many other applications, the personal situational context of the user is considered at the generation of the personalized text. The personal context may include the existing social relations, personal preferences, and current location. Most of the template-based generators reported in the literature are domain-dependent. Location of the user is normally considered as the condition for determining the relevant content. The main drawback of template-based text generators is the need to create, maintain and update templates for the use in multiple applications.

Another approach to text generation is natural language generation (NLG) that employs a pipelined architecture (or consensus architecture) (Reiter, 1994) (Mellish et al., 2006). Many model-based, context-aware NLG systems were reported in the last years. To address the problem of contextual relevance in the generation of news comments, Zheng et al. (2017) proposed a gated attention mechanism to self-adaptively and selectively use news context. Tang et al. (2016) proposed a context-aware approach, which encodes the contexts into a continuous semantic representation and decodes the semantic representation into text sequences with recurrent neural networks. To generate human-like sentences in question answering systems, Zhou. et al. (2016) proposed a context-aware long short-term memory network model for NLG, which was a data-driven approach to generate text based on the question to be answered, semantic values to be addressed in the response, and the dialogue act type during the interaction. The existing context-aware approach for text generation considers textual context. They are suitable for correcting the semantics of messages to a grammatically correct sentence. In addition, most of the approaches employ a natural network to generate a word at a time, which cannot be used when multiple aspects of user context are to be taken into consideration simultaneously, not to mention the case when their cardinality increases in various situations.

Compared to model-based approaches, template-based approaches are more suitable for real time generation of personalized messages about the dynamic context of users. They are able to handle multiple aspects of time-varying scenarios. Templates provide dependent structures for the messages, which reduces the time needed for message generation and help to increase the relevance and specificity of message content. The text embedded in messages and the situations happening around users could be bridged through predefining various messages components used to describe the situations. It means that when the context of users is changed, new messages components could be changed for messages construction easily. However, the existing template-based approaches only consider the static context of users and thus the content of the generated messages cannot adapt to the emerging situations around the users.

2.2   Context-Dependent Distribution of Messages

At designing informing systems, both the possible low bandwidth of communications and the possible limited attention of the users should be addressed. In addition, the principles of message distribution and

124   the modality of the constructed personal messages should be considered. Giving attention to these, the
125   efficiency of message transfer and informing can be increased, respectively. Therefore, the computational
126   and system functions related context-aware messaging have attracted the interest of many researchers. For
127   instance, Nakanishi et al. (2000) proposed a context-aware messaging system, which is able to redirect the
128   incoming e-mails or telephone calls according to the schedule and location of the users and the media
129   available for them. Knox et al. (2007) and Knox et al. (2008) proposed a context-aware message
130   forwarding platform, which is able to send certain incoming e-mails to users based on their changing
131   situations and shifting priorities. The context of the users' routine is derived by tracking their location and
132   monitoring their (next) daily schedule. Pinto et al. (2012) proposed a context-aware architecture to
133   capture context information of users and to control multimedia channels (e.g. unicast, multicast or
134   broadcast channels) for message delivery. The proposed architecture supports efficient and sophisticated
135   content sharing within mobile communities. The aim of the European FP7 Context Casting (C-CAST)
136   project was to optimize the delivery of personalized session contents to multiple mobile users based on
137   the context information (Coutinho et al., 2010). In this project, a software architecture was developed for
138   delivering multiparty services. The proposed solution has the capability of performing required
139   adaptations on the session, transport, and network levels of interoperation, triggered by context changes
140   such as events, locations or a deterioration of network condition.

141   In informing systems, the communication modalities can be either of human-to-human types, e.g.
142   face-to-face, voice-only, linked teletypes, and interactive handwriting (Ochsman & Chapanis, 1974), or of
143   machine-to-human types, e.g. graphical modality, voice modality, or textual modality (Cohen & Oviatt,
144   1995). Human-to-human modalities are rarely considered in I-CPSs, while machine-to-human interfaces
145   are more widespread. Various context-aware machine-to-human interfaces were designed to support the
146   deployment of informing services for the users. If proper modalities are selected for informing actions
147   based on the context or situation of the users, then interfaces can have a large influence on attracting users'
148   attention. Zaguia et al. (2010) proposed a context-aware system, which allows users to access ubiquitous
149   web services, through a suitable modality. In their work, context information was considered as a
150   combination of the situational context of the user, his environment, and his computing system. As an
151   outcome of the research of Ghorbel et al. (2006), an assistive service provision architecture was proposed.
152   Based on processing context information (such as user profile, environment context, and end-user
153   terminal), this supports providing assistive services to dependent people (elderly and people with
154   disabilities). Gouin-Vallerand et al. (2013) proposed a context-aware service provisioning mechanism,
155   which allows the concerned informing systems to adapt the interaction modalities according to contextual
156   information such as user profiles, device profiles, software profiles, and environment topology.

157   It can be seen from the related work that modality of distribution of personalized messages can
158   increase the efficiency of message transfer and informing. Several aspects have been considered,
159   including (i) available channels for delivering messages, (ii) proper devices that are interacting with
160   stakeholders and (iii) suitable modalities for representing the messages. In addition, adaptive and
161   customized distribution of messages has been realized considering context-dependency of modality.
162   Message distribution strategies based on static context information cannot satisfy the requirements for
163   hazard-intense I-CPS applications properly, where personal context is heterogeneous, unstructured and
164   may change rapidly. Therefore, a sophisticated solution for handling dynamic context of stakeholders is
165   needed.

166   ### 2.3   Major Findings of the Literature Study

167   As indicated by subsection 2.1, two characteristic strands can be identified in the current literature
168   of messaging, namely: (i) context-dependent generation of natural language texts and (ii) context-
169   dependent distribution of messages. Although the need for context-aware software capabilities is
170   recognized in various application fields, the phenomenon of contextualized communication between
171   informing systems and human stakeholders has only been superficially addressed so far. Many white
172   spots can still be found in the field of CPSs, in particular in the subfield of aware and adaptive smart

173 CPSs. Proposals and solutions for message generation on natural language and messaging in dynamic
174 contexts by CPSs are also scarce. The overwhelming majority of existing computational mechanisms
175 considers static context information only. The progress with reasoning with dynamically changing context
176 information in real-time is still limited. With regards to the stakeholder to be informed, processing
177 dynamic context information is restricted to location changes or daytime changes. However, personal
178 context modeling should include not only the specific personal information of the target stakeholder but
179 also information about the state and activities of other relevant entities and the surroundings.

180 Context information is normally considered as descriptive attributes of the stakeholders and stored as
181 various profiles in the existing adaptive interaction modality systems. This type of systems has limited
182 capabilities to deal with situations when the actual context in real-life scenarios does not accord with the
183 context information stored in the profiles, e.g. a stakeholder uses the device of another stakeholder.
184 Several similar cases can be foreseen when dynamically changing situations, rather than steady-state
185 situations, are to be dealt with. Therefore, researchers need to provide adequate theoretical fundamentals
186 and computational methodologies for processing dynamic context information. This issue should be
187 addressed not only in research but should also be considered in the development of upcoming systems,
188 which are supposed to adapt themselves to changes as these appear in varied forms in real-life application
189 cases. The issue derived from the low communication bandwidth requires using a prioritizing algorithm
190 in the future systems. This algorithm may treat stakeholders differently, for instance, in the case of
191 interacting with a great number of stakeholders in emergency situations. Below, a novel and effective
192 context-dependent message construction mechanism is discussed that was developed to address the
193 mentioned issues.

3. A Context-Dependent Message Construction Mechanism

3.1 Fundamentals of the MCM

196 In our previous work, we proposed a representation scheme (namely, the spatial feature representation
197 (SFR)-matrix) for dynamic context information management and computation (Horváth et al., 2016). The
198 personal context of a stakeholder was defined as the total of the information characterizing the associated
199 varying situations. The SFR-matrix is based on a relatively simple underlying (relational) logic and
200 facilitates collecting information about situations. It supports building awareness based on the captured
201 dynamic context information. It also supports generating additional descriptive information (e.g. location,
202 attributes and time of happening) of situations that may be identified in a given context. The built
203 (situational) awareness and the derived additional descriptive information were taken into consideration
204 as the factual basis of the MCM. As discussed below, we employed a quantitative approach in the
205 proposed MCM to compute the situations relevant to a stakeholder and to convert the information related
206 to a situation to informative and instructive messages.

207 The context-dependent MCM is part of a multi-module computational platform, which can provide
208 real-time dynamic context computational services for I-CPSs. The overall architecture and workflow of
209 this platform is shown in Fig. 1. It contains four major modules, which include multiple algorithms for (i)
210 representing and modeling of dynamic context of entities, (ii) building awareness in dynamic context, (iii)
211 deriving action plans for entities, and (iv) constructing personalized messages depending on the dynamic
212 context of entities. The MCM is an output generator module of the computational platform, which
213 eventually manifests as an integrated software platform. The awareness-building module, the reasoning
214 module, and the message construction module of the platform are procedurally interconnected. This
215 interconnection means that the computation should be completed in the former modules before the turn of
216 the latter modules since their outcome is used as input in a latter computational module.

217 One input of the MCM is the complex data structure that captures dynamic context information. The
218 platform processes all pieces of data concerning the physical entities and their relations that are needed to
219 describe the momentary states of the related processes (e.g. the attributes or the location of an entity at a
220 given point in time). The actual variations of the physical process can be inferred from the temporal

Fig. 1.   The generic framework of the computational platform for dynamic context computation

221   relations of the momentary states. The variations of the states of entities are captured for computations as
222   events. An event is a change over a predefined period of time (referred to as a time increment in
223   computation). An event may mean changes, among others, in the location, attributes, and relations of
224   entities during a given time increment. Multiple interacting events form a situation, which is one higher
225   level computational abstraction. The concept of the situation was introduced to be able to describe a
226   phenomenon, which happens in a duration of time (possibly, over multiple computational time
227   increments). A situation can be inferred by integrating and abstracting information about multiple states
228   and/or events according to certain predefined rules. For instance, a people jam can be defined based on
229   the states and/or events related to several stakeholders present at a location. In a physical process, a
230   situation may change in terms of its location and/or attributes. Multiple correlated and interplaying
231   situations form a scene, which describes the state of the local world considered in dynamic context
232   calculation. The identified situations, the changes of the situations and the implications of their interplay
233   were considered as one of the inputs of the MCM.

234   Another input of the MCM is the personalized action plans generated for individual stakeholders.
235   Every action plan contains a series of actions that are supposed to be performed by the informed
236   stakeholder. Generation of the personalized action plans considers the inferred situations and their
237   implications on the individual entities and the capabilities of the entities. For instance, in the case of
238   indoor fire, the action plans refer to the escape routes that are to be followed by the informed stakeholders.
239   Generation of the escape routes depends on the inferred situations happening in the environment (e.g.
240   people jams and fires) implications of the situation and the attributes of stakeholders (e.g. age,
241   handicapped or not).

242   Due to the limitation with the length of this paper, technical details with regard to inferring situations
243   and their implications, and generation of personalized action plans will not be included. It was assumed
244   that these two types of knowledge were already known before the MCM started to work. Accordingly, the
245   specific objective of the MCM is to make use of the inferred situations and their implications, and the
246   developed action plans to construct personalized messages that support the communications to the
247   stakeholders. To achieve this, the main technical requirements of the context-dependent MCM have been
248   specified as follows:

249   o   The MCM should judge the relevance of the inferred situation(s) around a concerned stakeholder. And,
250   the most relevant situation should be selected to inform the stakeholder.
251   o   When a situation is selected for constructing messages, the information describing the situation (e.g.
252   location, attributes and time of happening) should be included in the informative message.
253   o   An overall evaluation of the personal danger level of stakeholders should be achieved concerning the
254   implications of the situations that are relevant to the individual stakeholders.

255   o   The concerned stakeholders should be ranked according to the calculated personal danger level in
256   order to prioritize the informing services for the stakeholders in danger.
257   o   A proper way of rhetoric should be applied for sentence construction, which implies the evaluated
258   personal danger level (e.g. dangerous or safe).
259   o   The content of the personalized message should have a strong correlation with the present context of
260   the concerned stakeholders. It requires a near real-time message construction.

261   These six requirements can be interpreted by the following example: in case of indoor fire, the
262 stakeholders in the burning building should be informed with informative messages about situations
263 happening around and the instructive messages about the actions to be taken for escaping from the
264 building. Typical situations in this scenario are (i) fire and (ii) people jams. To construct informative
265 messages, the informing system should first calculate the relevance of the inferred situations to individual
266 stakeholders and inform individual stakeholders about the situation that is the most relevant to him/her.
267 This should consider the attributes of the situations and individual stakeholders, as well as the spatial and
268 temporal relations between them. For instance, although fire has a bigger threat than people jam in nature,
269 the relevance of a people jam to the stakeholder at a given point in time might be higher than the fire to
270 the same, if the fire is far away from the stakeholder and the is involved in the people jam. In addition,
271 calculation of the personal danger level enables treating stakeholders differently. To construct
272 personalized messages, the most relevant situation to a stakeholder and the generated action plans can be
273 described with a proper way of rhetoric, reflecting how dangerous the personal context of the stakeholder
274 is. For instance, if the stakeholder is in danger, a pressing style of wording could be used in the
275 construction of messages. Furthermore, the message construction and sending should happen in a real-
276 time manner to avoid any miscommunication cases, e.g. informing the stakeholder about a people jam
277 that has disappeared.

278 **3.2   The Message Construction Mechanism**

279   Based on the requirements, the computational mechanism used to construct personalized messages is
280 shown in Fig. 2. The MCM contains several sequential computational functions. The computational
281 principles of each function are illustrated as follows. When situations and their implications on entities are
282 inferred out, the first function was used to calculate the relevance of all situations to individual entities.
283 Normally, a situation only contains several entities (e.g. a people jam), while the rest of the entities are
284 not included. It means that the situation has a direct impact on the entities involved in the situation and
285 has an indirect impact on the rest of the entities. The extent of the impact of a situation on an entity is the
286 basis for calculating the relevance. To quantitatively calculate the relevance of a situation, the concept of
287 impact indicator was used. The general term "impact" was considered to indicate either the actual impact
288 that a situation hampers the entity (e.g. a people jam slows the motion of a person) or the potential
289 influence of a situation that the entity might be involved in (e.g. a stakeholder will be troubled by the fire).

290   The impact indicator, $II_{ij}(t)$, of a situation, s_i, on an entity, ε_j, is calculated as:

$$II_{ij} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\Delta t_{ij} D_{ij}} IC_i, & Indirect\ impact \\ IC_i, & Direct\ impact \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

291 where: IC_i is the impact coefficient used to represent the implication of situation, s_i, on the entities
292 involved in the situation. It can be either predefined or calculated based on the attributes of the situation
293 and the entity using the formula $-1 \leq IC_i < 0$. For instance, in the case of an indoor fire, the impact
294 coefficient of a people jam with 10 people can be -0.1, while for a people jam with 30 people it can be -
295 0.2, and -1 for the fire. The different values of IC_i indicate the quantitatively specified implication of the
296 considered situations on the entities involved. In the previously mentioned example, the actual value of IC
297 of the fire is set to -1, since stakeholders may lose their life when involved in fire. In the above evaluation
298 of the indirect impact, Δt_{ij} is the time difference between the point in time when s_i happens and the point

Fig. 2. The dynamic context-dependent message construction mechanism.

299   in time when ε_j is considered. D_{ij} is the distance between the location where s_i happens and the location
300   where ε_j is at the considered point in time.

301   After calculating the impact indicators of all situations, the relevance of situations to individual
302   entities can be determined. For instance, if a situation has a higher impact indicator on an entity, the
303   situation is more relevant to the same. According to this principle, the second function selects the most
304   relevant situation to individual entities. Then, the descriptive information of the selected situation should
305   be converted to various message components (e.g. words or phrases) in the third function. A library of
306   alternative message components should be pre-defined to enable the converting. For instance, the location
307   of a situation can be converted to the name of the room in a building where the situation happens. In
308   addition, based on the calculated impact indicators of situations, the danger level of every entity can be
309   carried out. This refers to an overall consideration of the personal context. Towards this end, the sum of
310   the impact indicator (SII) of situations related to individual entities is calculated, which can be noted as:

$$SII_{s_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} II_{ij} \tag{2}$$

311   where: II_{ij} is the impact indicator of a situation, ε_i, on an entity, s_j. Assumed is that there may be n
312   situations in total associated with the entity at a given point in time.

313   Since the SII is a reference for quantitative evaluation of the personal context, the SII can be used to
314   judge if the stakeholder is in danger or not. In this way, personal danger level of entities can be calculated
315   based on several application-dependent thresholds in the fourth function. Then, message templates can be

selected based on the calculated personal danger level in the fifth function. For instance, when a concerned stakeholder is in a dangerous situation, a message template with an emergent style might be used to construct the sentences. Therefore, based on the message components generated from the third function and the selected message templates, informative messages can be generated, which is done in the sixth function.

The derived personal action plans, which are another input of the MCM, can be converted to various messages components in the seventh function. For instance, the route for escaping from a burning building can be converted to a series of messages components indicating the target locations included in the route. Then, the selected message template was used for constructing instructive messages in the eighth function. After this, the constructed informative messages and instructive messages are integrated to form the personalized messages for communication with the target entities. Furthermore, based on the calculated SII of entities, the entities can be ranked into a list according to their personal danger levels. This is completed in the tenth function. According to the list, the MCM is able to specify the order for sending the constructed messages to the personal devices (e.g. mobile phone) of the concerned entities for informing, which is decided in the last function in the MCM.

3.3   Implementation of the MCM

The designed MCM was implemented and the algorithmic workflow of the implemented prototype is shown in Fig.3. The inputs of the prototype implementation of the MCM are: (i) a list of entities with their attributes, (ii) inferred situations, (iii) implications of inferred situations, (iii) a library of message components, (iv) a set of message templates, (v) personal action plans, which are generated according to the objective of the system and the need of the entities. The output of the prototype is the generated personalized messages.

When an entity is selected from the entity list, first the prototype judges if the entity can be informed, or not. The informability of an entity is considered as one of the attributes of the entity, and it can be decided based on the status of the informing terminal owned by the entity. If the selected entity can be informed, then it is sensible to construct personalized messages. The (computational) principles for calculating the impact indicators of situations are presented in Section 3.2. The impact coefficient of a situation is an application-dependent value, which should be specified by the application designers.

For each concerned entity, the situation that is characterized by the largest impact indicator is selected and the spatial, attributive and temporal (S.A.T.) data describing the situation are converted into alternative message components (i.e. words and phrases). Table 1 shows a sample set of rules designed for lexicalization of the


Fig. 3.   The workflow implemented for constructing personalized messages

Table 1   Lexicalization of S.A.T. information describing a situation

Type of information	Category	Conditions	Referred message components
Spatial information of a situation	Static: distance	$\text{dis}_{ij} \geq d_{vl}$	Very far away from
		$d_l \leq \text{dis}_{ij} < d_{vl}$	Far away from
		$d_s \leq \text{dis}_{ij} < d_l$	Close to
		$0 \leq \text{dis}_{ij} < d_s$	Very close to
	Dynamic: change of distance	$\Delta\text{dis}_{ij} \geq d_{ff}$	Fast away from
		$0 \leq \Delta\text{dis}_{ij} < d_{sf}$	Slowly away from
		$d_{sa} \leq \Delta\text{dis}_{ij} < 0$	Slowly towards
		$d_{sa} > \Delta\text{dis}_{ij}$	Fast towards
Attributive information of a situation	Static: speed of motion	$speed > s_f$	Fast
		$s_s < speed < s_f$	Mediate
		$s_s > speed$	Slow
	Dynamic: change of speed of motion	$\Delta speed > cs_i$	Speed increases
		$cs_d < \Delta speed < cs_i$	Speed is stable
		$cs_d > \Delta speed$	Speed decreases
Temporal information of a situation	Static: time of happening	$t_s(DS) - t_c \geq t_{ff}$	Far future
		$t_{nf} \leq t_s(DS) - t_c < t_{ff}$	Near future
		$t_n \leq t_s(DS) - t_c < t_{nf}$	Nearby
		$0 \leq t_s(DS) - t_c < t_n$	Now
		$t_c \leq t_s(DS) - t_c < 0$	Recent
		$t_{np} \leq t_s(DS) - t_c < t_c$	Near past
		$t_{fp} \leq t_s(DS) - t_c < t_{np}$	Far past
	Dynamic: variation of happening time	$\Delta t_s(DS) > 0$	Later
		$\Delta t_s(DS) < 0$	Earlier

364 S.A.T. information of a situation. Based on the rules, proper message components can be specified by
365 comparing the relevant values of the S.A.T. data of the concerned situation with the predefined threshold
366 values. An example of converting the specific descriptive information of a situation to alternative
367 message components by applying the specified rules is presented in Fig. 4.

368 As a next computational task, the SIIs of the concerned entities should be calculated, which are
369 regarded as a reference for selecting a message template. The template-based approach was considered

Fig. 4. An example of converting information of a situation into various message components.

Fig. 5. Construction of personalized messages based on a message template.

370 for message construction since it provides a simple and fast way for real-time construction of natural
371 language texts in different conditions. Towards this end, various message templates should be articulated
372 and stored in a warehouse for the MCM. An example of a typical message template is shown in Fig. 5.

373   The principles for designing messages templates are presented as follows. Firstly, every message
374 template includes fixed parts and variable (vacant) parts. In the process of constructing messages, the
375 generated message components are placed on the vacant places according to the type of information they
376 represent. The fixed parts serve as the linking words among the vacant parts, make a sentence readable,
377 and express different rhetorical styles, e.g. prepositions and modal particles. Secondly, every message
378 template for constructing informative messages should include some places showing the name and S.A.T.
379 information describing the concerned situation. To facilitate the construction of instructive messages, the
380 recommended action plans include both the instance actions that the entity should follow at the present
381 time and the ultimate goal of the entity.

382   When the message components are filled into the selected message template properly, the informative
383 and instructive messages are integrated to form a personalized message for the concerned entity. When all
384 entities in the entity list have been considered, the informable entities should be ranked into a priority list
385 according to their SIIs. The generated priority list and the personalized messages are the output of the
386 prototype implementation of the MCM. This output is used as a basis for providing message generation
387 services for and to perform informing operations by the I-CPS application embedding the computational
388 platform for dynamic context computation.

4. Validation of the Proposed MCM

390   The usability of the proposed MCM was tested in a simulated scenario: an indoor fire evacuation. The
391 implemented prototype was assumed to provide messaging services for an I-CPS, which controls the
392 process of evacuation. This experimental system is referred to as indoor fire evacuation guiding (IFEG)
393 system. In this section, the background of this application, the fundamental setup of the simulation, and
394 the details of simulation are presented. The validation involved a comparative study concerning the
395 assessment of the results of the dynamic context computation enabled MCM and an approach which
396 controlled the evacuation based on only static context information. The quality of the generated messages
397 was evaluated by human stakeholders.

4.1 Description of the application case

399   In case of indoor fire, the practical issues to be considered are: (i) the stakeholders need information
400 concerning the danger, which makes them aware of their actual situation, and (ii) the optimal route for a
401 stakeholder is not always the shortest path, since it may be occupied by fire or taken by a people jam at
402 certain point in time (currently or in the near future). The objective of the IFEG system is to provide
403 personalized messages to the stakeholders (escapers and firemen) in a burning building to support the
404 evacuation of all the escapers safely. Therefore, both informative and instructive messages should be
405 generated and delivered in the right time to the stakeholders. If we assume that all pieces of information
406 related to the varying event scenario can be aggregated properly, the IFEG system should (i) smartly
407 handle the dynamically changing context of stakeholders, (ii) develop individualized solutions (action
408 plans) based on context-based reasoning operations, and (iii) generate proper personalized messages for
409 communication. In the following part of this section, the generation of personalized messages for the
410 IFEG system and the perceived value of these messages are assessed.

4.2 Simulation of the application case

412   To simulate a real-life indoor fire scenario, the following environment set up was implemented in
413 MatLab®: The ground floor of the Building IDE of TU Delft (Fig. 6(a)) was digitally modelled by a 2D
414 space (Fig. 6(b)). Its size was 130m*100m. The initialized situation involved 80 stakeholders (represented
415 by circles and diamonds in Fig. 6(b)), 4 exits (represented by solid cubes in Fig. 6(b)), and a location of a

Fig. 6.   Simulation of a real-life scenario: (a) The ground floor of Faculty IDE of TU Delft, (b) Initialization of the simulated scenario, (c) Simulated scenario at t = 30s, (d) Simulated scenario at t = 90s.

416   starting fire (represented by the hollow cube in Fig. 6(b)). The 40 diamonds in the figure represent
417   stakeholders who are able to receive the messages provided by the system, while the circles represent
418   stakeholders who cannot be informed by the system individually. When the fire was detected according to
419   the assumed scenario (t = 20s), it was assumed that the fire alarm worked. The fire proliferated to the
420   neighbor locations with a predefined probability (p = 12.5%). Assumed was that most of the stakeholders
421   started to move towards the nearest exit with constant but randomly generated speeds of motion (a normal
422   distribution with the mean value of 0.75m/s and with a standard deviation of 0.1). However, ten
423   stakeholders neglected the fire alarm and stayed around until the fire front reached them. Every
424   stakeholder was characterized by a collision volume that was considered as an obstruction of the
425   movement of another stakeholder who intended to pass through. This was a basis for the generation of
426   people jams. Based on the basic settings, the simulated situations at t = 30s and t = 90s are shown in Fig.
427   6(c) and Fig. 6(d), respectively.

428   **4.3   Adaptation of the implemented prototype to the application case**

429   Two types of situations were considered in this scenario, which included (i) fire and (ii) people jams.
430   A people jam was identified when the distances between any two of four or more stakeholders were less
431   than 1 meter. The distance between any two stakeholders was calculated as the length of the shortest path
432   for a stakeholder to follow in the space. The distance between the fire and a stakeholder was considered

433 as the linear distance between the nearest point of the fire front and the location of the stakeholder. The
434 impact coefficient of the fire was set to -1, while the impact coefficient of a people jam was calculated
435 based on the following equation:

$$IC_{\text{people\_jam}} = -0.01 * n^{0.7} \tag{3}$$

436 where: n is the number of stakeholders in the people jam. To minimize the fluctuations on the calculated
437 SII at a given point in time, a period of time with 11 time points (5 points of data were aggregated from
438 the history and 5 points of data were predicted for the future) was considered in the calculation of the SII
439 of a stakeholder according to the following formula:

$$SII_{s_j} = \sum_{t=1}^{11} w_t * SII_{s_j}(t) \tag{4}$$

440 where, w_t is the weight for each of the considered points in time, using $w_1 = w_2 = w_{10} = w_{11} = 0.025$,
441 $w_3 = w_4 = w_8 = w_9 = 0.05$, $w_5 = w_7 = 0.1$, $w_6 = 0.5$. The personal danger level was considered as one of two
442 levels according to the value of the calculated SII, namely (i) normal level (SII < 0.1), and (ii) emergent
443 level (SII > 0.1).

444 The message templates designed for message construction in different conditions are shown in Table 2.
445 For each condition, a message template contains two sentences. The first sentence represents the status of
446 the situation, while the second sentence represents the change of the situation. In addition, when the
447 personal danger level is normal, the informative message includes information about the location of the
448 situation. When the personal danger level is emergent, the informative message includes information
449 about the relationship between the situation and the target stakeholder. In the validation experiment, four
450 stakeholders (marked in Fig. 6) were selected from the varying scenario to see what messages they can
451 receive. The location changes of the stakeholders can be observed. To compare to the proposed MCM,
452 another message construction mechanism was also implemented based on the static context information
453 (SCI) of the concerned stakeholder, which considers the location of the fire only and neglects the
454 proliferation of fire and changes of people jams. The next sub-section will present the simulation results.

4.4 Simulation results

456 The algorithms have been implemented in the Matlab® developer environment. Using a PC with Intel

Table 2. Predefined message template for informative message construction in different personal situations.

Conditions			
SII	The situation with the largest impact indicator	Predefined message templates	Examples of informative messages
SII ≤ 0.1	Fire	(ds_name, link_v, spa_info). (ds_name, ds_verb, ds_att_adv).	Fire is in the computer room. Fire proliferates slowly.
	People jam	('A', ds_name, '(', ds_att_1,')', link_v, spa_info) (ds_att_2, att_verb)	A people jam (10 people) is in front of exit 1. People number is decreasing.
SII > 0.1	Fire	(ds_name, link_v, spa_re, 'you!'). (ds_name, ds_verb, ds_att_adv, ori_re, 'you').	Fire is very close to you. Fire proliferates fast away from you.
	People jam	('A', ds_name, '(',ds_att_1,')', link_v, spa_re, 'you!'). ('The', ds_name, ds_verb, ds_att_adv, ori_re, 'you!').	A people jam (20 people) is in front of you. The people jam moves fast towards you!

Table 3. Personalized messages generated based on the proposed MCM.

No.	Messages generated for the stakeholders	
	At t = 30 s	At t = 90 s
P1	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Fire proliferates slowly. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 3!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Fire proliferates slowly. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 3!
P2	Fire will be close to you! Fire proliferates slowly away from you! Please turn back. The target exit is EXIT 1!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Fire proliferates slowly. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 1!
P3	Fire will be close to you! Fire proliferates slowly towards you! Please leave the room. The target exit is EXIT 3!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Fire proliferates slowly. Please turn left. The target exit is EXIT 3!
P4	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Fire proliferates slowly. Please leave the room. The target exit is EXIT 1!	A people jam (12 people) is at the exit ahead. People number is increasing. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 1!

2.50 GHz Core i5 processor and 8 GB RAM, the time needed for message generation for 40 stakeholders was 66 ms. The personalized messages generated for the four concerned stakeholders based on the proposed MCM are shown in Table 3, whereas Table 4 presents the messages generated based on the static context information of the stakeholders. It can be seen from the results that the messages generated based on dynamic context information (DCI) contain not only the information about the current situation, but also trend information related to the change of the situations. In addition, when stakeholders were in emergent situations, e.g. P2 and P3 at t = 30s, the messages generated based on the MCM provided sufficient information for the stakeholders about their personal circumstances. This is important for keeping stakeholders informed with the emergent situation, e.g. close to the fire.

When a stakeholder was in a relatively safe situation, e.g. P4 at t = 90s, the MCM considered the people jam to inform. This is because that the stakeholder was far away from the fire and the implication of the fire on P4 was lower than the people jam formed in front of Exit 1. In addition, information about the changes in the situation was included, e.g. the people number is increasing, which is a piece of additional information and may help the stakeholder to make better judgments. On the other hand, the messages generated based on the static context, contain limited information with regard to the change of the scenario, as shown in Table 4. Although the most critical information was contained in the messages, e.g. where the fire is and what the suggested personal actions are, the messages do not provide sufficient information about the personal context when the fire is not important to the stakeholder, e.g. P4 at t = 90s.

4.5 Human evaluation of messaging

Altogether 18 human subjects (11 males and 7 females) were asked to evaluate the messages

Table 4. Personalized messages generated based on static context information.

No.	Messages generated for the stakeholders	
	At t=30	At t=90
P1	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 3!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 3!
P2	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please turn back. The target exit is EXIT 1!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 1!
P3	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please leave the room. The target exit is EXIT 3!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please turn left. The target exit is EXIT 3!
P4	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please leave the room. The target exit is EXIT 1!	Fire is in the corridor of the C block. Please go ahead. The target exit is EXIT 1!

477 generated based on both
478 approaches. The subjects
479 were master students and
480 Ph. D. students of Harbin
481 Institute of Technology,
482 China. Before the
483 evaluation was taken, the
484 subjects were asked to act
485 as one of the stakeholders
486 in the simulated fire
487 evacuation scenario. The
488 personalized messages
489 generated by both
490 approaches were shown to

Table 5. The questionnaire designed for the human evaluation of the generated messages.

Aspects of QoM	Statements	Grades
Usefulness	The messages are necessary for stakeholders to escape from hazardous situations.	(1-5)
Sufficiency	The messages contain sufficient information about the context of the stakeholders.	(1-5)
Informativeness	The information contained in the messages is clear and representative.	(1-5)
Added-value	The messages reduce the anxiety of stakeholders in hazardous situations.	(1-5)
Convincingness	The stakeholders will obey the instructions.	(1-5)

491 them during the play of the animation. After these, a focused questionnaire was designed for them to
492 support collecting their opinions, which is shown in Table 5. The questionnaire contained five
493 (informative) statements. For each statement, the subjects were asked to select one of the reflections
494 based on their own judgment, including (i) grade 1: completely disagree, (ii) grade 2: partially disagree,
495 (iii) grade 3: no sense, (iv) grade 4: partially agree, and (v) grade 5: totally agree. Actually, these
496 statements were developed representing five aspects with regard to the quality of the generated message
497 (QoM), which can be seen in Table 5. The results of the human evaluation are shown in Table 6. The
498 evaluation results of each aspect include the mean value and the sample standard deviation (SSD) of the
499 18 grades given by the subjects.

500 Based on Table 6, it was observed that the mean values provided for the fourth statement (concerning
501 added-value) were the lowest in the case of both approaches. It indicated that providing personalized
502 messages was of limited effectiveness in terms of eliminating the anxiety of stakeholders in the hazardous
503 situation. In addition, the mean values concerning the fifth statement, convincingness, are the highest in
504 both cases. It means that the involved subjects indeed tended to rely on the messages given to them. Most
505 of them wanted to obey the instructions in the hazard-intense situation. Furthermore, with regards to the
506 messages generated by the proposed MCM, the mean values for most of the considered aspects were
507 higher than those generated based on the SCI, except the fourth one. It means that the subjects preferred
508 the messages generated based on the DCI, except when the anxiety of stakeholders was also considered.
509 The reason could be explained as follows. In this case there was more content contained in the messages
510 generated by the MCM than in those generated based on the SCI. It can be understood. Stakeholders in
511 hazardous situations may become much more anxious when they need to read messages with a lot of
512 (technical) information, than when they are provided with concise messages.

513 In particular, in the answers concerning the fifth aspect, there were two 'Partially agree' option chosen
514 by the subjects for the messages generated by the MCM, while one 'Partially agree', two 'No sense' and

515 one 'Partially disagree' option
516 was chosen for the messages
517 generated based on the SCI. It
518 means that some subjects tended
519 to disregard the messages
520 provided to them, and may
521 disobey the instructions.
522 However, when the implications
523 of the DCI were contained in the
524 messages, the subjects showed a
525 stronger will to obey the received
526 instructions in comparison with

Table 6. Results of the human evaluation of the generated messages.

Aspects of QoM	Messages generated based on DCI		Messages generated based on SCI	
	Mean	SSD	Mean	SSD
Usefulness	4.33	0.84	4.22	0.65
Sufficiency	4.67	0.49	4.06	1.00
Informativeness	4.56	0.51	2.94	1.00
Added-value	3.61	0.85	3.78	1.00
Convincingness	4.89	0.32	4.56	0.92

527 the situations, when they received messages that contained only SCI information. Messages generated
528 based on SCI probably caused suspicion of the stakeholders with regard to the correctness of the
529 messages. On the other hand, some of the results show large SSDs in the case of the messages generated
530 by SCI, which means that the opinions of the subjects were diverse, whereas their opinions about the
531 messages generated based on the DCI were more consistent. These results indicate that except for
532 usefulness the difference between DCI and SCI based messages is more significant than represented by
533 the difference of the mean value.

5.   Discussion, conclusions, and future research

5.1.   Discussion of the major findings

536 By using informing CPSs, the hazard in critical events and situations can be reduced. An opportunity
537 for this is providing context-dependent informative or instructive messages for stakeholders who are
538 involved. As demonstrated in the state of the art in the field of automated and context-sensitive messaging,
539 dynamically changing situations of stakeholders should be dealt with in order to increase the quality of
540 informing. However, the existing solutions only consider static context information of stakeholders and
541 they can hardly be applied to process the heterogeneous, unstructured and dynamic context of
542 stakeholders. Towards this, the proposed personalized message construction depends on a real-time
543 assessment of the implications of the situations that are relevant to stakeholders. In this way, the most
544 relevant situation can be selected and the content of messages can be determined based on the descriptive
545 information of the selected situation. Another important consideration is that the proposed MCM is able
546 to calculate the personal danger level of individual stakeholders. This enables the MCM to choose a
547 proper template for message construction. The functionalities specified for the MCM are consistent with
548 the technical requirements.

549 The proposed MCM was implemented and tested in a simulated real-life scenario: an indoor fire
550 evacuation guiding application. Based on the applied template-based approach, personalized messages
551 can be generated in a real-time manner. The generated messages adaptively represent the personal context
552 of the (assumable) stakeholders. To test the quality of the generated messages (QoM), opinions from
553 human evaluators were collected with regard to the usefulness, sufficiency, informativeness, added-value
554 and convincingness of the generated messages. Despite the sampling size of the concerned human
555 evaluators was limited, valuable findings were obtained. On the one hand, most of the involved
556 stakeholders believed that the proposed MCM provides more useful, sufficient, informative and
557 convincing information about personal context and expected actions than the messages constructed based
558 on static context information only. On the other hand, when dynamic context information is contained in
559 the personalized messages, the involved stakeholders showed a higher level of agreement on the results of
560 the QoM.

561 The results of validation imply that the quality of information contained in personalized messages
562 could help stakeholders to make better judgments, at the same time, the obedience of the stakeholders to
563 the given instructions could be stimulated. On the other hand, as demonstrated by the results of human
564 evaluation, stakeholders might disobey the instructions given to them in the considered application case,
565 or in particular, in hazardous situations. It implies that if any disobedience situation happens and observed,
566 it does not make sense for the I-CPSs to provide any follow-up messages. The disobedience of
567 stakeholders should be considered as a part of the dynamic context of the stakeholders and handled by the
568 I-CPSs. This is recognized as the limitation of the proposed work.

5.2.   Conclusions

570 With the objective to inform stakeholders about their dynamically changing individual contexts, this
571 paper proposes a personalized messages construction mechanism. Based on the conducted research, the
572 following conclusions have been drawn.

573 (i)   The proposed strategy for dynamic context assessment enables quantitative evaluation of the
574   relevance of situations to individual stakeholders, which can be considered as a basis for determining
575   the content of messages utilized to inform the stakeholders.

576 (ii) The proposed template-based message construction mechanism proved to be an effective approach to
577   generating both informative and instructive messages according to the dynamically changing context
578   of stakeholders in a real-time manner.

579 (iii) In the presented case study, messages generated based on dynamic context information enabled the
580   stakeholders (e.g. escapees in a burning building) to have better awareness of the situation in
581   comparison with the messages generated based on static context information.

582 (iv) The proposed message construction mechanism provided more relevant information to stakeholders
583   in the investigated hazard-intense application and enabled better decision making concerning the
584   execution of the personalized action plans.

585 (v) According to the results of the human evaluation, the messages generated by the proposed MCM
586   were considered more useful, sufficient, informative and convincing than the messages generated
587   based on static context information only.

588 ### 5.3.   Future research

589   In the conducted research, the impact coefficients of different situations on stakeholders were
590 predefined, such as by using Eq. (3). However, in real-life applications, the implication of situations on
591 entities should be estimated and learned in real-time based on a synergic processing of the actual changes
592 of situations and the consequences caused by the changes. In addition, due to the fact that stakeholders
593 may disobey the instructions given to them, the messaging system should be aware of the tendency of
594 disobeying of concerned stakeholders and generate adaption strategies. These specific issues are in the
595 focus of our follow-up research. Longer term research may consider the following investigation and/or
596 developments: (i) integration of the dynamic context computation-based messaging mechanisms with
597 context-dependent system-level problem solving mechanisms, (ii) implementation of the combined
598 mechanisms in an application independent platform, (iii) developing developer and system interfaces for
599 the combined reasoning platform, and (iv) embedding the combined reasoning platform in various
600 informing cyber-physical systems and validating it in several different application cases.

601 ## References

602 Bagci, H., & Karagoz, P. (2016). Context-Aware Location Recommendation by Using a Random Walk-
603   Based Approach. *Knowledge and Information Systems, 47*(2), 241-260.
604   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-015-0857-0.
605 Baheti, R., & Gill, H. (2011) Cyber-Physical Systems. *The impact of control technology*, *12*(1), 161-166.
606 Braunhofer, M., Elahi, M., Ricci, F., & Schievenin, T. (2013). *Context-Aware Points of Interest*
607   *Suggestion with Dynamic Weather Data Management*: In: Xiang Z., Tussyadiah I. (eds) Information
608   and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2014. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-
609   319-03973-2_7.
610 Cohen, P. R., & Oviatt, S. L. (1995). The Role of Voice Input for Human-Machine Communication.
611   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 92*(22), 9921-9927.
612 Coutinho, N., Sargento, S., Condeixa, T., Janneteau, C., Simoes, J., Christophorou, C., Pinto, F. C., Neto,
613   A., & Carapeto, N. (2010). Context-Aware Multiparty Services Delivery: Evaluation and
614   Experimentation. *2010 Future Network & Mobile Summit*, Florence, Italy.
615 Ghorbel, M. Mokhtari, M. & Renouard, S. (2006). A Distributed Approach for Assistive Service
616   Provision in Pervasive Environment. *Proceedings of the 4th international workshop on Wireless*

617   *mobile applications and services on WLAN hotspots*, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 91-100.
618   doi:10.1145/1161023.1161037.
619   Gouin-Vallerand, C., Abdulrazak, B., Giroux, S., & Dey, A. K. (2013). A Context-Aware Service
620   Provision System for Smart Environments Based on the User Interaction Modalities. *Journal of*
621   *Ambient Intelligence & Smart Environments, 5*(1), 47-64.
622   Zhou, H., Huang, M., & Zhu, X. (2016). Context-Aware Natural Language Generation for Spoken
623   Dialogue Systems. *Proceedings of COLING 2016, the 26th International Conference on*
624   *Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers*, Osaka, Japan. 2032-2041.
625   Horváth, I., Li, Y., Rusák, Z., van der Vegte, W. F., & Zhang, G. (2016). Dynamic Spatial Context
626   Computation for Time-Varying Process Scenarios. *Journal of Computing & Information Science in*
627   *Engineering, 17*(1), 1-12. doi:10.1115/DETC2016-59046.
628   Horváth, I., Rusák, Z., & Li, Y. (2017). Order Beyond Chaos: Introducing the Notion of Generation to
629   Characterize the Continuously Evolving Implementations of Cyber-Physical Systems. *Proceedings of*
630   *ASME 2017 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and*
631   *Information in Engineering Conference*, August 6-9, Cleveland, Ohio, USA. 1-14.
632   http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/DETC2017-67082.
633   Ibrahim, A. M., Venkat, I, Subramanian, K. G., Khader, A. T., & Wilde, P. D. (2016). Intelligent
634   Evacuation Management Systems: A Review. *Acm Transactions on Intelligent Systems & Technology,*
635   *7*(3), 36. http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2842630.
636   Kataria, P., MacFie, A., Juric, R., & Madani, K. (2008). Ontology for Supporting Context Aware
637   Applications for the Intelligent Hospital Ward. *Journal of Integrated Design and Process Science,*
638   *12*(3), 35-44.
639   Knox, S., Shannon, R., Coyle, L., Clear, A.K., Dobson, S., Quigley, A.J., Nixon, P. (2008). Scatterbox:
640   Context-Aware Message Management. *Revue d'Intelligence Artificielle, 22*.
641   Knox, S., Clear, A.K., Shannon, R., Coyle, L., Dobson, S., Quigley, A.J., & Nixon, P. (2007). Towards
642   Scatterbox: A Context-Aware Message Forwarding Platform. *Fourth International Workshop on*
643   *Modeling and Reasoning in Context in conjunction with Context '07*.
644   Lehsten, P., Bader, S., & Tavangarian, D. (2014). Casa - Context-Aware Service Access. *Journal of*
645   *Integrated Design and Process Science, 18*(1), 21-38. doi: 10.3233/jid-2014-0008.
646   Mellish, C., Scott, D., Cahill, L., Paiva, D., Evans, R., & Reape, M. (2006). A Reference Architecture for
647   Natural Language Generation Systems. *Natural Language Engineering, 12*(1), 1-34. doi:
648   10.1017/S1351324906004104.
649   Nakanishi, Y., Tsuji, T., Ohyama, M., & Hakozaki, K. (2000). Context Aware Messaging Service: A
650   Dynamical Messaging Delivery Using Location Information and Schedule Information. *Personal*
651   *Ubiquitous Comput., 4*(4), 221-224. doi: 10.1007/s007790070007.
652   Ochsman, R.B., & Chapanis, A. (1974). The Effects of 10 Communication Modes on the Behavior of
653   Teams During Co-Operative Problem-Solving. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, 6*(5),
654   579-619. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7373(74)80019-2.
655   Osborn, W., & Hinze, A. (2014). Tip-Tree: A Spatial Index for Traversing Locations in Context-Aware
656   Mobile Access to Digital Libraries. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing, 15*, 26-47.
657   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2013.12.002.
658   Pashtan, A., Blattler, R., Heusser, A., & Scheuermann, P. (2003). Catis: A Context-Aware Tourist
659   Information System. *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop of Mobile Computing*, Rostock.
660   Pinto, F. C., Videira, A., Carapeto, N., & Dinis, M. (2012). Context-Aware Multimedia Distribution for
661   Multiparty Communications. In J. Rodriguez, R. Tafazolli & C. Verikoukis (Eds.), *Mobile*
662   *Multimedia Communications: 6th International Icst Conference, Mobimedia 2010, Lisbon, Portugal*.
663   https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35155-6_8.
664   Reiter, E. (1994). Has a Consensus Nl Generation Architecture Appeared, and Is It Psycholinguistically
665   Plausible? *Proceedings of the Seventh International Workshop on Natural Language Generation*.
666   163-170.

667 Saeedi, S., Moussa, A., & El-Sheimy, N. (2014). Context-Aware Personal Navigation Using Embedded
668   Sensor Fusion in Smartphones. *Sensors, 14*(4), 5742-5767. doi: 10.3390/s140405742.
669 Shojanoori, R., Juric, R., & Lohi, M. (2012). Computationally Significant Semantics in Pervasive
670   Healthcare. *Journal of Integrated Design and Process Science, 16*(1), 43-62. doi: 10.3233/jid-2012-
671   0009.
672 Tang, J., Yang, Y., Carton, S., Zhang, M., & Mei, Q. (2016). Context-Aware Natural Language
673   Generation with Recurrent Neural Networks. doi:arXiv:1611.09900.
674 Tavčar, J., & Horváth, I. (2018). A Review of the Principles of Designing Smart Cyber-Physical Systems
675   for Run-Time Adaptation: Learned Lessons and Open Issues. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man,
676   and Cybernetics: Systems*, (99), 1-14. doi:10.1109/TSMC.2018.2814539.
677 Wu, B.F., Yao, S. J., Hou, L.W., Chang, P.J., Tseng, W.J., Huang, C.W., Chen, Y.S., & Yang, P.Y.
678   (2016). Intelligent Shopping Assistant System. *2016 International Automatic Control Conference
679   (CACS)*, 236-241. doi:10.1109/CACS.2016.7973916.
680 Zaguia, A., Hina, M. D., Tadj, C., & Ramdane-Cherif, A. (2010). Interaction Context-Aware Modalities
681   and Multimodal Fusion for Accessing Web Services. *Ubiquitous Computing and Communication
682   Journal, 5*(4), 1-15.
683 Zhang, L. & Sun, J.T. (2009). Text Generation. In L., Liu & M.T., ÖZsu (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of
684   Database Systems.* 3048-3051. Boston, MA: Springer US.
685 Zheng, H.T., Wang, W., Chen, W., Chen, J.Y., & Sangaiah, A.K. (2017). Automatic Generation of News
686   Comments Based on Gated Attention Neural Networks. *IEEE Access,* 702 - 710, 1-1. doi:
687   10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2774839.

Author Biographies

Yongzhe Li is a Ph.D. candidate in the Cyber-Physical Systems Research Group at the Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering, Delft University of Technology. Concurrently, he is also a Ph.D. candidate at the State Key Laboratory of Advanced Welding and Joining, Harbin Institute of Technology. His research interests include context-aware computation, smart informing cyber-physical systems, and GMAW-based additive manufacturing.

Imre Horváth is a chair professor at the Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering, Delft University of Technology. He worked for the Hungarian Shipyards and Crane Factory and had faculty positions at the Technical University of Budapest. He is the initiator of the TMCE Symposia. He served on the Executive Board of the CIE Division of ASME and is now a fellow of ASME. He is emeritus editor of Journal CAD and associate editor of Journal of Engineering Design. He has more than 380 publications. His current research interest is cognitive engineering of smart cyber-physical systems.

Zoltán Rusák is an assistant professor at Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering of the Delft University of Technology. He obtained his master degree in the field of mechanical engineering from the Budapest University of Technology and Economics in 1998. He earned his Ph.D. in Computer Aided Design Engineering from the Delft University of Technology in 2003. His research interest includes human-system interaction, personalization methods, adaptive systems, and ambient intelligence.

689