

## Remote co-development of a design education toolkit for children rural Kenya

Westerhof, M.B.; Gielen, M.A.; van Boeijen, A.G.C.; Jowi, James Otieno

**Publication date**

2021

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Citation (APA)**

Westerhof, M. B., Gielen, M. A., van Boeijen, A. G. C., & Jowi, J. O. (2021). *Remote co-development of a design education toolkit for children rural Kenya*. 13-14. Abstract from The 38th Pupil's Attitude Toward Technology Conference, Rauma, Finland. <https://seafire.utu.fi/d/783ad91a37284ab8807e/>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

TECHNOLOGY IN OUR HANDS // Creative Pedagogy and Ambitious Teacher Education // #patt38conference



**The 38th Pupils' Attitude Toward  
Technology Conference**  
Book of Abstracts  
2021

PATT38 hosted by University of Turku, Department of Teacher Education, Rauma Campus // 27th – 30th April 2021



## Remote co-development of a design education toolkit for children in rural Kenya

Marten Westerhof, Mathieu Gielen & Annemiek van Boeijen, Delft University of Technology,  
James Otieno Jowi, SRI Kenya, Ahero

Design and maker workshops are gaining prominence as a format for technology education. Specific cultural and socio-geographic conditions require adjustments to such education (Lemon et al. 2020; Axel, 2020; Kauai, 2018). In a project commissioned by the Kenyan nongovernmental organisation Sustainable Rural Initiatives (SRI), a starter kit has been developed to help elementary school-age children in the rural Okana community develop design skills in a playful way. The design toolkit has been developed remotely from the Netherlands during the 2020/2021 Covid pandemic, in dialogue with a local community worker.

As the Dutch designer was unable to test the workshops on location, he had to gain an understanding of the local context remotely, relying on long-distance communication with limited and intermittent connectivity. As a result, much of his effort was focused on preparing digital materials that instructed and guided the SRI community worker in organising these workshops. The community worker shared the workshop process and results through photos and videos. After each activity, experiences were discussed in video conversations to feed the iterative process of redesigning the toolkit.

The original goal of this project was to let children make their own toys that would be sustainable, affordable, and accessible to them. Making their own toys would allow the children to better reflect their culture, environment and community values in their own designs (Else 2009, pp. 44-45). As the project progressed, the emphasis shifted from the end result (the toys) to the playful learning process (Zosh, 2017) of making toys from locally available free materials, such as twigs and clay.

The resulting toolkit consists of concise visual/written instruction sheets for the workshop host and supporting videos for participants, based on design skill didactics (Klapwijk & van den Burg, 2019). A culture-sensitive design approach (van Boeijen & Zijlstra, 2020) is key. A variety of aspects was considered, including the topics for the design challenges (a.o. means of transport, homes, and bridges), design terms (language and jargon), and language & music in the videos.

The first workshops are clearly pre-formatted, such that a community worker can become acquainted with the proposed didactics and become self-reliant to carry out subsequent - more open - assignments. Each workshop introduces a design challenge in three stages: Explore, Prototype, and Present. The videos include questions that provoke discussion between the children. Each child then builds a scale model in which their ideal solution is elaborated. The children present their design to each other and thus discover and celebrate the great diversity of possible solutions.

The playful creation process, the diversity of outcomes and intermediate video conversations sufficed to keep the children motivated and engaged. Even though no specific participatory appraisal methods (Chamber, 1994; van Boeijen & Stappers, 2011) were used to enhance the acceptability of the design, the remote way of collaboration during the pandemic had a positive effect on the contribution of the local stakeholders; they co-developed the embedding of the educational kit in the user context unknown to the designer.

Keywords: design education, children, learning through play, rural communities, Covid-19, culture-sensitive design

- Axell, C. (2020). *Broadening the Horizons of Technology Education: Using Traditional Cultural Artefacts as Learning Tools in a Swedish Sámi School*. *Design and Technology Education*, 25(2), 192-216.
- Chambers, R. (1994). *The origins and practice of participatory rural appraisal*. *World Development*, 22(7), 953-969. doi: 10.1016/0305-750X(94)90141-4
- Else, P. (2009). *The value of play*. London, United Kingdom: Continuum International Publishing Group.
- Kaui, T. M. M., Doria, K., Gomera K., Kamakau S. M. IV., Waiki Q. (2018). *Developing Creativity and Imagination in Native Hawaiian Adolescents*. 36th International Pupils' Attitudes Towards Technology Conference.
- Klapwijk, R., & van den Burg, N. (2019). *Formative Assessment in Primary Design Education -involving pupils in clarifying the learning goal of divergent thinking*. 37th International Pupils' Attitudes Towards Technology Conference.
- Lemon, R., Lee, K. M., & Dale, H. (2020). *The marau Hangarau (Māori-medium Technology curriculum): Why there isn't much research but why there should be!*. *Australasian Journal of Technology Education*.
- van Boeijen, A.G.C., & Stappers, P.J. (2011). *Serving the under-served: What can designers learn from Rural Appraisal Techniques?* Proceedings of the International Association of Societies of Design Research conference, 31 October - 04 November 2011, Delft.
- van Boeijen, A.G.C. & Zijlstra, I.S.J. (2020). *Culture Sensitive Design – A guide to culture in practice*. Amsterdam: BIS Publishers.
- Zosh, J. M., Hopkins, E. J., Jensen, H., Liu, C., Neale, D., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Solis, S. L., & Whitebread, D. (2017). *Learning through play: a review of the evidence* (white paper). LEGO Fonden.