

**Delft University of Technology** 

# Multiparameter Investigation of laser-induced nucleation of supersaturated aqueous KCI solutions

Kacker, Rohit; Dhingra, Sanjana; Irimia, Daniel; Ghatkesar, Murali Krishna; Stankiewicz, Andrzej; Kramer, Herman J.M.; Eral, Huseyin Burak

**DOI** 10.1021/acs.cgd.7b01277

Publication date 2018 Document Version Final published version Published in Crystal Growth & Design

# Citation (APA)

Kacker, R., Dhingra, S., Irimia, D., Ghatkesar, M. K., Stankiewicz, A., Kramer, H. J. M., & Eral, H. B. (2018). Multiparameter Investigation of laser-induced nucleation of supersaturated aqueous KCI solutions. *Crystal Growth & Design*, *18*(1), 312-317. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.cgd.7b01277

# Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

# 'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



Article pubs.acs.org/crystal

# Multiparameter Investigation of Laser-Induced Nucleation of Supersaturated Aqueous KCI Solutions

Rohit Kacker,<sup>†</sup> Sanjana Dhingra,<sup>†</sup> Daniel Irimia,<sup>†</sup> Murali Krishna Ghatkesar,<sup>‡</sup> Andrzej Stankiewicz,<sup>†</sup> Herman J. M. Kramer,<sup>†</sup> and Huseyin Burak Eral\*<sup>,†,§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Intensified Reaction & Separation Systems, Process & Energy Laboratory, Delft University of Technology, Leeghwaterstraat 39, 2628 CB Delft, The Netherlands

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Precision and Microsystems Engineering, 3ME faculty, Delft University of Technology, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

<sup>§</sup>Van't Hoff Laboratory for Physical and Colloid Chemistry, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht. The Netherlands

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the nonphotochemical laserinduced nucleation (NPLIN). Identifying the dominant mechanism requires addressing a large set of experimental parameters with a statistically significant number of samples, forced by the stochastic nature of nucleation. In this study, with aqueous KCl system, we focus on the nucleation probability as a function of laser wavelength, laser intensity, and sample supersaturation, whereas the influence of filtration and the laser-induced radiation pressure on



NPLIN activity is also studied. To account for the nucleation stochasticity, we used 80-100 samples. The NPLIN probability showed an increase with increasing laser intensity. The results are different from the previous report, as a supersaturation independent intensity threshold is not observed. No dependence of the NPLIN probability on the laser wavelength (355, 532, and 1064 nm) was observed. Filtration of samples reduced the nucleation probability suggesting a pronounced role of impurities on NPLIN. The magnitude and the propagation velocity of the laser-induced radiation pressure were quantified using a pressure sensor under laser intensities ranging from 0.5 to 80 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>. No correlation was found between the radiation pressure and NPLIN at our unfocused laser beam intensities ruling out the radiation pressure as a possible cause for nucleation.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Alternative methods to extend the toolbox for controlling nucleation are sought after. As nucleation is the starting step for the creation of the new crystalline phase, firm control over the nucleation is required to get "first-time-right" crystals. Control of the nucleation rate is required to ensure the formation of a sufficient number of nuclei under optimal conditions for their outgrowth. Moderate supersaturations are used, which maximize the growth while avoiding impurity uptake, the emergence of metastable forms, and undesired crystal shapes. Nonphotochemical laser-induced nucleation (NPLIN) has been suggested as a promising method to alter the nucleation kinetics. Transparency of supersaturated solutions to the incident light distinguishes NPLIN from the photochemically initiated reactions that lead to reactive crystallization. Several reports point out that nonphotochemical laser irradiation dramatically reduces the nucleation induction time and controls polymorphism in various fine chemicals relevant for industrial practice.<sup>1–7</sup> Despite the large set of experimental literature on NPLIN, there is no consensus on the working mechanism. Several working mechanisms have been hypothesized so far. The optical Kerr effect has been first suggested to influence the nucleation because of the density fluctuations resulting from the anisotropic polarization of the prenucleation clusters due to electric field of the laser beam.8 The use of DC fields to control

the nucleation of polymorphic forms has supported this hypothesis.9 On the other hand, simulation of nucleation under the influence of the laser-induced electrical field has shown that the field strengths at the laser intensities commonly used in NPLIN studies are too low to influence nucleation.<sup>10</sup> In addition, recent experiments using digital imaging to quantify the orientation of the grown urea crystals with respect to the polarization of the incident laser light during NPLIN did not support the previously claimed influence of laser polarization on the crystal orientation.<sup>11</sup> Laser trapping,<sup>12,13</sup> cavitation,<sup>14–17</sup> formation of bubbles,<sup>18,19</sup> and presence of impurities<sup>20</sup> have also been proposed as mechanisms for or aiding NPLIN. Other studies using KCl solutions have explained the observed NPLIN to be due to isotropic electronic polarizability of the KCl clusters.<sup>21</sup> Molecular dynamic simulations carried out on KCl system have also corroborated the existence of electronically polarizable clusters with a relaxation time on the order of 100 ps, which is comparable to the laser pulse duration.<sup>22</sup> NPLIN studies using potassium halides (KCl & KBr) showed in general a strong dependence of the nucleation probability on the laser beam intensity, with a probability of 1 being achieved

September 9, 2017 Received: Revised: November 20, 2017 Published: November 28, 2017

at laser intensities higher than 25 MW/cm<sup>2</sup> and no NPLIN effect was observed below 5 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>.<sup>22,23</sup> Furthermore, the KCl system required no prior aging of the supersaturated samples to achieve NPLIN with a single laser pulse and no effect of laser polarization on nucleation was observed.<sup>24</sup> Extensive studies have been carried out to extend the understanding of NPLIN by varying the width of the laser pulse,<sup>25</sup> by limiting the penetration depth of the laser into the sample by use of an evanescent wave<sup>26</sup> and by using micro droplets and gel solutions to gain spatial and temporal control over nucleation.<sup>27,28</sup>

Interestingly, recent work has shown the tendency of the supersaturated solutions of various sodium salts and tartaric acid to nucleate under the influence of the laser-induced pressure wave (sound/shock wave) passing through the solution.<sup>29</sup> The study claimed that the crystals form as a result of the pressure waves appearing in the sample when the laser is focused into the solution or on the opaque wall of the container containing the solution. The variation in the local pressure and temperature caused by the shock wave was reasoned to alter the chemical potential and hence the nucleation rates. The laser-induced shock wave thus adds yet another potential working mechanism for NPLIN.

In this work, we contribute to the current understanding of the NPLIN phenomenon by investigating multiple parameters such as, the laser wavelength and intensity, the supersaturation of the solution and the influence of filtration of the aqueous KCl solution using a statistical significant number of samples.<sup>1</sup> In addition, we have investigated whether the laser-induced pressure wave can be correlated to NPLIN. The stochastic nature of nucleation has been taken into account by studying 80–100 samples for quantifying the nucleation probability. The study has been carried out by shining a single pulse of the unfocused laser beam of different wavelengths (355, 532, and 1064 nm) through aqueous supersaturated solution of KCl. The laser-induced pressure has been quantified and its effect on nucleation probability is studied. The radiation pressure caused by the interaction of the unfocused laser beam with the experimental system (the solution and the glass vial) is quantified by measuring the pressure signal with a piezoelectric transducer placed just below the air-liquid interface. NPLIN was studied with samples at supersaturations (S =1.049&1.027) that were found to be stable to mechanical disturbances and nucleate only when exposed to laser pulse. We showed that a single laser pulse at relatively low beam intensity (~0.5 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to previous reports<sup>21</sup> can induce nucleation. We discuss our results in depth along with an assessment of potential NPLIN mechanisms.

# 2. MATERIALS & METHODS

**2.1. Materials and Sample Preparation.** KCl ( $\geq$ 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and purified water (Elga PURELAB Ultra, Type I+ > 18 MΩ.cm) have been used in this study. Solution of KCl in water, with concentration of 369 mg of KCl/g of water and 377 mg of KCl/g of water, was prepared corresponding to a respective supersaturation of 1.027 and 1.049 at 24 °C. The solution was prepared by dissolving KCl in water at 50 °C. Six ml of heated solution was then transferred into borosilicate glass vials 1.3 cm in diameter and sealed. The vials were again heated overnight in an oven at 50 °C to ensure complete dissolution before being taken out and allowed to cool to the room temperature maintained at 24 ± 1 °C. Therefore, the required supersaturation was maintained with an error of ±2%. In addition, a set of samples were also filtered through a syringe filter (0.45  $\mu$ m, Whatman Puradisc) when hot as a part of the sample preparation

procedure. The syringe filters with cellulose acetate as the filtration media were used which can be autoclaved at 121 °C for sterilization. The control samples (both filtered and unfiltered) which were brought to the same supersaturation and handled in the same way but not exposed to laser did not nucleate over a period of 1–2 weeks.

2.2. Experimental Setup and Method. A Q-switched Nd:YAG laser, Continuum Powerlite DLS 8000 model, was used to generate a train of 7 ns linearly polarized light pulses at (the repetition rate of 10 Hz) the fundamental wavelength of 1064 nm. The fundamental beam was further doubled and tripled in frequency via second harmonic generation (SHG) and third harmonic generation (THG) processes in potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP) nonlinear crystals to produce wavelengths of 532 and 355 nm, respectively. The output powers at the new wavelengths could be varied by gently changing the alignment of the KDP crystals and thus altering their SHG/THG conversion efficiencies. The laser beam was then passed through a homemade 2lens system telescope to shrink the beam diameter from 9 to 4.5 mm. The energy of the light pulses was measured behind the telescope using a power/energy meter, Gentec Electro Optique- Maestro Monitor, whereas their duration was precisely monitored with a highspeed photodetector, Thorlabs DET10A 1 ns rise time. The experimental setup is in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** (a) Schematic of the experimental setup showing different components and path of the laser beam and (b) photograph of the experimental setup with the beam path illustrated.

To study the NPLIN phenomenon and the resulting nucleation probability, we exposed vials to a single pulse of laser at a particular constant intensity and wavelength. The effect of laser wavelengths on NPLIN was studied at 355, 532, and 1064 nm. For each parameter 80–100 samples were used to ensure a robust set of data. In order to visually detect crystals, a wait period of 60 min was observed for each experiment, which was sufficient in all the cases studied in this work (see the Supporting Information). Precautions were taken to handle the supersaturated sample carefully during experiments to avoid any unwanted mechanical shocks. The number of vials that showed nucleation was recorded and the results were reported as fraction of the total number of vials exposed to the laser pulse, from now on termed as the nucleation probability. The blank samples that were not exposed to the laser pulse were not labile to nucleation for many days at same constant supersaturation.

The interaction of the laser with the sample generates a pressure wave; the pressure fluctuation was quantified in separate set of experiments by dipping a pressure transducer (KISTLER Type 601H



Figure 2. Nucleation probability (the ratio of number of samples nucleated to the number of samples exposed- the commonly used terminology in literature) at 60 min after laser irradiation as a function of the laser intensity at different wavelengths (355, 532, and 1064 nm) and at a fixed supersaturation (S = 1.049). Experiments were done with 80–100 unfiltered samples for each parameter.

with sensitivity of 0.001 bar) just below the air-liquid interface of saturated KCl solution in ultrapure water.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 2 shows the nucleation probability as a function of the incident laser intensity at different wavelengths (355, 532, and 1064 nm) for a fixed supersaturation level, S = 1.049. The vials exposed crystallized even at low intensities (below 1.5 MW/ cm<sup>2</sup>). At all the three laser wavelengths used in the study, we report 100% nucleation probability at intensities above of 5  $MW/cm^2$  (indicating that NPLIN was observed in all the samples exposed to the single pulse of laser within the observation time of 60 min). These results are in conflict with a recent paper, which reported a supersaturation independent threshold intensity (~6 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>) for NPLIN, in aqueous KCl system using single laser pulses at 1064 nm.<sup>21</sup> The paper reported a very low nucleation probability (~10%) with the intensity of approximately 6 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>. In addition, the nucleation probability of KCl system has been reported to increase linearly with laser intensity in the range of 6-35 MW/  $cm^2$ . We see 5 MW/ $cm^2$  to be the saturation intensity above which nucleation probability was 100% while below this intensity value, a decreasing trend in the nucleation probability is seen. It should be noted that the procedure for cleaning the vials and filtering of the solution was different in the reported literature and the present paper. This difference in impurity level has been addressed further in this paper. Our observation is comparable to reports with an aqueous solution of glycine where NPLIN activity has been reported to be a nonlinear function of the laser intensity, approaching a saturation value.<sup>3</sup> On the basis of our observations, the threshold intensity to trigger NPLIN is low, regardless of the wavelength of the incident laser. The strong dependence of NPLIN on the laser beam intensity can also be speculated to be due to NPLIN mechanisms such as electronic polarizability which theoretically depend on the laser electric field strength.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, the nucleation probabilities are higher with the 355 nm wavelength. To ensure that the role of photochemistry is ruled out at the shorter wavelength of 355 nm, we checked the intensity of the pulse before and after it passed the vial. The variations in the intensity measurements were similar to measurements at

wavelength of 532 and 1064 nm, confirming the transparency of the solution. Although, with the present results, it is difficult to reason the higher nucleation probability at 355 nm.

The nucleation probability was measured as a function of the laser intensity also at a lower supersaturation (S = 1.027). Figure 3, shows the nucleation probability (at 60 min after laser



**Figure 3.** Nucleation probability (at 60 min after laser irradiation) as a function of the laser intensity at two supersaturations (S = 1.049 and 1.027) and fixed wavelength of 532 nm (based on 100 unfiltered samples).

irradiation) as a function of laser intensity at 532 nm and at two supersaturations, S = 1.049 and 1.027. As expected, the nucleation probabilities are lower at the lower supersaturation. Unlike the relatively high nucleation probability of the samples at S = 1.049 at the two lowest intensities, no NPLIN took place at S = 1.027. NPLIN at the higher supersaturation (S = 1.049) and low intensities (below 10 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>) resulted in only a few crystals (2–4 in number). As per classical nucleation theory, due to the strong nonlinear dependence of nucleation rate on supersaturation, the nucleation probability is significantly reduced at the lower supersaturation (S = 1.027). However, the growth rates will not drastically differ because of the mostly linear dependence with the supersaturation. Therefore, even if





only a few nuclei would have been formed in the experiments with the lower supersaturation, the detection probability would be about equal.

Our results do not show a supersaturation independent laser intensity threshold as reported in literature. The existence of a supersaturation independent intensity threshold (6 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>) was explained to be due to the inability of the weak electric field to bring about isotropic electronic polarization.<sup>21</sup> Thus, our observation showing supersaturation dependent NPLIN threshold (Figure 3) at very low intensities cannot be explained solely based on the proposed isotropic electronic polarizability mechanism.

Interplay of several mechanisms such as optical Kerr effect, susceptibility of samples to mechanical shocks or presence of impurities has been suggested during NPLIN. An explanation to our observation of high nucleation probability at low laser intensities could be the presence of impurities, which have been reported to enhance the NPLIN effect.<sup>20,30</sup> Our results showing a high nucleation probability are based on unfiltered samples. Experiments with samples filtered using a 0.45  $\mu$ m syringe filter resulted in significantly reduced nucleation probabilities (based on 20 samples at each intensity). Figure 4 shows the difference in the nucleation probabilities between the filtered and unfiltered samples at two wavelengths, 532 and 1064 nm, respectively, and at a fixed supersaturation of 1.049. Regardless of the wavelength, the nucleation probability is lowered upon filtration and no NPLIN is observed at intensities below 0.5-1.5 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Our observation shows that the presence of impurities may aid NPLIN, which is in agreement with the earlier reported results.<sup>30</sup> In blank experiments, employing unfiltered samples and no laser light, the impurities alone are not able to cause nucleation as none of the supersaturated samples nucleated for days. A possible mechanism could be that the impurities facilitate the laser-induced nucleation process by lowering the free energy required to make the solute clusters critical (heterogeneous nucleation). Alternatively, NPLIN could be the direct result of laser-impurity interaction that can cause local heating and the formation of bubbles/cavity, which act as nucleation sites.<sup>19</sup> Because filtration reduces the amount of impurities, the laser-impurity interaction will also be reduced, explaining the reduced nucleation probability.

In literature, the presence of impurities larger than 200 nm are not necessary to see the NPLIN phenomenon, as use of clean environment, ultrapure ingredients, and rigorous cleaning of the vials did not result in significantly different nucleation probabilities.<sup>21</sup> However, unfiltered samples have been reported to be more labile to laser-induced nucleation. It is also believed that filtering the solution may reduce the pre-existing subcritical clusters, thereby reducing the nucleation probability. On the

other hand, addition of nanoparticles has been shown to enhance NPLIN.<sup>20</sup> In our case, we removed large impurities only (above 0.45  $\mu m$ ) and made sure there was no crystallization during filtration, which can reduce supersaturation.

In a recent study, a laser-induced pressure wave was identified as an potential mechanism triggering nucleation.<sup>29</sup> The pressure wave generated by the interaction of the laser beam with an opaque surface in contact with the supersaturated solution was able to induce nucleation. The study estimated that a pressure variation on the order of 1 MPa was required to influence the nucleation kinetics. Similarly laser focused directly into the supersaturated solution has also been reported to promote nucleation via a shockwave resulting from collapsing vapor bubble.<sup>14</sup> However, these studies were carried out with a focused laser, which transfers very high intensity into the solution, about 2 orders of magnitude higher than the unfocused laser beam used in this study and also in conventional NPLIN experiments.

In our study with the unfocused laser beam, a pressure signal was measured after a single pulse of laser passed the sample. Vials containing KCl solution or water were used to measure the pressure signal at a fixed distance from the path of the laser beam through sample vial. Figure 5 shows the peak pressure values as a function of the laser intensity in vials containing aqueous KCl solution. Pressure signal in the 2–20 mbar range is measured at characteristic laser intensities used in our study (0.5–80 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>). At higher laser intensities, the pressure



**Figure 5.** Pressure signal upon irradiation of the vials with unfiltered saturated aqueous KCl solution with a single shot of laser at 532 nm at different laser intensities.

# **Crystal Growth & Design**

signal is higher. The use of a low energy unfocused laser beam at 532 nm, rules out cavitation (due to absorption of energy) as the solution is transparent to the beam. Even though the laser pulse lasts only for 7 ns, the pressure signal has a decay time of a few milliseconds possibly because of the reflections of the acoustic wave within the sample vials (see the Supporting Information). The pressure signal may originate from the momentum transfer of laser photons to the solution as the refractive index changes along the beam path through air-glass and glass-solution interfaces.<sup>31</sup> Reflections at the glass surface of sample vial also contributes to the generation of the pressure wave. To test the effect of the laser-induced pressure on nucleation, we prepared two sets of samples filled with identical solutions: one "masked sample" where we blocked the incident laser beam (intensity 80 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>) by placing a small piece of black tape on the surface of the vials the other "unmasked" control sample where the laser can pass through and interact with the solution in vials. Figure 6, shows the masked and



**Figure 6.** Resulting peak pressure signal and nucleation probability (S = 1.049) in the masked and the unmasked vial upon irradiation of a single laser pulse at intensity of 80 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Despite the higher induced pressure in the masked vial (shown in red in the bar graph), no nucleation was observed (shown in blue in the bar graph) compared to the "unmasked" control case where laser pulse could pass through.

"control" vials and the resulting nucleation probability (S = 1.049) as well as the measured pressure signals. A much higher pressure signal (around 200 mbar) was measured for the masked samples probably because of the transfer of all the energy onto the tape. As shown in Figure 6, no nucleation was observed in the masked vials. 100 samples were tested (also at a lower supersaturation S = 1.027) and none of the samples nucleated. Nucleation only occurred in control samples where the laser was allowed to pass through the solution. Our experiments confirm the presence of laser-induced pressure wave however it does not contribute to nucleation at laser intensities and supersaturations used in our experiments.

When the laser is absorbed into the sample, for example, in the case of a laser absorbing dye, a high amount of energy is transferred, which can result in cavitation generating localized shockwaves.<sup>13</sup> The resulting nucleation is probably due to the cavitation. In our study, the laser pulse passes through the transparent solution and hence the transferred energy is small hence unable to cause cavitation. Because we observed NPLIN at very low laser intensity (0.5 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>) we believe presence of impurities play a role in aiding NPLIN. Moreover, the pressure signals we measure are in the same order of magnitude as the predicted theoretical values of the radiation pressure (see the Supporting Information) and the magnitude is too low to influence nucleation kinetics.

Our observations are in agreement with the various mechanisms proposed to influence NPLIN. We do not ascertain a single mechanism to be in play during NPLIN, but it is shown that the nucleation is not due to the radiation pressure at laser intensities commonly achieved with an unfocused laser beam.

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

We focused on the laser-induced nucleation phenomenon in aqueous KCl solutions in a multi parameter study spanning laser wavelength, intensity and supersaturation. We also studied the influence of filtration and the correlation between NPLIN activity and laser-induced radiation pressure. The NPLIN probability is found to depend on the laser intensity and the supersaturation but independent of the laser wavelength at 355, 532, 1064 nm. In contrast to previous reports, we did not observe a supersaturation independent intensity threshold below which no nucleation is observed. High nucleation probabilities were observed with unfiltered samples; a 100% nucleation probability emerged at laser intensities where in literature low nucleation probability has been reported.<sup>21</sup> Filtering the samples prior to studying NPLIN resulted in lowering of the nucleation probabilities, which highlights the role of submicron impurities in enhancing NPLIN.

We characterized the magnitude of the laser-induced pressure wave. On the basis of our measurements (estimation of the pressure wave velocity shown in the Supporting Information), the resulting wave is not a shock wave but a sound wave at the laser intensities used in the study. Blocking the laser beam at the surface of the sample vials resulted in larger induced pressure compared to the "unmasked" control case where laser pulse did pass through the sample vials. However, nucleation was completely absent in masked samples. The quantification of the pressure wave intensity and velocity along with the nucleation probability experiments with masked samples enabled us to rule out the presence of a strong shock wave, which can induce crystallization and have been identified as a potential working mechanism for NPLIN. We believe our multiparameter study will contribute to mechanistic understanding of NPLIN as it examines a single model system evoking all the key experimental parameters with statistically significant repetitions, a commonly critiqued point in NPLIN literature.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.cgd.7b01277.

Solubility data of KCl in water, cumulative nucleation probability as a function of time, and the characterization and measurement of radiation pressure (PDF)

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: h.b.eral@tudelft.nl.

# ORCID 💿

Andrzej Stankiewicz: 0000-0002-8227-9660 Herman J. M. Kramer: 0000-0003-3580-8432 Huseyin Burak Eral: 0000-0003-3193-452X

# **Crystal Growth & Design**

#### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. The first and the second author have equal contribution to the paper.

#### Funding

This research has received funding under Veni program from NWO and Cohesion grant from TU Delft.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ABBREVIATIONS

NPLIN, nonphotochemical laser-induced nucleation

#### REFERENCES

(1) Xiao, Y.; Tang, S. K.; Hao, H.; Davey, R. J.; Vetter, T. Cryst. Growth Des. 2017, 17, 2852–2863.

(2) Zaccaro, J.; Matic, J.; Myerson, A. S.; Garetz, B. A. Cryst. Growth Des. 2001, 1, 5–8.

(3) Matic, J.; Sun, X. Y.; Garetz, B. A.; Myerson, A. S. Cryst. Growth Des. 2005, 5, 1565–1567.

(4) Sun, X. Y.; Garetz, B. A.; Myerson, A. S. Cryst. Growth Des. 2008, 8, 1720–1722.

(5) Ikni, A.; Clair, B.; Scouflaire, P.; Veesler, S.; Gillet, J. M.; El Hassan, N.; Dumas, F.; Spasojevic-de Bire, A. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2014**, 14, 3286–3299.

(6) Clair, B.; Ikni, A.; Li, W. J.; Scouflaire, P.; Quemener, V.; Spasojevic-de Bire, A. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2014, 47, 1252–1260.

(7) Li, W. J.; Ikni, A.; Scouflaire, P.; Shi, X. X.; El Hassan, N.; Gemeiner, P.; Gillet, J. M.; Spasojevic-de Bire, A. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2016**, *16*, 2514–2526.

(8) Garetz, B. A.; Aber, J. E.; Goddard, N. L.; Young, R. G.; Myerson, A. S. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3475–3476.

(9) Aber, J. E.; Arnold, S.; Garetz, B. A.; Myerson, A. S. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, 145503.

(10) Knott, B. C.; Doherty, M. F.; Peters, B. J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 134, 154501.

(11) Liu, Y.; Ward, M. R.; Alexander, A. J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 3464-3467.

(12) Yuyama, K.-i.; Sugiyama, T.; Masuhara, H. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 2436–2440.

(13) Sugiyama, T.; Yuyama, K.-i.; Masuhara, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 1946–1954.

(14) Soare, A.; Dijkink, R.; Pascual, M. R.; Sun, C.; Cains, P. W.; Lohse, D.; Stankiewicz, A. I.; Kramer, H. J. M. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2011**, *11*, 2311–2316.

(15) Kenji, I.; Mihoko, M.; Yoshinori, T.; Yoichiro, M.; Hiroshi, Y. Y.; Shino, O.; Hiroaki, A.; Shigeru, S.; Kazufumi, T.; Satoshi, M.; Hiroyoshi, M.; Tsuyoshi, I.; Masashi, Y.; Yusuke, M. *Appl. Phys. Express* **2015**, *8*, 045501.

(16) Murai, R.; Yoshikawa, H. Y.; Takahashi, Y.; Maruyama, M.; Sugiyama, S.; Sazaki, G.; Adachi, H.; Takano, K.; Matsumura, H.;

Murakami, S.; Inoue, T.; Mori, Y. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2010, 96, 043702. (17) Yoshikawa, H. Y.; Murai, R.; Sugiyama, S.; Sazaki, G.; Kitatani,

T.; Takahashi, Y.; Adachi, H.; Matsumura, H.; Murakami, S.; Inoue, T.; Takano, K.; Mori, Y. J. Cryst. Growth **2009**, 311, 956–959.

(18) Knott, B. C.; LaRue, J. L.; Wodtke, A. M.; Doherty, M. F.; Peters, B. J. Chem. Phys. **2011**, 134, 171102.

(19) Ward, M. R.; Jamieson, W. J.; Leckey, C. A.; Alexander, A. J. J. Chem. Phys. 2015, 142, 144501.

(20) Ward, M. R.; Mackenzie, A. M.; Alexander, A. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2016, 16, 6790-6796.

(21) Alexander, A. J.; Camp, P. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 958–963.

(22) Sindt, J. O.; Alexander, A. J.; Camp, P. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2014, 118, 9404–9413.

- (23) Ward, M. R.; Alexander, A. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2012, 12, 4554-4561.
- (24) Lee, I. S.; Evans, J. M. B.; Erdemir, D.; Lee, A. Y.; Garetz, B. A.; Myerson, A. S. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2008**, *8*, 4255–4261.

(25) Ward, M. R.; Ballingall, I.; Costen, M. L.; McKendrick, K. G.; Alexander, A. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2009, 481, 25-28.

(26) Ward, M. R.; Rae, A.; Alexander, A. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2015, 15, 4600-4605.

(27) Fang, K.; Arnold, S.; Garetz, B. A. Cryst. Growth Des. 2014, 14, 2685–2688.

(28) Duffus, C.; Camp, P. J.; Alexander, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11676–11677.

(29) Mirsaleh-Kohan, N.; Fischer, A.; Graves, B.; Bolorizadeh, M.; Kondepudi, D.; Compton, R. N. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2017**, *17*, 576–581.

(30) Javid, N.; Kendall, T.; Burns, I. S.; Sefcik, J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2016, 16, 4196-4202.

(31) Capeloto, O. A.; Zanuto, V. S.; Malacarne, L. C.; Baesso, M. L.; Lukasievicz, G. V. B.; Bialkowski, S. E.; Astrath, N. G. C. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, 20515.